ANCIENT CHRISTIAN COMMENTARY ON SCRIPTURE

OLD TESTAMENT

I

GENESIS 1-11

EDITED BY

ANDREW LOUTH

IN COLLABORATION WITH

MARCO CONTI

GENERAL EDITOR THOMAS C. ODEN



InterVarsity Press Downers Grove, Illinois 31O. Box 1400. Downers Grove, IL 40515-1426

World Wide Web www.commu.com

E-mail: mail@irectu.com

©2001 by the Institute of Classical Christian Studies (ICCS). Thomas C. Oden and Andrew Louth

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without sentime permission from later binsers Person

Japen Variety Peris" is the beak-publishing district of Japen Variety Followith (PSA", a student movement active on compass at hundreds of universities cellers and schools of marring in the United States of America, and a member movement of the International Polarashits of Enamerical Students. For information

about local and regional accounts, were Public Relations Dept., Inter-Virginity Chroman Fellowship /USA, 6400 Schronder RL, P.O. Box 7891. Madries, W. 51707-7895

Scripture austations, walnu otherwise noted, are from the Revised Standard Version of the Bible, copyright 1946, 1952, 1971 by the Division of Circitian Education of the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A., and are used by permission. Selected excerpts from Ancient Christian Writers: The Works of the Fathers in Translation, Copposite 1946. Used by permission of Paulist Press.

Salarrad payment from Fathers of the Charolin A New Topolation. Convertable 1947. Used in normalization of the Catholic University of America Press.

Cover photograph: Scala/Art Resource, New York, View of the apro, S. Vitale, Rassensa, Italy, Sour photograph: Breastine Collection, Dumbarton Oaks, Washington D.C. Pendant cross (gold and examel). Constantinopic, lary state century.

ISBN 6-8308-1471-X

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data General Litt/editor Andrew Leath.

t. cm. -- (Anciest Christian commenters on Scripture: 1)

Includes bibliographical references and index. ICRN 0.8308.1477.X (electr all, name)

1. Bible. O.T. Greens I-XI - Commensories, I. Louis, Andrew. II. Series.

0" 00 00 00 00 00

Ancient Christian Commentary Project Research Team

GENERAL EDITOR
Thomas C. Oden

ASSOCIATE EDITOR
Christopher A. Hall

OPERATIONS MANAGER

TRANSLATIONS PROJECTS DIRECTOR

Joel Scandrett

RESEARCH AND ACQUISITIONS DIRECTOR
Michael Glerup

Editorial Services Director
Warren Calboun Robertson

ORIGINAL LANGUAGE VERSION DIRECTOR
Konstantin Gavrillein

GRADUATE RESEARCH ASSISTANTS Chris Branstetter Susan Kipper

Jeffrey Finch Sergey Kozin
Steve Finlan Hsueb-Ming Liao
Peter Gilbert Michael Nausner
J. Sergius Halvorsen Robert Paul Seesengood

Patricia Ireland Baek-Yong Sung Alexei Khamine Elena Vishnevskaya Christian T. Collins Winn

Certifiae 1. Coules with

Administrative Assistants

Judy Cex

Assistants

CONTENTS GENERAL INTRODUCTION xi

A	Guide to Using This Commentary · · · · · xxx
	Abbreviations · · · · · xxxvii
	Introduction to Genesis 1-11 · · · · · xxxix
	COMMENTARY · · · · · 1
	Appendix: Early Christian Writers and the Documents Cited • • • • • 177
	CHRONOLOGY · · · · · 183
	BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES • • • • 185
	BIBLIOGRAPHY · · · · · 193
	Authors/Writings Index 200
	SUBJECT INDEX 201
- 3	SCRIPTURE INDEX 20/

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The Ancient Christian Commentary on Scripture (hereafter ACCS) is a twenty-eight volume partitic commentary on Scripture. The partitics period, the time of the fathers of the church, spans the era from Clement of Rome (fl. c. 95) to John of Damascus (c. 645-c. 749). The commentary thus covers seven centuries of biblical interpretation, from the end of the New Testament to the mideighth century, including the Vienralbe Beds.

Since the method of inquiry for the ACCS has been developed in close coordination with computer technology, it serves as a potential model of an evolving promising, technologically pragmatic, theologically integrated method for doing research in the history of exegesias. The purpose of this general introduction to the series is to present this approach and account for its methodological premture.

This is a long-delayed assignment in biblical and historical scholarship: reintroducing in a convenient form key texts of early. Christian commentary on the whole of Scripture. To that end, historian, translators, digital exchincians, and biblical and parties cholars have collaborated in the task of presenting for the first time in many comuries these texts from the early history of Christian expensis. Here the interpretive jouses, penerating (reflection, debase, contemplations and deliberations of early Christians are ordered verse by verse from Genesis to Revelation. Also included are partitic comments on the deuterocanoical varitings (consenies called the Apocypha) that were considered Scripture by the Fathers. This is a full scale classic commentary on Scripture consisting of selections in modern translation from the assicted.

The Ancient Christian Commentary on Scripture has three goals: the renewal of Christian praching based on classical Christian exgessis, the intensified study of Scripture by Jay persons who wish to think with the early church about the canonical text, and the stimulation of Christian historical, biblical, theological and pastoral solutionity toward further inquiry into the scriptural interperations of the action Christian when the property of the prope

On each page the Scripture text is accompanied by the most noteworthy remarks of key consensual exceptes of the early Christian centuries. This formal arrangement follows approximately the traditional pattern of the published texts of the Talmud after the invention of printing and of the gloss ordinaria that preceded printing.¹

Students of the Talmud will easily recognize this patterns of againstation. The Talmud is a collection of rabbinic arguments, discussion and comments on the Mahnah, the first powin close of laws after the Bible, and the Gennam, as although on it in Mahnah. The rush of Talmud is its own end and reward, in the Talmud covery subject pertaining to Touch is worthy of consideration, and analysis. At Talmud as a war responsive of forwing the consistency of the Talmud and the Care and the Talmud as a war responsive of forwing and the Talmud as a war responsive of the Talmud as

Retrieval of Neglected Christian Texts

There is an emerging felt need among diverse Christian communities that these texts be accurately recovered and studied. Recent biblical scholarship has so focused attention on post-Enlightenment historical and literary methods that it has left this longing largely unattended and unserviced.

After years of quiet gestation and reflection on the bare idea of a patristic commentary, a feasibility consultation was drawn together at the invitation of Drew University in November 1993 in Washington, D.C. This series emerged from that consultation and its ensuing discussions. Extensive further consultations were undertaken during 1994 and thereafter in Rome, Tübingen, Oxford, Cambridge, Athens, Alexandria and Istanbul, seeking the advice of the most competent international scholars in the history of exegesis. Among distinguished scholars who contributed to the early layers of the consultative process were leading writers on early church history, hermeneutics, homiletics, history of exegesis, systematic theology and pastoral theology. Among leading international authorities consulted early on in the project design were Sir Henry Chadwick of Oxford: Bishops Kallistos Ware of Oxford, Rowan Williams of Monmouth and Stephen Sykes of Ely (all former patristics professors at Oxford or Cambridge); Professors Angelo Di Berardino and Basil Studer of the Patristic Institute of Rome; and Professors Karlfried Froehlich and Bruce M. Metzger of Princeton. They were exceptionally helpful in shaping our list of volume editors. We are especially indebted to the Ecumenical Patriarch of Constantinople Bartholomew and Edward Idris Cardinal Cassidy of the Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity, the Vatican, for their blessing, steady support, and wise counsel in developing and advancing the Drew University Patristic Commentary Project.

The outcome of these feability consultations was general agreement that the project was profoundly needed, accompanied by an unusual eagerness to set out upon the project, validated by a willingness on the part of many to commit valuable time to accomplish it. At the pare of three or four volumes per year, the commentary is targeted for completion within the first decade of the millennium.

This series stands unapologenically as a practical homiletic and devotional guide to the earliest layers of classic Cristian readings of bloical texts. It intends to be a brief compendation or reflections on particular Septuagine, Old Latin and New Testament texts by their earliest Christian interpreters. Hence it is not a commentary by modern standards, but it is a commentary by the standards of those who attended and formed the basis of the modern commentary.

one-page on or 'evenired Scriptors. The Tallmain empirated laught from the same perced as the particle series, even using analogous methods of interpressions, in the Tallmain the series of the Medissale are secondaried before on quantities from key constants and constructives of the injudicie tradition. The format of the earliers published seriesions of the Tallmain and followed the early ansancript model of the medisterial gains are in which particles removes twee regulated mounted. Surpare treats, then the ACCS grantfully ended of the medisterial gain are the ACCS grantfully ended of the categories and different size of the Categories and a series of the Categories and a series of the Categories and the series of the Categories and the Categories and the series of the Categories and the Categories

Many useful contemporary scholarly efforts are underway and are contributing significantly to the recovery of classic Christian texts. Notable in English among these are the Fathers of the Church series (Catheii, Curvivariy of America Press), Ancient Christian Writers (Pauline). Citerctian Nuclei (Cistercian Publications), The Church's Bible (Eerdmann), Message of the Fathers of the Church (Michael Glazier, Luttengial Press) and Texts and Studies (Cambridge). In other languages similar efforts are conspicuously found in Sources Chreitennes, Corpus Christianorum (Series Grace and Latina), Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium, Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, Text und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur. Die grechischen christlichen Schriftsteller, Patrologia Orientalia, Patrologia Syriaca, Biblioteca patratica, Les Peres dans la Gio, Collana d'Itest Paristici, Letture cristiane dello reijnia. Letture cristiane del primo millennia, Cultura cristiana antica, Thesaurus Linguae Latinas. Thesaurus Linguae Gracea and the Cetedoc series, which offers in digital form the volumes of Corpus Christianorum. The Ancient Christian Commentary on Scripture builds on the splendid work of all these studies, but focuses primarily and modestly on the recovery of patristic biblical wisdom for contemporary preaching and lay sprintanlformatica.

Digital Research Tools and Results

Digital Research 1601s and Results.

The volume distincts have been supported by a digital research team at Drew University which has identified these classic comments by performing global searches of the Greek and Latin partistic corpus. They have searched for these texts in the Thesaurus Linguase Greek (TLG) digitalized Greek database, the Cetedoc edition of the Latin texts of Corpus Christianorum from the Centre de traitement effectronique des documents (Universitie carbolique de Louwain), the Chadeyok-Healey Parteologia Latina Database (Migne) and the Packard Humanities Institute Latin databases. Whe have also utilized the CD-ROM searchable version of the Early Church Fathers, of which the Drew University rotects wan and set occusione along with the Electronic Bible Scotta.

This has resulted in a plethora of raw Greek and Latin textual materials from which the volume editors have made discriminating choices. In this way the project office has already supplied to each volume editor' a substantial read-out of Greek and Latin glosses, explanations, observations and comments on each verse or pericope of Scripture text. Only a small percentage of this raw material

^{*}Hering searched Latin and Gerel databases, we then solicised from our Copici, Syriac and Armenian editorial experts selections from boxes bodies of literature, seeking is firstly places from all swillable expertised traditions of socient Christianity within our time frame. To all these we added the material we could find already in English translations. Examine the Copic and Copic a

[&]quot;ILG and Cendoc are referred on one often than Migns or other prisond Genetic in Laint, sources for those reasons. (1) the texts are referred on control of the control of

has in fact made the grade of our selection criteria. But such is the poignant work of the catenist, or of any compiler of a compendium for general use. The intent of the exercise is to achieve brevity and economy of expression by exclusion of extraneous material, not to go into critical explanatory detail.

Through the use of Boolean key word and phrase searches in these databases, the research team identified the Greek and Latin texts from early Christian writers that refer to specific biblical passasses. Where textual variants occur among the Old Latin texts or disputed Greek texts, they excured key word searches with appropriate or expected variables, including allusions and analogies. At this time of writing, the Drew University ACCS research staff has already completed most of these intricate and prodigious computer searches, which would have been unthinkable before computer schooloors.

The employment of these digital resources has yielded unexpected advantages a luge resistaal database, a means of identifying comments on texts nor previously considered for catera usage, an efficient and conserted control of human resources, and an abundance of potential material for future studies in the history of exegesis. Most of this was accomplished by a highly radiented group of graduate students under the direction of Joel Scanderts. Michael Girema and Joel Elowsky. Prior to the technology of digital search and storage techniques, this series could hardly have been produced, short of a wast army of researchers working by laborious hand and paper searches in scattered libraries around the world.

Future readers of Scripture will increasingly be working with emerging forms of computer technology and interactive hypertext formats that will enable reader to search out quickly in more detail ideas, text, between and terms found in the ancient Christian writers. The ACCS provides an embryonic paradigm for how that can be done. Drew University offers the ACCS to serve both as a potential research model and as an outcome of research. We hope that this printed series in traditional book form will in time be supplemented with a larger searchable, digitized version in some stored-memory hypertext format. We continue to work with an astruct consortium of computer and research organizations to serve the future needs of both historical scholarship and theological study.

The Surfeit of Materials Brought to Light

We now know that there is virtually no portion of Scripture about which the ancient Christian writers had little or nothing useful or meaningful to say. Many of them studied the fible throughly with deep contemplative discernment, comparing text with text, often memorizing large portion of it. Al. chapters of all istry-sit books of the traditional Protestant canonical corpus have received deliberate or occasional partitic exergetical or homilectic treatment. This series also includes partitic commentary on texts not found in the Jewish canon (often designated the Apocrypha or disturection nonical writings) but that were included in ancient Greek Bibles (the Septuagin). These texts although not precisely the same texts in each tradition, remain part of the recognized canons of the

Roman Catholic and Orthodox traditions.

While some books of the Bible are rich in verse-by-verse partisits commentaries (notably Genesia-Palants, Song of Schonno, Isaish, Marthes-, John and Romans), there are many others that are lacking in intensive commentaries from this early period. Hence we have not limited our searches to these formal commentaries, but sought allusions, analogies, cross-connections and references to biblioid texts in all sorts of particult literary sources. There are many perceptive insights that have come to us from homilies, lettera, poetry; hymns, essays and treatises, that need not be arbitrarily excluded from a catena. We have searched for succinct, discerning and moving passage both from line-by-line commentaries (from authors such as Origen. Cyril of Alexandria, Theodoret of Cyr., John Chrysstom, Persone, Augustine and Bede) and from other literary genera. Court of a suffrier or resulting raw materials, the volume editors have been invited to select the best, wissest and most representative reflections of ancient Christian writers on a eliven biblioid passage.

For Whom Is This Compendium Designed!

We have chosen and ordered those selections primarily for a general lay reading audience of nonprefessionals who study the Bible regularly and who earnestly with to have classic Christian observations on the text readily available to them. In wastly differing cultural settings, contemporary lay readers are asking how they might grasp the meaning of sacred texts under the instruction of the great minds of the anomiet church.

Yet in so focusing our attention, we are determined not to neglect the rigorous requirements and needs of academic readers who up to now have had stardy limited resources and compendia in the history of exegenis. The series, which is being translated into the languages of hid the world's population, is designed to serve public libraries, universities, cross-cultural studies and historical interests worldwide. It unapologetically claims and asserts its due and rightful place as a staple source book for the history of Western literature.

Our varied audiences (Iup; pasteroal and academic) are much broader than the highly technical and specialized scholarly field of partnix: raudies. They are not limited to university scholars concentrating on the study of the history of the transmission of the text or to those with highly focused interests in textual morphology or historical-critical issues and speculations. Though these remain crucial concerns for specialists, they are not the paramount interest of the editions of the Ancient Christian Commentary on Scripture. Our work is largely targeted straightnessy for a pasteroal audience and more generally to a larger audience of laisy who must to reflect and modifiest with the early church about the plain sense, theological wisdom, and moral and spiritual meaning of particular Scripture texts.

There are various legitimate competing visions of how such a patristic commentary should be developed, each of which were carefully pondered in our feasibility study and its follow-up. With

practical use.

high respect to alternative conceptions, there are compelling reasons why the Drew University project has been conceived as a practically usable commentary addressed first of all to informed lay readers and more broadly to pastors of Protestant, Carbolic and Orthodox traditions. Only in an ancillary way do we have in mind as our particular audience the guild of partistic academics, although we welcome their critical assessment of our methods. If we succeed in serving lay and apartoral readers practically and well, we expect these texts will also be advantageously used by college and seminary courses in Bible, hermeneutics, thurch history, historical theology and homiletics, since they are not easily accessable done-twise.

The series seeks to offer to Christian laity what the Talmud and Midrashim have long offered to Jewish readers. These foundational sources are finding their way into many public school libraries and into the obligatory book collections of many charches, pastors, teachers and lay persons. It is our intent and the publishers commitment to keep the whole series in print for many years to come and to make it waitable on an economically viable subscription basis.

There is an emerging awareness among Catholic, Protestant and Orthodox lairy that vital biblical preaching and reaching around mayers need of some deeper grounding beyond the cope of the historical-critical orientations that have dominated and a time scalipused biblical studies in our time. Renewing religious communities of prayer and service (crisis ministries, arban and campus ministries, counteiling ministries, retreat ministries, monasteries, grief ministries, ministries of compasion, etc.) are being drawn steadily and emphasically rowed these biblical and partners is course for meditation and spiritual formation. These communities are asking for primary source texts of spirirual formation preserted in accessible form. well-grounded in reliable scholarish and dedicated in

The Premature Discrediting of the Catena Tradition

We gratefully acknowledge our affinity and indebtedness to the spirit and literary form of the early traditions of the catena and gloss ordinarie that sought authoritatively to collect salient classic interpretations of ancient exegetes on each biblical text. Our editorial work has benefited by utilizing and adapting those traditions for today's readers.

It is regretable that this distinctive classic approach has been not only shelved but peculiarly misplaced for several centuries. It has been a long time since any attempt has been made to produce this sort of commentary. Under fire from modern critics, the carena approach divaridade to almost notiing by the nineteenth century and has not until now been revitalized in this posteritical situation. Froncially, it is within our own so-called progressive and broad-minded century that these texts have been more systematically hidden away and ignored than in any previous century of Christian scholanhip. With all our historical and publishing competencies, these texts have been regretably denied to hearest of Christian preaching in our time, thus revealing the dogmanic biases of modernity (modern chauvinism, naturalism and autonomous individualism).

Nineteenth- and twentieth-century exegosis has frequently displayed a philosophical bias toward naturalistic reductionism. Most of the participants in the ACCS project have lived through dozent of iterations of these cycles of literary and historical criticism, seeking earnestly to expound and interpret the text out of ever-narrowing empiricist premises. For decades Scripture teachers and pastors have sailed the troubled waters of assorted layers and trends within cademic criticism. Preachers have attempted to digest and utilize these approaches, yet have often found the outcome disappointing. There is an increasing awareness of the speculative excesses and the spiritual and homiletic limitations of much pose-finghlemenmet criticism.

Meanwhile the mottifs, methods and approaches of ancient eagents have remained shockingly unfamiliar not only to ordained clergy but to otherwise highly literate biblical scholars, trained eshaustively in the methods of scientific criticism. Amid the vast exegetical labors of the last two centuries, the ancient Christian exegetes have seldom been revisited, and then only marginally and often trendentiously. We have clear and indisputable evidence of the prevailing modern contempt for classic exegosis, namely that the extensive and once authoritative classic commentaries on Scripture still remain untranslated into modern languages. Even in China this has not happened to classic Buddhist and Confusion commentaries.

This systematic modern scholarly neglect is seen not only among Protestants, but also is widespread among Catholica and even Orthodox, where ironically the Fathers are sometimes piously venerated while not being energetically read.

So two powerful complementary contemporary forces are at work to draw our lay audience once again toward these texts and to free them from previous limited premises: First, this series is a response to the deep hunger for classical Christian exegosis and for the history of exegosis, partly because it has been so long neglected. Second, there is a growing demonsilization in relation to actual usuful exegorical outcomes of post-finishydenement historica and naturalistric-ordictionist criticism. Both of these animating energies are found among lay readers of Roman, Eastern and Protestant readirious.

Through the use of the chronological lists and biographical sketches at the back of each volume, readers can locate in time and place the voices displayed in the exegesis of a particular pericope. The chains (catenae) of interperation of a particular biblical passage thus provide glimpass into the his tory of the interpretation of a given text. This pattern has venerable antecedents in patristic and medieval exegesis of both Eastern and Western traditions, as well as important expressions in the Reformation tradition.

The Ecumenical Range and Intent

Recognition of need for the Fathers' wisdom ranges over many diverse forms of Christianity. This

has necessitated the cooperation of scholars of widely diverse Christian communities to accomplish the task fairly and in a balanced way. It has been a major ecumenical undertaking.

Under this classic textual umbrella, this series brings together in common spirit Christians who have long distanced themselves from each other through separate and often competing church memories. Under this welcoming umbrella are gathering conservative Proteatans with Eastern Orthodox, Baptitts with Roman Catholics, Reformed with Arminians and charismatics, Anglicans with Pentecostals, high with low church adherents, and premodern traditionalists with postmodern classicists.

How is it that such varied Christians are able to find inspiration and common faith in these texts? Why are these texts and studies so intrinsically ecumenical, so catholic in their cultural range? Because all of these traditions have an equal right to appeal to the early history of Christian exagesia. All of these traditions can, without a sacrifice of intellect, come together to study texts common to them all. These classic texts have decisionly shaped the entire subsequent history of exegusis. Proxet tants have a right to the Fathers. Arthanasius is not owned by Copts, nor is Augustine owned by North Africans. These minds are the common possession of the whole church. The Orthodox do not have exclusive rights over Basal, nor do the Romans over Cregory the Great. Christians everywhere have equal claim to these riches and are discovering them and glimpsing their unity in the body of Christ.

From many varied Christian traditions this project has enlisted as volume editors a team of leading international scholars in ancient Christian writings and the history of exegesis. Among Eastern Orthodox contributors are Professors Andrew Louth of Durham University in England and George Dragas of Holy Cross (Greek Orthodox) School of Theology in Brookline, Massachusetts. Among Roman Catholic scholars are Benedictine scholar Mark Sheridan of the San Anselmo University of Rome, lesuit loseph Lienhard of Fordham University in New York, Cistercian Father Francis Martin of the Catholic University of America, Alberto Ferreiro of Seattle Pacific University, and Sever Voicu of the Eastern European (Romanian) Uniate Catholic tradition, who teaches at the Augustinian Patristic Institute of Rome. The New Testament series is inaugurated with the volume on Matthew offered by the renowned Catholic authority in the history of exegesis, Manlio Simonetti of the University of Rome, Amone Anglican communion contributors are Mark Edwards (Oxford). Bishop Kenneth Stevenson (Fareham, Hampshire, in England), J. Robert Wright (New York). Anders Bergquist (St. Albans), Peter Gordav (Atlanta) and Gerald Brav (Cambridge, England, and Birmingham, Alabama). Among Lutheran contributors are Quentin Wesselschmidt (St. Louis). Philip Krey and Eric Heen (Philadelphia), and Arthur Just. William Weinrich and Dean O. Wenthe (all of Ft. Wayne, Indiana). Among distinguished Protestant Reformed, Baptist and other evangelical scholars are John Sailhamer and Steven McKinion (Wake Forest, North Carolina), Craig Blaising and Carmen Hardin (Louisville, Kentucky), Christopher Hall (St. Davids, Pennsylvania)

J. Ligon Duncan III (Jackson, Mississippi), Thomas McCullough (Danville, Kentucky), John R. Franke (Hatfield, Pennsylvania) and Mark Elliott (Hope University Liverpool).

The international team of editors was selected in part to reflect this extunential range. They were

The international team of editors was selected in part to reflect this ecumenical range. They were chosen on the premise not only that they were competent to select fairly though peasages that best convey the consensual tradition of early Christian exegesis, but also that they would not omit significant voices within it. They have searched insofar as possible for those comments that self-evidently would be most widely received generally by the whole church of all generations. East and West.

This is not to suggest or imply that all patristic writers agree. One will immediately see upon reading these selections that within the boundaries of orthodoxy, that is, excluding ourright denials of ecumenically received teaching, there are many views possible about a given text or idea and the these different views may be strongly affected by wide varieties of social environments and contexts.

The Drew University project has been meticulous about commissioning volume editors. We have sought out world-class scholars, preeminent in international abblical and patristic scholarship, and wise in the history of exegesis. We have not been disappointed. We have enlisted a diverse team of editors, fitting for a global audience that bridges the major communions of Christianity.

The project editors have striven for a high level of consistency and literary quality over the course of this series. As with most projects of this sort, the editorial vision and procedures are progressively being refined and sharpened and fed back into the editorial process.

Honoring Theological Reasoning

Since it stands in the service of the worshiping community, the ACCS unabashedly embrace crucial comencial premises as the foundation for its method of editorial selections: revelation in history, trinitarian coherence, divine providence in history, the Christian kergyna, repub Julie it carnatui ("he rule of fath and love"), the converting work of the Holy Sprint. These are common assumptions of the living communities of worship that are served by the commentary.

It is common in this transgenerational community of fairh to assume that the early consensual ecumenical treathers were led by the Spirit in their interpretive efforts and in their transmitting of Christian truth amid the hazards of history. These texts assume some level of unity and continuity of ecumenical consensus in the mind of the believing church, a consensus more clearly grasped in the patrintic period than later. We would be less than true to the sacred text if we allowed modern assumptions to overum these premises.

An extended project such as this requires a well-defined objective that serves constantly as the organizing principle and determines which approaches take priority in what sort of balance. This objective informs the way in which tensions inherent in its complexity are managed. This objective has already been summarized in the three goals mentioned at the beginning of this introduction. To later any one of these goals would significantly after the character of the whole task. We view our work not only as an academic exercise with legitimate peer review in the academic community, but also as a vocation, a task primarily undertaken comm Der ("before God") and not only comm hominibus ("before humanity"). We have been astonished that we have been led far beyond our original intention into a Chinese translation and other translations into major world languages.

This effort is grounded in a deep respect for a distinctively theological reading of Scripture that cannot be reduced to historical, philosophical, actimating to escological insighties on methods. It takes seriously the venerable tradition of ecumenical reflection concerning the premises of revelation, apostodicity, canon and consensuality. A high priority is granted here, contrary to moderp assumptions, to theological, christological and tritume reasoning as the distinguishing premises of dassit. Christian thought. This approach does not pit theology against critical theory; instead, it incorporates critical methods and brings them into coordinate accountability within its overarching homitime to confidente accountability within its overarching homitime confidence and the confidence of the confidence of

Why Evangelicals Are Increasingly Drawn Toward Patristic Exegesis

Surprising to some, the most extensive new emergent audience for partitic exgessis is found among the expanding worldwide audience of exanglical readers who are now burgeoning from a history of revivalism that has often been thought to be historically unaware. This is a tradition that has often been caricatured as critically backward and hermeneutically challenged. Now Baptiest and Pentecotal lairy are rediscovering the history of the Holy Spirit. This itself is arguably a work of the Holy Spirit. As those in these traditions continue to mature, they recognize their need for biblical resources that go far beyond those that have been made available to them in both the pietistic and historical-circilor artistics.

Both pietism and the Enlightenment were largely agreed in expressing disdain for patristic and classic forms of exegesis. Vital preaching and exegesis must now venture beyond the constrictions of historical-critical work of the century following Schweitzer and beyond the personal existential storytelling of pietism.

During the time I have served as senior editor and executive editor of Christianity Tolay, I have been privileged to surf in these volatile and existing waves. It has been for me (as a theologian of ε liberal mainline communion) like an ongoing seminar in learning to empathize with the tensions, necessities and hungers of the vast heterogeneous evangelical audience.

But why just now is this need for patristic wisdom firlt particularly by exangelical leaders and larry Why are worldwide evangelicals increasingly draws; toward ancient exegosis! What accounts for this rapid and basic reversal of mood among the inherences of the traditions of Protestant revival; sim! it is partly because the evangelical tradition has been long deproved of any vital contact writ: these partistic sources since the days of Luthers, Calvin and Wesley, who knew therm well. This commentary is dedicated to allowing ancient Christian exogents to speak for themselves. It will not become fizzated unilaterally on contemporary criticism. It will provide new textual resources for the lay reader, teacher and pastor that have lain inaccessible during the last two centrules. Without are wising historical-critical issues that have already received extensive exploration in our time, it will seek to make available to our present-day audience the multicultural, transperarional, multi-ingual resources of the ancient ecumenical Christian tradition. It is an awakening, growing, hungry and robust audience.

Such as mediaror is especially poignant and timely now because increasing numbers of exanglical Protestants are newly discovering rich dimensions of dialogue and wisening areas? of consensus with Orthodor and Carbolic on divisive issues long thought irreparable. The study of the Fathers on Scripture promises to further significant interactions between Protestants and Carbolics on issues that have plaged them for commercing justification, unatherity. Christogic, assertification and exhaulogy. Why! Because they can find in pre-Reformation texts a common faith to which Christians can appeal. And this is an areas in which Protestants distinctively field a brone biblical subnorty and interpretation. An profound yearning broods within the heart of exanglicals for the recovery of the history of exegosia as a basis for the renewal of preaching. This series officer resources for that reveals.

Steps Toward Selections

In moving from raw data to making selections, the volume editors have been encouraged to move judiciously through three steps:

Step 1: Reviewing extant Greek and Latin commentaries. The volume editors have been responsible

Step 1: Reviewing extant Greek and Latin commentatives. The volume editors have been responsible for examining the line-by-line commentaries and homilies on the texts their volume covers. Much of this material remains untranslated into English and some of it into any modern language.

Step 2. Reviewing digital aurorhes. The volume editions have been responsible for examining the results of digital searches into the Greek and Latin dazabases. To get the gist of the context of the passage, ordinarily about ten lines above the traw digital reference and ten lines after the reference have been downloaded for printed output. Biblia Parvintor has been consulted as needed, especially in cases where the results of the digital searches have been fini. Then the volume editors have determined from these potential digital hits and from published texts those that should be regarded as more serious possibilities for indusion.

Step 3. Making selections. Having assembled verse-by-verse comments from the Greek and Latin digital databases, from extant commentaries, and from already translated English sources, either on dulk or in paper primotous, the volume editions have then selected the best comments and reflections of ancient Christian writers on a given biblical text, following agreed upon criteria. The intern is to set apart those few sentences or paragraphs of patristic comment that best reflect the mind of the believing church on that pericone.

The Method of Making Selections

It is useful to provide an explicit account of precisely how we made these selections. We invite others to attempt similar procedures and compare outcomes on particular passages. We welcome the counsel of others who might review our choices and suggest how they might have been better made. We have sought to avoid unconsciously biasing our selections, and we have solicited counsel to help us achieve this end.

In order that the whole project might remain cohesive, the protocols for making commentary selections have been jointly agreed upon and stated clearly in advance by the editors, publishers, translators and research teams of the ACCS. What follows is our checklist in assembling these extracts.

The following principles of selection have been mutually agreed upon to guide the editors in making spare, wise, meaningful catena selections from the vast patristic corpus:

From our huge database with its profuse array of possible comments, we have preferred those
passes that have enduring relevance, penetrating significance, crosscultural applicability and practical applicability.

2. The volume editors have sought to identify patristic selections that display trenchant rhetorical strength and self-evident persuasive power, so as not to require extensive secondary explanation. The editorial challenge has been to identify the most vivid comments and bring them to accurate translation.

We hope that in most case selections will be pungent, memorable, quotable, aphoristi: and short (often a few sentences or a indep saragraph) rather than extensive technical homilies or detailed expositions, and that many will have some narrative interest and illuminative power. This criterion follows in the train of much Talmudic, Midraulia, and rabbinic respectis, in some cases, the however, detailed comments and longer sections of homilies have been considered worthy of inclusion.

3. We seek the most representative comments that best reflect the mind of the believing church (of all times and cultures). Selections focus more on the attempt to identify consensual strains of exegesis than sheer speculative brilliance or erratic innovation. The thought or interpretation can emerge out of individual creativity, but it must not be inconsistent with what the approstic tradition teaches and what the church believes. What the consensual tradition transit least is individualistic innovation that has not yet subtly learned what the worshiping community already knows.

Hence we are less interested in idiosyncratic interpretations of a given text than we are in those

A number of Ph.D. dissertations are currently being written on the bisnory of exegesis of a particular passage of Scripture. This madevelop into an emerging academic methodology that promises to change both biblical and particle studies in favor of careful texturand interestival analysis, consensatingly assessment and bisnore of interpresentation, rather than bisnories and naturality reductions;

sexts that fully represent the central flow of ecumenical consensual exegesis. Just what is central is left for the fair professional judgment of our ecumenically distinguished Orthodox. Protestant and Catholic volume editors to discern. We have included, for example, many selections from among the best comments of Origen and Tertullian, but not those author's peculiar eccentricities that have been wided distruted by the ancient ecumenical tradition.

4. We have especially sought out for inclusion those consensus-bearing authors who have been relatively disregarded, often due to their social location or language or nationality, insofar as their work is resonant with the mainstream of ancient consensual exegesis. This is why we have sought out special consultants in Striac. Coptic and Armenian.

5. We have sought to cull out annoying, coarse, graceless, absurdly allegorical* or racially offensive interpretations. But where our selections may have some of those edges, we have supplied footnotes to assist readers better to understand the context and intent of the text.

notes to assist readers better to understand the context and intent of the text.

6. We have constantly sought an appropriate balance of Eastern, Western and African traditions. We have intentionally attempted to include Alexandrian, Antiochene, Roman, Syriac, Coptic and Armenian traditions of interpretation. Above all, we want to provide sound, stimulating,

tic and Armenian traditions of interpretation. Above all, we want to provide sound, stimulating, reliable exegesis and illuminating exposition of the text by the whole spectrum of classic Christian writers.

7. We have made a special effort where possible to include the voices of women' such as Nactina, Eudosia, Egeria, Falronia Bettita Proba, the Sayings of the Desert Mothers and others.

who report the biblical interpretations of women of the ancient Christian tradition.

8. In order to anche the commentary solidily in primary sources so as to allow the ancient Christian writers to address us on their own terms, the focus is on the texts of the ancient Christian writers to make the commentation of the commentation of the ancient writers. We have looked for those comments one Scripture that will assist the contemporary reader to encounter the deepost level of penetration of the text that has been reached by it best interpreters living amid highly divergent early Christian social settings.

Our purpose is not to engage in critical speculations on textual variants or stemma of the text, or

Adaptive transment of wars are not to be raided one, but fairly and pulsaring transment as to their explanatory value and repossible. There is a pressible generacy that activate Continue congruin to astronetic Continue congruin to astronetic Continue congruin to astronetic confidence of the fairly not an exist and exact soften on a serie base according to our criteria, we war margined at the limited easter of pressared diagnostic passages and the continue of the continue control of the primary assumptives and convention of the continue to the control of the primary assumptives are described as the control of the primary assumptives and control of the control

Through the letters, histories, theological and biographical writings of Tertullian, Gregory of Nysas, Gregory of Nazianzus, Jereose, John Chrysostom, Paladau, Augustine, Ephrem, Gerontus, Paulinus of Nola and many anonymous writers (of the Lives of Mary of Egypt, Tiass, Palagas).

^{*}Whose voice is heard through her younger beother, Gregory of Nyssa.

extensive deliberations on its cultural context or social location, however useful those exercises may be, but to present the most discerning comments of the ancient Christian writers with a minimum of distraction. This project would be entirely misconceived if thought of as a modern commentary on patristic commentaries.

9. We have intentionally lought out and gathered comments that will aid effective preaching, comments that give us a firmer graup of the plain sense of the text, its authorial intent, and its spiritual meaning for the worshiping community. We want to help Bible readers and teachers gain ready access to the depeat reflection of the ancient Christian community of faith on any particular text of Sorienze.

It would have inordinately increased the word count and cost if our intention had been to amass exhaustively all that had ever been said about a Scripture text by every ancient Christian writer. Rather we have deliberately selected our of this immense data stream the strongest patristic interpretive reflections on the text and souther to deliver them in accurate English translation.

To refine and develop these guidelines, we have sought to select as volume editors either partitatics scholars who understand the nature of preaching and the history of exegesia, or biblical scholars who are at ease working with classical Greek and Latin sources. We have preferred editors who are sympathetic to the needs of lay persons and pastors alike, who are generally familiar with the partition cross in its full gange, and who intuitively understand the dilemma of preaching roday. The international and except and the control of the state of editors corresponds with the global range of our task and audience, which bridge all major communions of Christianire.

Is the ACCS a Commentary?

We have chosen to call our work a commentary, and with good reason. A commentary, in its plain sense definition, is "a series of illustrative or explanatory notes on any important work, as on the Scriptures." Commentary is an Anglicited form of the Latin commentarius (an "annotation" or menoranda" on a subject or text or series of events). In its theological meaning it is a work that explains, analyzes or expounds a portion of Scripture. In antiquity it was a book of notes explaining some artilier work such as Julius Hyginias's commentaries on Virgil in the first century, Jerome mentions many commentators on social rears. Hofers his time.

The commentary is typically preceded by a proem in which the questions are asked: who wrote it's why! when! to whom! etc. Comments may deal with grammanical or lexical problems in the text. An attempt is made to provide the gist of the author's thought or motivation, and perhaps to deal with sociocultural influences at work in the text or philological mannees. A commentary usually

[&]quot;Funk & Wagnals New "Standard" Dictionary of the English Language (New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1947).

takes a section of a classical text and seeks to make its meaning clear to readers today, or proximately clearer, in line with the intent of the author.

The Western literary gener of commensary is definitively shaped by the history of early Christian commensaries on Serigeure, from Origina and Hallary through plots Chrystosian and Cyrif of Alexandris to Thomas Aquinas and Nicolas of Lyra, Is leaves too much unsaid simply to assume that the Christian biblical commensary took a previously extant literary genre and reshaped it for Christian texts. Rather it is more accurate to say that the Western literary genre of the commentary (and especially the biblical commentary) has patriatic commensaries as in decisive pattern and prototype, and those commentaries have strongly influenced the whole Western conception of the gener of commentary. Only in the last two centuries, since the development of modern historicist methods of criticism, have seen scholars sought no delimit the definition of a commentary more strictly to as to include only historicist interests—philological and grammatical insights, inquiries into author, date and setting, or into sociopolitical or commenciary constituers, or literary analyses of genre, structure and function of the text, or questions of textual criticism and reliability. The ACCS editors do not feel anolocetic doue calling this work a commensary in stakasis census.

Many astrute readers of modern commentaries are acutely aware of one of their most persistent habits of mind: control of the text by the interpetent, whereby the ancient text comes under the power (values, samptions, perdigorisons, sideological basies) of the modern interpreter. This habit is based upon a larger pattern of modern chauvinism that views later critical sources as more worthy than earlier. This perpidice tends to view the biblical text primarily or sometimes exclusively through historical critical lenues accommodative to modernity.

Although we respect these views and our volume editors are thoroughly familiar with contemporary biblical criticism, the ACCS editors freely take the assumption that the Christian canon is to be respected as the thursth's acred text. The text's assumptions about itself cannot be made less important than modern assumptions about it. The reading and preaching of Scripture are vital to the church's lift. The central hope of the ACCS endeaver is that it might contribute in some small way to the revitalization of that life through a renewed discovery of the earliest readings of the church's Scriptures.

A Gentle Caveat for Those Who Expect Ancient Writers to Conform to Modern Assumptions

If one begins by assuming an normative for a commentary the typical modern expression of what a commentary is and the preemptive truthfulness of modern critical methods, the classic Christian exegetes are by definition always going to appear as dated, quaint, premodern, hence inadequate, and in some instances comic or even mean-spirited, prejudiced, unjust and oppressive. So in the interest of hermateruit fairness, it is recommended that the modern reader no impose on ancient Christian exegetes lately achieved modern assumptions about the valid reading of Scripture. The ancient Christian writers constantly challenge what were later to become these unspoken, hidden and often indeed camouflaged modern assumptions. This series does not seek to seek the series of spoken and modern process.

This series does not seek to resolve the debate between the merits of ancient and modern exegusis in each next examined. Rather it seeks merely to present the excerpsed comments of the ancient interpreters with a few distractions as possible. We will leave it to others to discuss the merits of ancient versus modern methods of exegusis. But even this cannot be done adequately without extensively examining the texts of ancient exegusis. And until now biblical scholars have not had easy access to many of these texts. This is what this series is for

The purpose of exegesis in the partistic period was humbly to seek the revealed truth the Scriptures convey. Often it was not even offered to those who were as yet unready to put it into practice. In these respects much modern exegesis is entirely different it does not assume the truth of Scripture as revelation, nor does it submit personally to the categorical moral requirement of the revealed text that it be taken seriously as divine address. Yet we are here dealing with partistic writers who assumed that readers would not even approach an elementary discernment of the meaning of the text if they were not ready to live in terms of its revelation, i.e., to practice it in order to hear it, as was recommended so often in the classic radiation.

The parristic models of exegusis often do not conform to modern commentary assumptions that tend to exist or rule out rhains of scriptural reference. These are often demeaned as deplorable proof-texting, But among the ancient Christian writers such chains of biblical reference were very important in thinking about the text in relation to the whole textimony of sacred Scripture by the analogy of faith, comparing text with text, on the premise that stripturem cs stripture explicandam esset ("Scripture" is beet explained from Scripture").

We beg readers not to force the assumptions of resentieth-century fundamentalism on the ancient Christian writers, who themselvs know nothing of what we now call findamentalism. It is uncritical to conclude that they were simple fundamentalism in the modern sense. Partistic engosis was not fundamentalists, because the Fathers were not reacting against modern naturalistic reductionism. They were constantly protesting a merely literal or plain-sense view of the text, always looking for its spririnal and moral and typological nanones. Modern fundamentalism oppositely is a defensive response transling out and away from modern historicism, while looks far more like modern historicism than ancient typological reasoning, Ironically, this makes both liberal and fundamentalist excepts sumed more like each other than either are like the ancient Christian excepts, because they both tend to appeal to rationalistic and historicist assumptions raised to the forefront by the Enlightermore.

Since the principle prevails in ancient Christian exegesis that each text is illumined by other texts and by the whole of the history of revelation, we find in patristic comments on a given text many other subtexts interwoven in order to illumine that text. When ancient exegesis weaves many Scriptures together, it does not limit its focus to a single text as much modern exegesis prefers, but constantly relates it to other texts by analogy, intensively using typological reasoning as did the rabbinit tradition.

The attempt to read the New Testament while ruling out all theological and moral, to say nothing of ecclesiastical, sacramental and dogmatic assumptions that have prevailed generally in the community of faith that wrote is, seems to many who participate in that community today a very thin enterprise indeed. When we try to make sense of the New Testament while ruling out the plausibility of the incarnation and resurrection, the effort appears arrogant and distorted. One who tendentiously reads one page of partissic eragesis, gaps and tosses it away because it does not conform adequately to the canons of modern exegesis and historicist commentary is surely no model of criticulation.

On Misogyny and Anti-Semitism

The questions of anti-Semisism and misogymy require circumspect comment. The patristic writers are precived by some to be incurably anti-Semisic or misogymous or both. I would like to briefly attempt a cautious palogia for the ancient Christian writers, leaving details to others more deliberare efforts. I know how hazardous this is, especially when done briefly. But it has become such a stumbling block to some of our readers that it prevents them even from listening to the ancient ecumenical teachers. The sizus deserves owner reframing and careful argumentation.

Although these are challengeable assumptions and highly controverted, it is my view that modern racial anti-Semitism was not in the minds of the ancient Christian writers. Their arguments were not framed in regard to the harter of a race, but rather the place of the elect people of God, the Jews, in the history of the divine-human covenant that is fulfilled in Jesus Christ. Patristic arguments may have had the unintended effect of being unfair to women according to modern standards, but their intention was to understand then loed ownen according to according to an

This does not solve all of the tangled moral questions regarding the roles of Christians in the histories of anti-Semism and misogeny, which require containing fair-minded study and caltrification. Whether John Chrysostom or Justin Marryr were anti-Semitic depends on whether the term anti-Semitic has a racial or religious-rypological definition. In my view, the patriotic texts that appear to modern readers to be anti-Semitic in most cases have a rypological reference and rebased on a specific approach to the interpretation of Scripture—the analogy of faith—which assesses each particulate text in relation to the whole trend of the history of revelation and which views the difference between Jew and Gentile under christological assumptions and not merely as a matter of genetics or race.

Even in their harshest strictures against Judaizing threats to the gospel, they did not consider

Jews as racially or genetically inferior people, as modern anti-Semites are prone to do. Even in their comments on Paul's strictures against women teaching, they showed little or no animus against the female gender as such, but rather exalted women as "the glory of man."

Compare the writings of Rosemary Radford Ruether and David C. Ford¹⁰ on these perplexing issues. Ruether steadily applies modern criteria of justice to judge the inadequacies of the ancient Christian writers. Food seeks to understand the ancient Christian writers empatically from withit their own historical assumptions, limitations, scriptural interpretations and deeper intentions While both treatments are illuminating, Ford's treatment comes closer to a fair-minded assessment of pastristic intent.

A Note on Pelagius

The selection criteria do not rule our passages from Pelagius's commentaries at those points at which they provide good exegesis. This requires special explanation, if we are to hold fast to our criterion of consensuality.

The literary corpus of Pelagius remains highly controverted. Though Pelagius was by general consent the arch-heretic of the early fifth century, Pelagius's edited commentaries, as we now have them highly worked over by later orthodox writers, were widely read and preserved for future generations under other names. Or Pelagius presents us with a textual dilemma.

Until 1944 all we had was a corrupted text of his Pauline commentary and fragments quench by Augustine. Since then his works have been much studied and debaird, and we now know that the Pelagian corpus has been so warped by a history of later redactors that we might be tempted not to quote it at all. But it does remain a significant source of fifth-entury comment on Paul. So we cannot study lipsone. In My suggestion is that the reader is well advised not to quate the fifth-entury Pelagian so easily with later standard streetopyes of the arch-berray of Pelagianism.¹¹ It has to be remembered that the text of Pelagian on Paul as we now have it was preserved in the

corpus of Jerome and probably reworked in the sixth century by either Primasius or Cassiodorus or both. These commentaries were repeatedly recycled and redacted, so what we have today may be regarded as consonant with much standard larer patristic thought and exegesis, excluding, of course, that which is ecumenically censured as 'Pelaganism.'

Pelagius's original text was in specific ways presumably explicitly heretical, but what we have now is largely unexceptional, even if it is still possible to detect points of disagreement with Augustine.

[&]quot;Resemby Radford Ruserine: Coppy of Nacionese River and Vision-join Collected Carendom Press, 1999; Recemby Radford Ruserine
de, Religion and Series incapa of Wisson on the Joint and Content Tealiston, 1999; to Wiss Stress and Schotters, 1999; David C. Ford:
"Men and Wissons in the Early Church: The Full Views of St., Joint Chrystosom?" [So., Canasan, Penn. St. Täkkors) Orthodon Threlogial Seminant, 1995; C. of related voids to join betweeneds: Teaphers B. Canasan, Penn. St. Täkkors) Orthodon Threlogitial Seminant, 1995; C. of related voids to join betweeneds: Teaphers B. Canasan, Penn. St. Täkkors)

Cf. Adalbert Hamman. Supplementum to Pl. 1:1959. cois. 1101-1570.

We may have been ill-advised to quote this material as "Pelagius" and perhaps might have quoted it as "Pseudo-Pelagius" or "Anonymous," but here we follow contemporary reference practice.

What to Expect from the Introductions, Overviews and the Design of the Commentary In writing the introduction for a particular volume, the volume editor typically discusses the opinion of the Fathers regarding authoriship of the text, the importance of the biblical book for particular interpreters, the availability or paucity of partisits comment, any salient points of debate between the Fathers, and apparticular challenges involved in editing that particular volume. The introdution affords the opportunity to frame the entire commentary in a manner that will help the general reader understand the nature and significance of partisits commente on the biblical texts under consideration, and to object readers in the commentary in a minformed way:

The purpose of the overview is to give readers a brief glimpae into the cumulative argument of the pericope, identifying its major partiratic contributors. This is a task of summarizing. We here seek to render a service to reader by stating the glist of partiratic argument on a serie of versar. Ideally the overview should track a reasonably cobesive thread of argument among partiratic comments on the pericope, even though they are derived from diverse sources and times. The design of the overview may vary somewhat from volume to volume of this series, depending on the requirements of the specific book of Scripture.

specinic cook of Scripture.

The purpose of the selection loading is to introduce readers quickly into the subject matter of that selection. In this way readers can quickly grasp what is coming by glancing over the headings and overview. Usually it is evident upon examination that some phrase in the selection naturally defines the subject of the heading. Several years may be linked to other for comment.

Since biographical information on each ancient Christian writer is in abundant supply in various general reference works, dictionaries and encyclopedias, the ACCS has no reason to displicate these efforts. But we have provided in each volume a simple chronological list of those quoted in that volume, and an alphabetical set of biographical sketches with minimal ecclesiastical, jurisdictional and observed destinations.

Each passage of Scripture presents its own distinct set of problems concerning both selection and translation. The sheer quantity of tentual materials that has been searched out, assessed and reviewed varies which from book to book. There are also used variations in the depth of partitist insight into texts, the complexity of culturally shaped allusions and the modern relevance of the materials examined. It has been a challenge to each volume editor to draw together and develop a reasonably cohesive sequence of textural interpretations from all of this diversity.

The footnotes intend to assist readers with obscurities and potential confusions. In the annotations we have identified many of the Scripture allusions and historical references embedded within the texts. The aim of our citing is to help readers move easily from text to ext through a deliberate editorial linking process that is seen in the overviews, headings and annotations. We have limited the footmotes to roughly less than a one in ten ratio to the partitist texts themselves. Abbreviations are used in the footmotes, and a list of abbreviations is included in each volume. We found that the task of editorial linkage need not be forced into a single pattern for all biblical books but must be molded but that particular books.

The Complementarity of Interdisciplinary Research Methods in This Investigation

The ACCS is intrinsically an interdisciplinary research endeavor. It conjointly employs several diverse but interrelated methods of research, each of which is a distinct field of inquiry in its own right. Principal among these methods are the following:

Textual criticism. No literature is ever transmitted by handwritten manuscripts without the risk of some variations in the text creeping in. Because we are working with ancient texts, frequently recopied, we are obliged to employ all methods of inquiry appropriate to the study of ancient texts. To that end, we have depended heavily on the most reliable text-critical scholarship employed in both biblical and partissic studies. The work of textual critics in these fields has been invaluable in providing us with the most authoritative and reliable versions of ancient texts currently available. We have gratefully employed the extensive critical analyses used in creating the Thesaurus Linguae Grazeae and Cercleof databases.

In respect to the biblical texts, our database researchers and volume editors have often been faced with the challenge of considering which variants within the biblical text itself are assumed in a particular selection. It is not always self-evident which translation or atenma of the biblical text is being employed by the ancient commentator. We have supplied explanatory footnotes in some cases where these various textual challenges may raise potential concerns for reader.

Social-hierarial contensalization. Our volume editors have sought to understand the historical, social, conomic and political contexts of the selections taken from these ancient texts. This understanding is often vital to the process of discreming what a given comment means or intends and which comments are most appropriate to the biblical passage at hand. However, our mission is not primarily to discuss these contexts extensively or to display them in the references. We are not primarily interested in the social location of the text or the philological history of particular words or in the societal consequences of the text, however interesting or evocative these may be. Some of these questions, however, can be tranzale briefit in the footnomes wherever the volume editors deem necessary.

Though some modest contextualization of patristic texts is at times useful and required, our purpose is not to provide a detailed social-historical placement of each patristic text. That would require volumes ten times this size. We know there are certain texts that need only slight contextualization, others that require a great deal more. Meanwhile, other texts stand on their own easily and brilliantly, in some cases aphoristically, without the need of extensive contextualization. These are the texts we have most sought to identify and include. We are least interested in those texts that obviously require a lot of convoluted explanation for a modern audience. We are particularly inclined to rule out those blazandy offensive texts (apparently anti-Semitic, morally repugnant, glaringly chavaristic) and those that are intrinsically ambiguous or those that would simply be selfevidently alierating to the modern audience.

Exegois. If the practice of social-historical contextualization is secondary to the purpose of the ACCS, the emphasis on thoughful partistic eagersis of the oblical text is primary. The intention of our volume editors is to search for selections that define, discuss and explain the meanings that partistic commenzators have discovered in the biblical text. Our purpose is not to provide an inoffensive or extensively demythologized, aeptic modern interpretation of the ancient commenzators on each Sociente text but a follow their comments to speak for themselves from within their own worldowing.

In this series the term corgonic is used more often in its classic than in its modern sense. In its classic sense, exegosis includes efforts to explain, interpret and comment on a text, its meaning, its sources, its connections with other texts. I implies a done reading of the text, using whatever line guistic, historical, literary or theological resources are available to explain the text. It is contrasted with irregion, which implies that the interpreter has imposed his or her own personal opinions or assumentions on the text.

The patriotic writers actively practiced intratextual exegesis, which seeks to define and identify the exact wording of the text, its grammatical structure and the interconnectedness of its parts. They also practice contractual exegesis, seeking to discern the georgraphical instruction of contractual exegesis, seeking to discern the will be also very well-practiced in interternal execution, seeking to discern the measure of a text to community in with other texts.

Hermonencia. We are especially attentive to the ways in which the ancient Christian writers described their own interpreting processes. This hermonentic self-analysis is appecially rich in the reflections of Origen, Terrullian, Jerome, Augustine and Vincent of Lérins. ¹³ Although most of our volume editors are thoroughly familiar with contemporary critical discussions of hermonentucial and interary methods, it is not the purpose of ACCS to engage these issues directly, intenda, we are occurred to display and reveal the various hermonentic assumptions that inform the patristic reading of Scrisuper, children by letting the writers speak in their own terms.

Homiletics. One of the practical goals of the ACCS is the renewal of contemporary preaching in the light of the wisdom of ancient Christian preaching. With this goal in mind, many of the most trenchant and illuminating comments included are selected nor from formal commentaries but

Our concern for this aspect of the project has resulted in the production of a companion volume to the ACCS written by the ACCS Associate Editor. Prof. Christopher Hall of Eastern College, Realing Sorgeon with the Chartle Fathern (Downers Grown, Ill.; Inter/Variaty Pares, 1981).

from the homilies of the ancient Christian writers. It comes as no surprise that the most renowned among these early preachers were also those most actively engaged in the task of preaching. The prototypical Fathers who are most astute at describing their own homiletic assumptions and methods are Gregory the Great, Leo the Great, Augustrine, Cyril of Jerusalem, John Chrysostom, Peter Chrysologus and Caearsius of Arles.

Pastoral care. Another intensely practical goal of the ACCS is to renew our readers' awareness of the ancient tradition of pastoral care and ministry to persons. Among the leading Fathers who excel in pastoral wisdom and in application of the Bible to the work of ministry are foregory of Nazianzus. John Chrystostom, Augustine, and Gregory the Great. Our editors have presented this monumental pastoral wisdom in a guildeste way that is not immdated by the premises of contemporary psychotheraps, sociology and naturalistic reductionism.

Tondation theory. Each volume is composed of direct quotations in dynamic equivalent English translation of ancient Christian writers, translated from the original language in its best received text. The adequacy of a given atternal artenslation is always challengable. The task of translation is intrinsically debatable. We have sought dynamic equivalency¹¹ without lapting into paraphrase, and a literary translation without lapting into wooden literalism. We have tried consistently to make accessible to contemporary reasts the vital manners and emergies of the languages of antiquity. Whenever possible we have opted for metaphors and terms that are normally used by communicators todar.

What Have We Achieved?

We have designed the first full-scale early Christian commentary on Scripture in the last five hundred years. Any future attempts at a Christian Talmud or patristic commentary on Scripture will either follow much of our design or stand in some significant response to it.

We have successfully brought together a distinguished international network of Protestant, Cationic and Orthodox scholars, editors and translators of the highest quality and reputation to accombilish this design.

[&]quot;The theory of symmer equivalency has been most thoroughly sweeted on by Eugen A. Nilla, Thord of Science of Statistics (Lecture (Lecture

This trillian network of scholars, editors, publishers, technicians and translators, which constitutes an amazing nown and a distinct new ecumenical reality in itself, has jointly brought into formulation the basic pattern and direction of the project, gradually amending and correcting it as needed. We have provided an interdisciplinary experimental research model for the integration of digital search techniques with the nutry of the history of exegusis.

At this time of writing, we are apprecimately halfway through the actual production of the series and about halfway through the time frame of the project, having developed the design to a point where it is not likely to change significantly. We have made time-dared contracts with all volume edutors for the remainder of the volumes. We are thus well on our way toward bringing the English ACCS to completion. We have extended and enhanced our international network to a point where we are now poised to proceed into modern non-English language versions of ACCS. We altrach have insugurated editions in Spanish, Chinese, Arabic, Russian and Italian, and are preparing for editions in Arabic and German, with several more languages under consideration.

We have received the full cooperation and support of Drew University as academic sponsor of the project—a distinguished university that has a remarkable record of supporting major international publication projects that have remained in prints for long periods of time, in many cases over one-hundred years. The most widely used Bible connordance and biblical word-reference system in the world today was composed by Drew perfoase Jumes Serong, It was the very room once occupied by Professor Strong, where the concordance research was done in the 1880s, that for many years was my office at Drew and coincidentally the place where this series was conceived. Today Strong, Eshansire Concordance of the Bible rests on the shelves of most pastered Bibraries in the English opeak-ing world over a hundred years after its first publication. Similarly the New York Tone) Arno Press has kept in print the major multivolume Drew University work of John M Clintock and Jumes Strong. Treological and Engerical Engelopulae. The major endition of Clintock and Jumes Strong. Treological and Engerical Engelopulae. The major endition of Clintock and Jumes Strong. Treological and Engerical Engelopulae. The major endition of Clintock and Jumes Strong. Treological and Engerical Engelopulae. The major endition of Clintock and Jumes Grong. The content of the leadership, space, library, work-study assistance and services that have enabled these durable international scholurity projects to be understaken.

Our selfless benefactors have preferred to remain anonymous. They have been well-informed, active partners in its conceptualization and development, and unflagging advocates and counselors in the support of this lengthy and costly effort. The series has been blessed by steady and generous support, and accompanied by innumerable gifts of providence.

Thomas C. Oden
Henry Anson Buttz Professor of Theology, Drew University
General Editor, ACCS

A GUIDE TO USING THIS COMMENTARY

Several features have been incorporated into the design of this commentary. The following comments are intended to assist readers in making full use of this volume.

Pericopes of Scripture

The scriptural text has been divided into periciopes, or passages, usually several verses in length. Each of these pericopes is given a bedding, which appears as the beginning of of the pericope. For example, the first pericope in the commentary on Genesis is "1.1 The Beginning of Creation." This heading is followed by the Scripture passage gourded in the Revised Standard Version [RNS] across the full which of the page. The Scripture passage is provided for the convenience of readers, but it is also in keeping with medieval partistic commentaries, in which the citations of the Fathers were arranged around the text of Scripture.

Overviews

Following each perscope of text is an overview of the patristic comismen on that perscope. The format of this overview varies within the volumes of this series, depending on the requirements of the specific book of Scripture. The function of the overview is to provide a brief summary of all the comments to follow. It tracks a reasonably cobesive thread of argument among patristic comments, even though they are derived from diverse sources and generation. Thus the summaries do not proceed chronologically or by verse sequence. Rather they seek to rehearse the overall course of the patristic comments on that persions.

We do not assume that the commentators themselves anticipated or expressed a formally received cohesive argument but rather that the various arguments tend to flow in a plausible, recognitable pattern. Modern readers can thus glimpse aspects of continuity in the flow of diverse exceptical traditions representine various senerations and ecoerarbical locations.

Topical Headings

An abundance of varied partistic comment is available for each pericope of these letters. For this reason we have broken the pericopes into two levels. First is the verse with its topical heading. The partistic comments are then focused on aspects of each verse, with topical headings nummarizing the easence of the patristic comment by evoking a key phrase, metaphor or idea. This feature provides a bridge by which modern readers can enter into the heart of the patristic comment.

Identifying the Patristic Texts

Following the ropical heading of each section of comment, the name of the patristic commentator is given. An English translation of the patristic comment is then provided. This is immediately followed by the title of the patristic work and the textual reference—either by book, section and subsection or by book-and-verse references.

The Footnotes

Readers who wish to pursue a deeper investigation of the partistic works cited in this commentary will find the footnotes especially valuable. A footnote number directs the reader to the notes at the bortom of the right-shand column, where in addition to other notations (clarifications or biblicat cross references) one will find information on English translations (where available) and standard original-language editions of the work cited. An abbreviate citation (normally citing the book, volume and page number) of the work is provided except in cases where a line-by-line commentary is being quoted, in which case the biblical references will lead directly to the selection. A key to the abbreviation is provided on pages x-vers. Where there is any serious ambiguity or termal problem in the selection, we have tried to reflect the best available textual tradition.

For the convenience of comment database uses the digital database references are provided to

For the convenience of computer database users the digital database references are provided to either the Theaturus Lingua Graecae (Greek texts) or to the Cetedoc (Latin texts) in the appendix found on pages 177-82.

ARREVIATIONS

F

FGFR

Н

ACW	Ancient Christian Writers: The Works of the Fathers in Translation. Mahwah, N.J.: Paulist Press, 1946
AF	J. B. Lightfoot and J. R. Harmer, trans. The Apostolic Fathers. Edited by M. W. Holmes. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1989.
AHSIS	Dana Miller, ed. The Ascetical Homilies of Saint Isaac the Syrian. Boston, Mass.: Holy Transfiguration Monastery, 1984.
ANF	A. Roberts and J. Donaldson, eds. Ante-Nicene Fathers. 10 vols. Buffalo, N.Y.: Christian Literature. 1885-1896. Reprint, Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 1951-1956. Reprint, Peabody, Mass.: Hendrickson, 1994.
ARL	St. Athanasius. The Resurrection Letters. Paraphrased and introduced by Jack N. Sparks. Nash-

ARL	St. Athanasius. The Resurrection Letters. Paraphrased and introduced by Jack N. Sparks. Nasl ville: Thomas Nelson, 1979.
CCL	Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols, 1953

0000	Corpus Scriptorum Ciristianorum Orientanum Douvain, Beignum, 1905.
CSEL	Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum. Vienna, 1866
ESOO	1 A. Assembni and Sensei Barri, Nortel Enhance Suri Opera Opera Dame 1727

300	J. C. Carelliani, ed. Santi Tario Legis Ligitatini Syri Opera Omini. Pedite, 1757.
С	Fathers of the Church: A New Translation. Washington, D.C.: Catholic University of America Press, 1947.
GFR	F. W. Norris. Faith Gives Fullness to Reasonine: The Five Theological Orations of Grecory Nazianzen.

	Leiden and New York: E. J. Brill, 1990.
GNOS	Hadwiga Hörner, ed. Gregorii Nysseni Opera Supplementum. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1972.
HOG	Bade the Venerable, Hamilies on the Gornels Translated by L. T. Marrin and D. Hurse 2 vo

10G	Kalamazoo, Mi				by L	T. Marti	n and D. Hu	irst. 2	rc
HOP	Ephrem the S	vrian. Hymns o	on Paradise.	Translated	by 5	Brock.	Crestwood	N.Y.	

QG	Jerome. Helvew Questions on Genesis. Translated with introduction and commentary by C. Hayward. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995.	T.1

JCC	John Cassian. Conferences. Translated by Colm Luibheid. Classics of Western Mahwari, N.J.: Paulist Press, 1985.	Spirituality

- IMO Justin Martyr. Opera. Edited by J. C. T. Otto. 3 vols. Jena: Mauke, 1842-1848. LCC J. Baillie et al., eds. The Library of Christian Classics. 26 vols. Philadelphia: Westminster,
- 1953-1966. LCL Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press: London: Heinemann. 1912-

TP

- LQAH W. W. Harvey, ed. Sancti Irenaei Episcopi Lugunesis Libros Quinque Adversus Haereses. 2 vols. Cambridge: Academic Press. 1857.
- NPNF P. Schaff et al., ed. A Select Library of the Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers of the Christian Church. 2 series (14 vols. each). Buffalo, N.Y.: Christian Literature, 1887-1894; Reprint, Grand Rapids, Mich. Eerdmans, 1952-1956; Reprint, Peabods, Mass. Hendrickson, 1994.
- OFP Origen. On First Principles. Translated by G. W. Butterworth. London: SPCK, 1936; Reprint, Gloucester, Mass. Perer Smith, 1973.
- OSW Origen. Selected Writings. Translated by Rowan A. Greer. Classics of Western Spirituality: A Library of the Great Spiritual Masters. Mahwah. N.J.: Paulist, 1979.
- PG J.-P. Migne, ed. Patrologia Graeca. 166 vols. Paris: Migne, 1857-1886.
- PL J.-P. Migne, ed. Patrologia Latina. 221 vols. Paris: Migne, 1844-1864.
- PL Supp. A. Hamman, ed. Patrologia Latina Supplementum. 5 vols. Paris: Editions Garnier Frères, 1958-1974.
- PMFSH Pseudo-Macarius. The Fifty Spiritual Homilies and the Grost Letter. Translated and edited by George A. Maloney, S.J. Classics of Western Spirituality. New York: Paulist, 1992.
- George A. Maloney, S.J. Classics of Western Spirituality. New York: Paulist, 1992.

 PS R. Graffin, ed. Patrologia Syriaca. 3 vols. Paris: Firmin-Didot et socii, 1894-1926.
- PSD Pseudo-Dionysius. The Compilete Works. Translated by Colm Luibheid et al. Classics of Western Soirituality. Mahwah. N.I.: Paulist. 1987.
- QO Theodoret of Cyrus. Quaestiones in Octateuchum. Edited by N. Fernandez. Madrid: Marcos A. Saenz-Badillos. 1979.
- Saenz-Badillos, 1979.

 SC H. de Lubac, J. Daniélou et al., eds. Sources Chrétiennes. Paris: Editions du Cerf, 1941.
- SNTD Symeon the New Theologian. The Discourses. Translated by C. J. DeCatanzaro. Classics of Western Soirituality: A Library of the Great Spiritual Masters. Mahwah. N.I. Paulist. 1980.
 - The Philokalia. The complete text compiled by St. Nikodimos of the Holy Mountain and St. Makarios of Corinth. Translated and edited by G. E. H. Palmer, Philip Sherrard and Kallistos Ware. 4 vols. London: Fabre 67 Eaber. 1879-1995.

INTRODUCTION TO GENESIS 1-11

The early chapters of Genesis had arguably a greater influence on the development of Christian theology than did any other part of the Old Testament. In these early chapters the Fathers have set out the fundamental patterns of Christian theology. Here there was affirmed the doctrine of creation, in accordance with which the created order had been brought into being from nothing by Gold Word as something "exceedingly good" (Gen 133). One of the most popular genree of scriptural commentary among the Fathers was commentary on the six days of creation, the Heazemeron. Those by Baail the Great and Ambrous are perhaps the most famous. Although Augustine give this title to none of his books, he returned at least five times to exposition of the first chapter of Genesis and three times carried his commentary beyond the first chapter (Two Books on Genesis Against the Masishnesses.) on the Litral Interpretation of Genesis and Clip of Genesis and Clip of Genesis and

The Fathers also found in Genesia the doctrine of humankind cerated "according to the image and likeness of God." In addition, they found three the doctrine of the fall and the beginning of faller human society, as well as hints and guesses about the everental overcoming of the fallen human condition through the incarnation. There follows an account of the establishment of the human race outside the 'paradise of delight'; the contasts arrangel for survival in a natural environment now unfriendly or even actively hostitie, the spread of sin and crime, beginning after humanity's first duobedience, with Calin marter of this brother Adel). In sale othe discovery of mais; (Gen 422) and metal took (Grot, Calin marter of this brother Adel), but also the discovery of mais; (Gen 422) and metal alook (Grot, 422). That first attempt to find a way of life outside paradise soon foundered in the proliferation of wicksdness by humankind, which was swept away by the flood, Noah and his family alone surviving, together with representatives of the whole aimful knoglems. Such wholesale punishment of human wicksdness was not, however, to be the rule, and the rainhow became the sign and piedge of God's coverant with humankind, made with Noah (the Noahcic coverant, Gen 98-817).

After the flood, Noah and his descendants began once again to establish a way of life in the fallen world. Noah became a farmer and planted a vineyaut (Gero 900.) His first experiments with with making, however, were unfortunate: he succumbed to drunkenness and ended up stretched out in his tent with his dothes in diastray; In this phase of human development; orities began to be establishted: Babylon and Ninewh are mensioned (Gen 1010-11). But it became apparent that human solidatiny manifest in the building up of ordered human communities, such as cities, could be directed in pried against God and his purposes for humandin, and at the tower of Babs! (or "on-fusion," as the Septuagint has it) human solidatity was broken by the confusion of tongues, the creation of different languages. It was in this divided world that Abram was born among the Chaldeans. (Neither the Greek Septuagins nor the Latin Vulgare recognized Ur as a place name: the Greek translates it as "place", Jero one take it to mean "fire" and, in a learned once, connects in with the fire worship of the ancient Zoroastrians.) Genesis 11 ends with Abraham leaving the Chaldeans and settling in Haran in Mesopotamia, where he received God Scal 100 journey still direther and become the father of a great nation (Gen 12:1-3). With that call there commence the accounts of the partiarchs, of the revolation of the God of Abraham and lease and Jacob, of the calling of the people of Israel and the whole story of the Old Textament, in which there emerges, so Christians with the Fathers believe, the hope for the comies Messials, above fallified in the incarastion of the Son of God as least on Nazareth.

Critical Problems of the Text

We shall look in more detail at the thrology the Fathers drew out of these chapters later on, but first various critical problems need to be discussed. Compiling a parisitic commentary on any part of the Old Testament raises questions not raised by such a commentary on the New Testament. These questions are largely to do with the actual biblical text and to a lesser extent with the higher criticism of that text (that is, questions of composition and authorship). With the New Testament, the English text that we read nowadays is a translation of the New Testament more or less as the Greek fathers themselves knew it (there are sometimes minor differences where textual criticism detects early accretions to the text, for instance at Mis 929, but these are few). But with the Old Testament, there is a major difference. For the Christian Old Testament was the Greek Septuagint (usually abbreviated as LXX, the Latin numeral for severny), whereas what it translated in our Bibles is the Helvew text. (which the Septuagint was an early translation.

Difference between the Hebrew Bible and the Septuagin: The text of the Hebrew Bible and that of the Septuagint display some major differences. The Septuagint includes books such as Eccleiasticus (or Sirach, an abbreviation of the full tride The Wiadom of Jeaus the Son of Sirach) and the Wiadom of Solomon that are not included in the Hebrew Bible. Some books in the Septuagint seem to be later expanded version of the Hebrew enrighta, five example, Euther and Danled, which in the Septuagint includes stories about Susanna and Bei and the Dragon, and an expanded account of the Three Young Men in the fiery furnace (including the songs sung by them in praise of Gold and creation). In other cases, the Septuagint presents the text in a rearranged oriet (e.g., the book of Jeremish, which has additions as well). There are also many minor disagreements between the Greek and Hebrew versions.

It is generally held that the Septuagint is a later, embellished version of the original Hebrew text.

But this is only partly true. Sometimes, as the Quntran discoveries have revealed, the Septuagint
preserves works that might have been included by the rabbis in the Hebrew Bilds had the Hebrew
original not been lost by the early centuries of the Christian era (or the common era, though it is not

clear to whom it is common, apart from Christians and post-Christians); such is the case with Sirach. Furthermore, the text of the Hebrew Bible that we have, the so-called Masoretic text, is the result of critical endeavors on the part of rabbis in the second half of the first millennium. It is, then, a good deal later than the Hebrew text that would have been available to the Greek translators of the Septuagint. Variants in it may well be witnesses to older and better readings than those found in the Masoretic text. (This, too, has been supported by the bloidal exts discovered at Quantan.)

The Spraagine The Christian Old Testament. The early Christians were well aware of three discrepancies between the Greek Old Testament and the Hebrew Blok. but aimous universally they regarded the Septuagine and translations from it, notably the Old Latin version, as the authoritative text of the Old Testament of their Christian Scriptures. The main reason for this was that the Septuagint was the version of the Old Testament that they were accustomed to using it was in forest that Christianity had spread throughout the Mediterranean world, and it was the Septuagint to the which Christian preachers and missionaries appealed as the Scripture. The Spraugapint to the version, quoted and referred to, for the most part, in the New Testament, which is, of course, in the Greek of the first Christian missionaries and Christian communities. The Old Latin version (over a since) was a translation of the Septuagint and remained the principal text of the Scriptures for those who speaks Latin throughout the partitive presiod.

When Christianity established itself among the Armenians, the Copts and the Georgians, the Septuagin formed the basis for their vernacular Old Testament. Even among the Syrians, who spoke a Semittic language, Syriac, their translation, the Postinar, though naturally a translation of the dosely related Hebrew, is not without the influence of interpretations inspired by the Septuagin.

The earliest dissenting voice from the primacy of the Septuagint seems to have been the Latin scholar frome, whose translation, now called the Volgate, was inspired by his ideal of Hebrew truth (Hebrica verias), bough even here, despire his shrill defense of the priority of the Hebrew, his version frequently follows the text of the Septuagin: ³ At the Reformation, the Renaissance ideal of ad juste ['to the sources'] led to Protestant vernacular translations of the Old Testament being based on the Hebrew, and thence to the idea that the Hebrew Bids in the Christian Old Testament.

Although the Roman Catholic Church initially resisted this and insisted on the authority of the Latin Vulgate, Roman Catholic scholarship in the latter half of the twentieth century has tended to follow the Reformers. Christians of the Orthodox tradition (whether Greek, Russian, Romanian or other strands) stick to the traditional notion of the Secusarius or translations of it as the Christian

Some scholars are coming to appreciate the value of the Septuagint as a witness to the original Hebrew. In the case of Genesis 1-11, see Ronald S. Hendel, The Tart of Genesis 1-13: Transif Studies and Cronol Edition (New New York Circled University Press, 1998). "See again for Greenisc. C.T. R. Haward, Invented Hebrey Quantess or Genesio (Yorked) Classrood Press, 1995.

Old Testament, and they are shored up in this position by the enormous importance of the liturgical texts that are seaked in allusions to and quoesations from the Greek text of the Septuagint. In the West, Orthodox Christians are a minority, but it is worth noting that recently a few scholars have called for a return to the original Christian tradition, according to which the Christian Old Testament is the Semonarits.

The logued of the Spraageit. For the Fathers, this tradition was virtually unquestioned. Furthermore, it was enhanced by the widely accopted tradition of the way in which the Speraageit had been translated. According to a legend, first witnessed in the Letter of Avistras, probably written in the sectional century S.C., the Septuageit was a Greek translation of the Hebrew Scriptures, commissioned by the Egyptian plazael Proberging IT Belliadelphus (287-287, C.) for his liberary in Alexandria. The Jewish high priest Elezar was approached and selected seventy-two scholars, sur from each of the tribse of Israel, who traveled to Alexandria and there finished their translation in seventy-two days. Later versions of the legend exist, for instance that recorded by the Christian bishop of Lyons in the later second entury, Irenaux. According to his version the translation numbered seventy and were required each to produce individual translations of the whole of the Hebrew Scriptures, which were required each to produce individual translations of the whole of the Hebrew Scriptures. Which were miscalously found to be identical. Such notices of its miscalous translation naturally enhanced the authority of the Septuagin (the title derived from the number of the translators) among Greek-seakine lews, escalibir in Alexandria, and then amone Christians.

The Spraagite braven Christian and Java. By the second century A.D., however, the use of the Septuagine among Christians was producing a reaction against it in Javain circles, especially those circles influenced by the growing rabbinic movement, which emphasized the supreme authority of the Hebrew version. This division between Christian Greek and Jewain Hebrew was deepened by Christian interpretations of verses from the Greek Septuagint that lad no support from the Hebrew text, the most famous of these being the use of Isasih 7:14 (Isasih) prophecy that 'a virgin shall conceive and hear a son and his insum shall be called Emmanues!' Jas a prophecy of the virginal conception of Jesus Christ (already fround in the New Testament at Mr. 123). While the Septuagin partiess unambiguously means 'virgin,' the Hebrew wood so translated (alond) means a 'young woman.'' Such discrepancies between the Septuagins and the Hebrew Bible, especially where the Greek version could be read as a prophecy of Christ, became one of the principal issues of early jew-tish-Christian polemic (see especially justin Marry's Dialogue with Tryphe the Jeo. a work belonging to the mid-second centure).

New translations, the Hexapla. In the course of the second century, various translators—Aquila, and later Symmachus and Theodotion—provided Greek versions closer to the original Hebrew.

See M. Müller, Tee First Bible of the Cleards: A Plea for the Septuagion, JSOT Supplement 206 (Sheffield: Sheffield: Academic Press, 1996).

*Letter to Aristeas, esp. 301-17.

Iteracus Advenus Hacroes 3.21.2. Augustine has much the same story. City of God 18.42.

These translations, which were presumably intended fire Greek-speaking Jews, have not survived, probably because of the supreme value attached by the rabbits to the Hebrew text and the consequent encouragement to learn Hebrew within rabbinic Judaism, save in the fragments that survive of a massive tool for biblical schodarship, the Hexapla, compiled by the great third-century Christian scholar and theologian Origien. The Hexapla, so-called because of its six columns, was a massive synopsis of the versions of the Old Testament with columns containing side by side the Hebrew text, that text transliterated into Greek, and the texts of Aquila, Symmachus, the Septuagint and Theodotion (chough there is some dispute about the exact Atapee of the Hexaple).

It is not clear what its purpose was, though it would alert Christian apologists to places where the Hebrew text did not support the Septuagint. What happened was that the Heapts supplemented the text of the Septuagint and provided betweet restand basis for scriptural interpretation this may have been Origin's purpose, for it is borne out by his exegetical practice in his commentatives and homilies. But it also enabled Origin and other scholars to correct the Septuagint against the Hebrew (where it was obscure, for instance), to supplement the Septuagint by the Hebrew where the latter was officed to the Contract of the Contract of the Septuagint where the Hebrew where was lacking. Origin apparently used the marks of ancient scholarship, the obeliat (*) and the aster-isk (*), to indicate passages unique to the Septuagint and those passages that had been added to the Septuagint on the Hebrew weeks.

This text—the Septuagin augmented by passages from the translations of the Hebrew, sometimes with the oblid and asterisias written in, sometimes with them onitree—came to circular among Christians, especially from the fourth century onwards, when the expansion of the now tolerated Christian thurch led to the demand for copies of the Scriptures (e.g., the fifty copies of the Scriptures ordered from Essebsiss of Cleasares by the emperic Constantine for use in churches as Life of Constantine 4.36-737. Such acceptance of both the Hebrew and Septuagins versions of the Old Textament—with the Hebrew supplementing but not correcting the Greek Septuagins, by now radiational among Christians—became the norm among Christians. Augustine gave eloquent expression to this understanding of scriptural authority:

On the Hexapla, see, most recently, A. Salvesen, ed., Origen) Hexapla and Fragment: Papers Protented at the Rich Seminar on the Hexapla Oxford Centre for Heirres and Jessith Studies. Textre and Studies zum anciken judentnum 0721-8753; 58 (Tübingen: Mohr Stebeck, 1998).

through the latter, but only through the prophets. On the other hand, where anything that is in the Septuagin is not in the Febrew text, the same Spirit must have preferred to any it through the former texther than through the prophets, that Sowing that these as well as those were prophets. Elevies he spoke, as he pleased, some things through leasth, others through ferminals, still others through one or another prophets or the same things be in different from through the latter prophet as well as the former. Mereover, anything that as found in both places is something that one and the same Spirit obset to say through both those of natural terms. In our such seek that the one failed left way in prophetsing and the other came after with a prophets translation of their words. For just as a single Spirit of pace integrind the former when they spike true and concendant words, to be same Spirit modifies in the latter when without mustal consultation they revertheless translated the whole as if with one modified. (For just as II skill)

Jemon and the Vulgate. Among the Greeks, this view held sway without any serious opposition. The only real dissort came in the West from Jerome, whose Lattu translation, which came to be called the Vulgate (the common Bible), was made in the case of the Old Testament, in principle, from the Hebrew, all frequently his translation, which were generally revisions of the Old Latin stutter than friesh translations, reflect the interpretation of the Septuagint. Jerome's preference for Hebrew truth was a lonely state and artracted criticism from, among others, Augustine. I was only gradually that the Vulgate established itself against the Old Latin, and in the case of the Paslett, Jerome's version from the Hebrew never established utself in larguagical state. The Venerable Beds, vertige in England in the early eighth century, is one of the first to make regular use of the Vulgate in his commentaries.

The text in the Aucient Christian Commentary on Scripture. How does this bear on the Aucient Christian Commentary on Scripture, and in particular on this, the first volume, that deals with Genesis 1-11? From what we have seen, it is apparent that the artual text the Fathers used in not something that we can pick up in a current English translation, for English Biblis use the 1-betwee text for the Old Teatament. Even if there were a reliable translation, for English Biblis use the 1-betwee text for the would not be caucily the text of the Fathers either, for printed versions of the Septuagina text are volude not be easily the text of the Fathers either, for printed versions of the Septuagin text are based on Alfred Rahlfi's edition, first published in 1935, which is an attempt to work back from the text shar have survived to the original text of the Alexandrian translation. But, as we have seen, the text most of the Fathers would have used would have been some form of the so-called Hexaplarit ext or at the very least have contained reading derived from the Hexaplarit

In this volume we have printed the translation of the Revised Standard Version and noted the variations of this text from the Septuagint (in Rahlfs's critical edition). In the early chapters of Gen-

See Carolinne White, The Correspondence (394-419) Between Jonne: and Augustine of Hippo. Studies in Bible and Early Christianity 23 (Lewisson) Oueroston; Lamoeter: Edwin Mellen Press. 1990), esp. 35-42. White provides an English translation of the letters.

easis the Septraagint follows the Hebrew closely: there seem to be no Hexaplatic readings, the variations from the Hebrew being mainly matters of interpretation of the Hebrew text or sometimes witnessing to a slightly different form of the text than the Masoretic text. But, as the reader will see, almost all the variant readings of the Septraagin are part of the text that the Fathers had before them and on which they were commenting.

Spraugien usuans from the Hofters in Genetis 1-11. Apart from individual variant readings, there are two striking groups of variants in the first eleven chapters of Genesis, both of which manifest themselves most sharply in the genealogies that form such a prominent feature of these chapters (though one that most modern readers tend to skip). The first concerns names, the second the periods of veran mentioned in the genealogies.

The variations in the names are of evo kinds. Most commonly, these variants are due to difficultios of transilteration between languages with different alphabers (e.g., Ninnos becomes Nebtoel (Oen 10d8)). But it is sometimes a matter of interpretation the Sprangation may interpret the name rather than transilterating it (e.g., at its first mention, the name Eve is translated 20h; "life," rather than transilteration of "babe" in Gen 11 is translated "consistion"). Or it may defined, are word to be a name where modern translators see a noun (e.g., in Gen 2.3, where Adam is translated by modern translations as "the nam," while the Sprangation seet the name Adam, Or it may identify a 1-lideree place name (the most striking example being the identification of the "Babe" of Gen 1010 with Babyloop or fail to identify a place name identified by modern school (e.g., in Gen 10.3). 3, where the Septuagist fails to identify Mestrain as Egypt). All significant variations in names are indicated by additional notes to the RSV vext.

The discrepancy between the periods of years recorded in the genealogies between the Septuaging and the Hebrew text (presumably due to miserading Hebrew numerals) was noticed in anotice intense; The variations are curious in that they usually have the effect of some of the partiarchi having children lazer in life, while the acrual length of their lives remains the same (e.g., according to the Hebrew. Adam became Sethi father when he was 130 and then lived for another 800 years, whereas the Septuagen has him becoming Sethi father at the age of 230 and living for another 700 years use Gen 53-53. These discrepancies, however, caused a problem. In the case of the Husbacki in 64 the thousals), the Hebrew calculation has him dying, at the age of 969, in the year of the flood (this son Lamech, born in his 187th year (Gen 255), became Noshi father when he was 182 (Gen 525). Noaln was 600 in the year of the flood: 187 + 182 + 600 = 969), whereas the Septuaginc calculation has him dying 14 years after the flood (Lamech, born in Methusalshi) 167th year, becomes Noshi father in his 188th year. Noaln was 600 in the year of the flood: 167 - 188 + 600 = 955, 14 years short or his data at age 969). But Methusalshi was not in the aris, so how did the survived

Jerome, in his Hebrew Questions on Genesis, solved the problem by reference to the Hebrew, a solution accepted by Augustine, who however noted that such correction of the Septuagint by the Hebrew was warranted only if there was reason to suppose that there had been a simple mistake, for the seventy were to be regarded, as we have seen, not simply as translators but as enjoying the freedom of prophets.⁸

Critical Problems of Composition and Authorship

Most modern readers of the Pentateuch, the first five books of the Bible that constituted the Helvere law. or Dohn, are ware of something of the results of modern scholaribje as to composition and authorship. For nearly two centuries it has generally though not universally been held that the Pentateuch was compiled in the posterable period (that is, after the scale or Bubylonian captivity, which laster from about 970 to 539 Ro.). making use of earlier materials—intrones, legends and law codes—and giving them a narrative structure beginning with the creation of the world or perhaps working them into an already existing paratries terructure.

The basis for this theory (for it is no more than that) is the existence of parallel passages in which the same event seems to be treated twice and the way in which God is referred to in different passages. So, in the chapters we are concerned with, there seems to be two accounts of creation, Genesia 11:2-94 and one beginning with Genesia 20-b that starrs with human creation and continues with an account of the fall. Also, in the account of the fold, there are discrepancies in the number of animals taken into the ark: one account seems to envisage pairs, while the other envisages two groups of animals, those tritually elean and those troubly unclean, the former being preserved in groups of seeven, while the latter are preserved in pairs (G. Gen 6:12-2 with Gen 7:13-2).

seven, while the latter are preserved in pairs (ct. Open 6.18-2.1 with near, 71/3-5). The difference in the way God is referred to appears in our chapters in that in Genesis 11.1-24a, 51-32.6-92.27,6-10.8.1-19 and 91-17 God is referred to as God (Hebrew cibbins) Greek theo). Elsewhere God is referred to by using the acared Terargamanou, YH-WH (mandated mto Greek as kyrior, "Lord," a practice preserved in English translations usual recently and written in capitals. Lono, as in the RSV exit, the drivine name, only pronounced by the priest in the remple liturgy (as a result we do not know how it is pronounced and can only guess). Following up these class, skrlod-ars have distinguished several different sources for the Pentateuch, often referred to by initials: J (the Yalwiste, or Jalwiste, source, where God is called from the beginning by the drivin name YH-WH). E (the Elishits source that calls God cibbins). D (the Deuteronomic source, connected with the reform; par prior to the called and P (the Priestal) source, much concerned with Imrugola and legal matters). For Genesis 1-11 the principal sources alleged are J and P (which adopts the Elishits source that candid sources alleged are J and P (which adopts the Elishits practice of referring Go God a cibbins prior to the readily source much concented with Imrugola and legal matters). For Genesis 1-11 the principal sources alleged are J and P (which adopts the Elishits practice of referring Go God a cibbins prior to the readily source much concented with Imrugola and legal matters). For Genesis 1-11 the principal sources alleged are J and P (which adopts the Elishits sources).

The Fathers knew nothing of all this, though they were aware of the differences that have led to the postulation of these sources. Such differences they tended to interpret in terms of the pedagogi-

^{*}See the passages cited below on Genesis 5:25-27.

cal purpose of the narrator who is telling a story on different levels (in this they might be claimed to have anticipated some of the more recent fashions in Old Testament scholarship). For them the narrator was Moses. This conviction the early Christians shared with the Jews, but the theological importance of Genesis, to which we shall shortly turn, and especially its account of creation, led to a shift in the Christian perception of the significance of the figure of Moses, as compared with that of the Jews.

For the Jews, Moses was the great legislator, the one who had received the law on Mr. Sinai: the Pentateuch was for them the Torah. Christian interest in the law had been deflected by the central significance they attached to Christ and to faith in him. Moses is still the lawgiver, the one who received the law on Sinai, but as author of the book of Genesis, he is the one who gave an account of creation, one who could contemplate and accurately describe the created order as God intended it. The story of the creation and of the fall was full of hints and guesses about the coming of Christ and the restoration of the cosmos in him, according to the Fathers. Consequently Moses was as much prophet as a lawgiver and as much prized for his insight into creation as for his authority as receiver of the law.

Theological Issues

It might seem strange that the Fathers invested so much significance in the early chapters of Genesia. The pattern that it provides of creation and fall is not something that the Jews detected in it for them the fall was not and is not a catadysmic event in the history of humankind; it is but one of many examples of human failure to live within the covenant. Why do the early chapters of Genesis assume such significance for particist and most later Christian theology?

Typology. The tragic parallelism of Adam and Christ became a key to understanding Christ's significance. Adam's disobedience in sanched by Christ's oblemence, the tree of the knowledge of good and evil is matched by the tree/wood of the cross (especially when the same word, zylon in Greek, lignom in Latin, means both 'tree' and 'wood'!). Eve is matched by Mary (who truly becomes 'mother' of the living' [cf. Gen 3:20], while Eve had become rather the mother of the living dead,. We can see in this a principle of scriptural interpretation, paralleling the formation of the Christian Bolle as consisting of Old Testament and New Testament. Lis to omnomive disct propogy; though this modern term reifies something that was for the Fathers more a habit of thought than a method or doctrine. The Fathers called it, in the East most commonly, thorsis, contemplation, looking more deeply into the meaning of Scripture, while the Latin fathers came to use the term for the rhetorical figure that expresses one thing through another allegoris, allegory.

This practice of making the text of Scripture shine like a beam of light, as it were, through the prism of faith in Cristic, in whom Adam's in and cours is cancided and in whom the hopes of Israel and the whole of humankind have been fulfilled, is perhaps, to begin with, the strangers thing about the Fishers' approach to Scripture. Another way of putting it, drawing on some ternariks by the French Catholic poet and diplomat Paul Claudel, is to see the Scriptures not as an arsend of approximate with which to attack one another (as Catholics and Protestants have been doing for years) but as a treasury of the manifold circles of Gods grace, texture of spribod, for no human words can capture the riches of Gods grace, the first drive proper orientation in the magnetic field of the rule of faith (in an admirt expression for what is nowedays summed up in the reced, or symbol of faith). The use of such imagery to express what is involved in scriptural interpretation points us in the right direction to begin to graps the approach of the Fathers, which is less cientific than poetic and often finds its fullest expression in the liturgical hymns of the church, woven out of the imagery of the scriptural texture.

Cration: The Futhers' sense of the fundamental place of creation in Christian doctrine was a consciously maintained theological premise. Attanasius, at the beginning of his treatise On the loarnanon. asserts: 'But as we proceed in our exposition of this (the incarnation of the World, we must first speak about the creation of the universe and its creator, God, so that in this way we may consider as fitting that its renewal was effected by the Word who created it in the beginning."

It is only against the background of a proper understanding of the doctrine of creation that we are able to grasp the significance of the incarration of the Word. What creation means, as Athanasius goes on to make clear, is that the universe has been created out of nothing by the Word of God. It follows from this that the universe is good, that the reason for its present lamentable state is not to be sought in the Create but in the fair that the highest cread state is that of a fer, rational being, so that to create a universe capable of containing the highest form of goodness was to create auverse that depended on the free obedience of rational beings, the fall being the failure of rational beings to remain faithful to the good.

That failure led to a universe characterized by corruption and death. The fall was not, however, the end of the story, for Good the Word, who had created the universe, came to live as a human being, among fallen human beings, and thereby to encounter the powers of corruption and death, unleashed in the cosmoo by the human failure to cleave to the good, to conquer them in his death

^{*}Athanasius On the Incornation 1.

and thereby to reveal the power of life in the resurrection and draw humankind into his divine life, where they will be secure in the good. The whole picture is of an arc of the divine purpose passing from creation to deflication (to use the traditional term in Greek partistics for the final glorification of creation, including humankind), transcending the lesser arc necessitated by human frailty passing from fall to redemption. Seen in this context, the incarnation is of more than merely human significance but involves the whole cosmic order.

cance our movers the whose cosmic order.

Himmainy in the maps of Gol. The commic dimension of creation-incarnation-deflication does not supplant the human significance of the drama of creation and redemption. In fact, rather the opposition, for the human isself has a comic role according to the forces fathers. This is expressed party in the doctrine of the human as a microcoum, a 'lintic counson,' in which the greater cosmos is reflected, and doctrine that the Fathers found in the classical philosophers, noablely Plato. There is a tendency in the Fathers to read the creation narrative of Genesis in terms of the cosmological myth of Plato's Timanus and vice versa. As microcoum, the human being is seen as integral to the cosmo, as the 'bond (routemony' of the cosmosi,' of the irreson, the fall of humanich has cosmic consequences it is not just humanikand that has been subjected to corruption and death but the whole cosmic order (cf. Rom 820.23).

But the ultimate justification for the high doctrine of the cosmic role of humankind lies in the doctrine, asserted in Genesis 1.26, that human beings were created in the image and likeness of God. The doctrine of human beings as bearing the driven image is not a doctrine that recurs much in the Old Textament; outside Genesis there are occasional echous, no more (e.g., Ps. 86, Ns. 22.3). Nor is it very prominent in the New Testament. But to the Hathers it is central as Pere Th. Camdoo once remarked, "This theme of the image is, in the theology of the Fathers, above all the Greek fathers, central in that doctrine there converge at once their christology and theology of the Trinity, their anthropology and psychology, their theology of creation and that of grace, the problem of nature and of the supernatural, the mystery of deficitation, the theology of the spiritual life and the laws of its development and of its progress."

It is central, one might argue, because the doctrine of the image enabled the Fathers to interpret the teaching they found in the Bible in categories of thought that they, as Greeks, owed in large part to their education, routed as it was in desaited philosophy, perchailly that of Plant. Disc can be limit trated in two ways. First, if being, in the image i dentified what it was to be human, then this would suggest that to be in the image was to be rational, the Greek for which is logikno. God created through his word ("He spoke"... and it was so"), that it, through his Logou, the Logou that, as John tells us, was "in the beginning," was "with God" and "was God" [In 11]. This suggests a deeper maning of being rational, Lorieize to be lorieize its to participate in the Loses Arie it, to participate; it

¹⁶Th. Camelot, "La Théologie de l'Image de Dieu," in Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologique 40 (1956): 443-44

the One who was incarnate as Christ (cf. In 1:14).

The language of Genesis 1.26 fins in well with this, for it states that human beings were created according to the image of God, it will once the total and that image is the Logos (cf. 2 Cor 44 which speaks of "Christe, who is the image of God," cf. 1 Gor 11:7; Col 11:5; And that image is also that into which we are transformed, or transfigured, by our response to the grace of God (cf. 2 Cor 3:18, where we are said to be "changed into the same image from glory to glory," and Rom 8:29 where we are destined to be "conformed to the image of his Soo").

The notion then of being fushioned in the image deepens the notion of what it is to be human. It make notions that make the capture large of God and links the original human state of being in the image of God with our final state, transfigured by the glory of God into the image of his Son. Being kai' eikona (which in later Christian Greek becomes a noun phrase, to kai' eikona, 'the state of being in the image') is then fundamental to understanding what it is to be human and what it is to be restored to communion with God.

This dynamic serise of a movement in which the fundamental created potentiality of human beings is revealed is linked by most of the Greek fathers to the other word used in Greensis 1.26, which says that humans were made in God's image and lineaus, hat elinous... hat ... homolisms. For the Greek word homolisms suggests a process rather than a state (the state of lileaness would be homolisms or homolisms); it was the word used by Platon of entered 'likening to God' or "assimilation to God" (homolisius thei), which was for him the goal of philosophy, as he remarks in a phrase much quoted by the Fathers (Theaterina 176b). Human beings are created in the image of God and, finally transfigured by the glory of God, will display God's likeness.

The whole process of responding to the grace of God by prayer and a demanding life of low brings about an assimilation to God in which humans find their created fulfillment: this assimilation to God is also called *niestis* (defication, becoming God). But this defication is possible only in and through Christ the incarnate Word, for humans possess only the potentiality for defication, because they are created in accordance with Christ, who is the image of God.

Even in this brief skerch, one can see how the notion of the image of God is an architectonic term in the theology of the Fathers, one in which all the dimensions of their theology converge. This real-ization perhaps found nowhere such clear expression as in john of Damascu, the Palentinian monk who opposed the destruction of images (iconoclean) by the Byzantine emperor Leo at the beginning of the eighth century. In his defense of the divine images, he begins his argument by showing how the notion of the image is a central analogical term in Christian theology, so that disrespect for the artists: image, such as the iconoclear emperor displayed, threatened to tear apart the whole fabric of Ortholox (theology (see Or the folier lengs 1.9.14.); \$1.6.2.9.).

The fall and original sin. Genesis 3 became for Christian theologians an explanation of why the cre-

ared order, fashioned by God air exceedingly good, "is full of evil and wickedness. As we have already remarked, the fundamental significance sees in the account of humanity's first disobedience is not something. Christians inherited from Jewish interpretation of Genesis but probably has much to do with the way in which the story of Adam is seen to mirror in reverse the story of Christ the Second. Adam. By his disobedience, Adam destroyed the relationship that God had established with him non-just for himself but for his descendants they are born into a world alienated from God.

Exactly how Adam came to disobey God is something which the Fathers pondered, and they came up with different solutions, as will be discovered in the paristic commentary that follows. Prode in the sense of setting one's soff up against God and ignoring his will, giving in ro the allorement of the senses and solidarity on Adam's part with his maguided wife are all interpretations suggested by the Fathers. They also make much of the deceri of the septent, whom they generally identify with Satan, who had been created as the greatest of the angles but fell, either because of profe that would not permit God to determine his moral would or more commonly because of my (cf. Wis 2:23-24), envy of the human being whom God had made a microcosm and bond of the co-

This cosmic role that the human being was created to fulfill is invoked by the Greek fathers to explain the fact that Adam's is affected not just himself but also his descendants. As Athanaius put it, and following him most of the Greek fathers, as a result of Adam's in, corruption and death have been unleashed into the world. The reason why repersance on Adam's part could not undo the effects of the fall is that the created order is in ruins as a result of Adam's in, and this various state is manifest in corruption and death that seem to stalk through the cosmos like averaging angels (see On the Instruments).

This cosmic understanding of the effects of the fall, characteristic of the Greek fathers, is in some contrast to Augustine's idea of the fall and original sin (an idea already developed by the unknown Latin father called Ambrosiaters, because his works are preserved among the writings of Ambrosiat, that eventually came to be dominant in the West. Augustine saw Adam's personal sin and guid as inherited by his descendants, so that they are guilty of original sin and justly suffer its consequences, because they all sinned in Adam (which he found affirmed in Rom 51.2 according to his interpretation of the traditional Latin version). The term original sin is not found in the Greek fathers, who in contrast steaks of the rather difference oncopes of ancestral sin (orwanterisk humanita).

The archrypal status of Genesis for the Stahers. After the first three chapters of Genesis, the seam of partistic comment becomes much thinner. The account of the flood is paid some attention and seen as a perfiguration of Christian baptism (cf. 1 Per \$20.21). But the rest of the account of the divelopment of human society, the seeming parallel growth of human skills and crafts and human wickedness, attracts little comment. Nonetheless these chapters provided preconceptions that affect partitistic thought is subhimals ways. The ambivalence of might in the buttle of the giants in General.

6:14 or in the figure of Nimrod (or Nebrod) who, in the Septuagint, is described as a mighty hunter against the Lord, is an example. More obvious and striking is the way in which the account of the origin of the differen human languages in the story of the tower of Babel or Babylon, or confussion.") presents the variety of human languages as a curse separating human beings one from another, in contrast to our modern inclination, the product of Romanticism, to see the multitude of different languages as winess to the untoold variety of human experience.

The Fathers read the first chapters of the Bible as unfolding a theological understanding of the human condition. The remarks above are intended to help the reader to profit from such an approach to what much modern scholarship regards as ancient legends of limited theological value. In rediscovering the theological perspective that the Fathers brought to the Scriptures, men and women today will find access to the deephs of a theological radiation that still has much to asy to them—this is the foundation on which the Ancient Christian Commentary on Scripture has been conceined. ¹¹

The spadework for this anthology of passages from the Fathers was done by Dr. Marco Conti, though the final version is the result of our collaborative effort. The introduction is mine, in the passages selected, a number of already sustent translations have been used, often modified the interests of accuracy or clarity. No attempt has been made, however, to introduce inclusive gender language; this would have been an elbowret task since so much of Geneils 1-11 concerns the human condition, and in selding a variety of strategies to avoid using the term mass we would have run the risk of obscing the Fathers thought. The reader's should bear in mind that in the original languages used by the Fathers the noun translated "man" (in Greek anthripos, in Latin homo) embraces both made and female.

University of Durham Durham, U.K.

[&]quot;General distor's tour: This values of the ACCS was prepared and editor—about completely—before the apparament of General Consister and Leight Near To Corbosic Coresis with by the tier Fo. Sergerbing Near with an introduction by Pailling J. Johnson L. Cald, St. Herman of Jainta Stevelerson, 2002; so which the reader is referred for additional particle selections and interpretations of General. We are present for the massive interior of Fr. Ross, from which one offers have been deply beenford. With its indicated and not not selection we otherwise would have beyone, and our translations have been checked against their original treat, since Fr. Rese would personally from Rossium readings from Rossium readings from Rossium readings.

GENESIS I-II

1:1 THE BEGINNING OF CREATION

'In the beginning God created" the heavens" and the earth.

Or When God began to create: *LXX heaven

Oversyreno God created beaven and earth through the Word, since"in the beginning was the Word" (AUGUSTINE). God made heaven and earth in the beginning, not in the beginning of time but in Christ (ORIGEN, CHRYSOS-TOM, AUGUSTINE). God created heaven and earth, that is, the matter of the heavens and the matter of the earth, which came to be from nothing (BASIL, NEMESIUS OF EMESA). The birth of the world was preceded by a condition of things suitable for the exercise of supernatural powers. The meaning of creation is known from divine revelation (Bass). To Moses, God's revelation of the beginnings was made adequately known, and his account is to be fully trusted (CHRYSOSTOM).

1:1 In the Beginning God Created the Heavens and Earth

HEAVEN AND EARTH WERE CREATED THROUGH THE WORD. ORIGEN: What is the beginning of all things except our Lord and "Savior of all." Jesus Christ the firstborn of every creature?" In this beginning, therefore, that is, in his Word. "God made heaven and

earth "as the evangelist John also says in the beginning of his Gospel:" In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him, and without him nothing was made." 1 HOMILIES ON GENESIS 1.1."

THE BEGINNING IS THE WORD. ORIGEN: Scripture is not speaking here of any temporal beginning, but it says that the heavens and the earth and all things that were made were made "in the beginning," that is, in the Savior. HOMILIES ON GENESIS LL.¹

Heaven and Earth Are the Formless MATTER OF THE UNIVERSE. AUGUSTINE: Scripture called heaven and earth that formless matter of the universe, which was changed into formed and beautiful natures by God's ineffable command... This heaven and earth, which were confused and mixed up.

were suited to receive forms from God their

1 Tim 4:10. Cel 1:15. Jn 1:1-3. PC 7:147. PC 7:147.

maker. On the Literal Interpretation of Genesis 3.10.⁶

GOD CREATED THE MATTER AND THE FORM OF HEAVENS AND EARTH. BASIL THE GREAT: [The Manichaeans assert that] the

form of the world is due to the wisdom of the supreme Artificer: marter came to the Creator from without; and thus the world results from a double origin. It has received from outside its matter and its essence and from God its form and figure. They thus come to deny that the mighty God has presided at the formation of the universe and pretend that he has only brought a crowning contribution to a common work, that he has only contributed some small portion to the genesis of beings. They are incapable from the debasement of their reasoning of raising their glances to the height of truth. Here below arts are subsequent to matter-introduced into life by the indispensable need of them. Wool existed before weaving made it supply one of nature's imperfections. Wood existed before carpentering took possession of it and transformed it each day to supply new wants and made us see all the advantages derived from it, giving the oar to the sailor, the winnowing fan to the laborer, the lance to the soldier. But God, before all those things that now attract our notice existed, after casting about in his mind and determining to bring into being time which had no being, imagined the world such as it ought to be and created matter in harmony with the form that he wished to give it. He assigned to the heavens the nature adapted for the heavens and gave to the earth an essence in accordance with its form. He formed, as he wished, fire. air and water, and gave to each the essence that the object of its existence required.

Finally, he welded all the diverse parts of the universe by links of indissolable attachment and established bewere them so perfect fellowhip and harmony that the most distant, in spire of their distance, appeared united in one universal sympathy. Let those men therefore remounce their fabulous imaginations, who, in spire of the wakness of their argument, present on measure a power as incomprehensible to main reason as it is untratable by many visco. God created the heavens and the earth, but not only half—the created all the heaven and the search, but not only half—the argument, present and search the caretal distance where and all the earth, created all the heaven and all the earth, creating the sessence with the form. HEXAS-MARSHA. 3.1.2.1.

GOD CREATED THINGS OUT OF NOTHING.
NEMESIUS OF EMESA: Even if it is granted
that the God of all things followed an order
[in the creation], he is shown to be God and
Creator and to have brought all things into
being out of nothing. On the Nature of
Man 26.⁶

THE CONSTRONE BESTERS IT HE BESTERS OF THE WORLD BE

*FC 84:151, *FC 46:21-24, *LCC 4:317.

natures, all the orderly arrangement of pure intelligences who are beyond the reach of our mind and of whom we cannot even discover the names. They fill the essence of this invisible world, as Paul teaches us. "For by him were all things created that are in heaven and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones or dominions or principalities or powers" or virtues or hosts of angels or the dignities of archangels. To this world at last it was necessary to add a new world, both a school and training place where the souls of men should be taught and a home for beings destined to be born and to die. Thus was created, of a nature analogous to that of this world and the animals and plants which live on it, the succession of time, forever pressing on and passing away and never stopping in its course. Is not this the nature of time, where the past is no more, the future does not exist, and the present escapes before being recognized? And such also is the nature of the creature that lives in time-condemned to grow or to perish without rest and without certain stability. It is therefore fit that the bodies of animals and plants, obliged to follow a sort of current and carried away by the motion that leads them to birth or to death, should live in the midst of surroundings whose nature is in accord with beings subject to change. Thus the writer who wisely tells us of the birth of the universe does not fail to put these words at the head of the narrative. "In the beginning God created": that is to say, in the beginning of time. Therefore, if he makes the world appear in the beginning, it is not a proof that its birth has preceded that of all other things that were made. He only wishes to tell us that after the invisible and intellectual world, the visible world, the world of the senses, began to exist. Exegetic Homilies 1.5.16

CREATION KNOWN FROM REVELATION.
BASIL THE GREAT: We are proposing to examine the structure of the world and to contemplate the whole universe, not from the wisdom of the world but from what God taught his servant when he spoke to him in person and without riddles. HEXEMERISON 6.1.11

To Moses Was REVEALED THE BEGINNING. CHRYSOSTOM: Notice this remarkable author. dearly beloved, and the particular gift he had. I mean, while all the other inspired authors told either what would happen after a long time or what was going to take place immediately, this blessed author, being born many generations after the event, was guided by the deity on high and judged worthy to narrate what had been created by the Lord of all from the very beginning. Accordingly be began with these words: "In the beginning God created heaven and earth." He well nigh bellows at us all and says, "Is it by human beings I am taught in uttering these things? It is the one who brought being from nothing who stirred my tongue in narrating them." Since we therefore listen to these words not as the words of Moses but as the words of the God of all things coming to us through the tongue of Moses, so I beg you, let us heed what is said as distinguished from our own reasoning. Hom-ILIES ON GENESIS 2.4.12

TRUST GOD'S REVELATION TO MOSES. CHRY-SOSTOM: Let us accept what is said with much gratitude, not overstepping the proper limit nor busying ourselves with matters beyond us. This is the besetting weakness of enemies of

*Col 1:16. **NPNF 2 8:54-55. **FC 46:83. **PC 74:31-32*; PG

the truth, wishing as they do to assign every matter to their own reasoning and lacking the realization that it is beyond the capacity of human nature to plumb God's creation. Hom-LIES ON GINESIS 2.4.¹³ temple's roof made before its pavement? God is not subject to nature's demands nor to the rules of technique. God is the creator and master technician of nature, and art, and everythine made or imacined. SERMON 1.4. ¹⁴

HEAVEN AND EARTH. CHRYSOSTOM: Why does it proceed, first heaven then earth? The

10FC 74:32: PG 53:28. 14PG 54:585.

1:2 THE FORMLESS EARTH

²The earth was without form and void," and darkness was upon [the face of] the deep, and the Spirit' of God was movine over [the face of] the waters."

b Or wind "LXX invisible and unformed "LXX owin the bracketed portion "LXX abyse: "LXX water (singular)

OVERVIEW: The earth that God made was invisible and withour form before God arranged the forms of all things by ordering and distinguishing them (Augustine). The darkness and the deep signify the absence of the hodily light that had to be created by God (AUGUSTINE). "Water" is another definition of the formless matter to be arranged by God (Augustine). The Spirit moving over the face of the waters foreshadows baptism (JEROME). The Spirit, which is compared to a mother bird (EPHREM), is said to hover over the water to accomplish the triune purpose of the Father and the Son (EPHREM, AMBROSE). On the first day the creation was still incomplete (BASIL). First it was created, and only

THE EARTH WAS FORMLESS MATTER.
ADDITING THE earth was invisible and unorganized, and darkness was copen and a significant of the advance of the earth was a significant of the earth of the

Was CREATION COMPLETE ON THE FIRST DAY! BASIL THE GREAT: Surely the perfect condition of the earth consists in its state of abundance: the budding of all sorts of plants, the putting forth of the lofty trees both fruitful and barren, the freshness and fragrance of

1:2a The Earth Was Without Form and

then was it ordered (AMBROSE).

FC 21:379.

flowers, and whatever things appeared on careful airtic later by the command of God to adorn their mother. Since as yet there was to conting of this, the Scripture reasonably spoke of it as incomplete. We might asy the same also about the howeven, that they were not yet brought to perfection themselves, the brought to perfection themselves, since they were not yet lighted around by the monn orthe tun, nor crowned by the other of the stars. For these things had not yet been made. Therefore you will not err from transfer. They can you will not err from the traft by you say that the heavens also were incommites. Heavangoo vs. 25.

CRACTION PRECEDES CREAMED. ANABOSE: The good architect lays the foundation first and afterward, when the foundation has been isled, piece the various parts of the building, one after the other, and then adds to it the orramentation... Scripture points out that things were first created and afterward put in order last it be supposed that they were not accurably created and that they had no beginning, just as if the nature of things had been as it were, generated from the beginning added afterward. HEXARMORN 1.75.

1:2b Darkness Was on the Face of the Deep

GOD DWELT IN SUPERNAL LIGHT BEFORE
CREATING A DIFFERENT PUTSICAL LIGHT.
ANOUSTINE: 'And darkness was over the
abysa.' The Manichaeans find fault with this
and say, 'Was God then in darkness, before he
made the light!' They themselves are truly in
the darkness of ginerance, and for that reason
they do not understand the light in which
God was before he made this light. For they
know only the light they see with the eyes of

the flesh. And therefore they worship this sun that every creature sees. But let us understand that there is a different light in which God dwells. Two Books on Genesis Against THE MANICHARANS 1.3-6-

THE DARKNESS AND THE DEP ARE THE MERR ARRENCE OF LIGHT. ADDUSTRIE: One who diligently considers what darkness is really finds only the absence of light. Thus is really finds only the absence of light. Thus is really finds only was over the abyas." I series as all darkness was over the abyas." Hence, this matter that is ordered and distinguished by the next work of God is called the invasible the next work of God is called the invasible and unformed earth, afthe the seed of heaven and earth. Like the seed of heaven and earth. On the Lethal Interpretation of Generals 4.11."

1:2c The Spirit Was Moving over the Face of the Waters

CREATED BY THE SPIRIT. AMBROSE: The Spirit fittingly moved over the earth, destined to bear fruit because by the aid of the Spirit it held the seeds of new birth which were to germinate according to the words of the prophet: "Send forth thy Spirit and they shall be created and thou shalt renew the face of the earth." HEAMMERON 1.8."

WATER IS EASILY MOVABLE FORMLESS
MATTER. AUGUSTINE: The matter is first
called by the name of the universe, that is, of
heaven and earth, for the sake of which it was
made from absolutely nothing. Second. its

FC 46:22. FC 42:26, 29. FC 84:53. FC 84:152. Fp. 104:30. FC 42:32-33.

formassass is conveyed by the mention of the unformed earth and the adps, because among all the elements earn is more formless and less bright than them; for the standard earth of the elements early the elements of the unique to the unique to the vent of the Maker, for which the element early than earth. And that on account of the eatiests by which it can be worked and moved, the matter subject to the Maker, show the Called water extended and moved, the matter subject to the Maker should be called water extended earth. On the LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GINESSES 4.11.

A SYMDOL OF BAPTIME, JEROME: In the beginning of Genesis, it is written: "And the Spirit was stirting above the waters." You see, then, what it says in the beginning of Genesis. Now for its mysterial meaning—"The Spirit was stirring above the waters:—already at that time baptime was being forestandowed. It could not be true baptime, to be sure, without the Spirit. Hontains to."

CREATION INITIATED THROUGH THE SPIRIT. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: [The Holy Spirit] warmed the waters with a kind of vital warmth, even bringing them to a boil through intense heat in order to make them fertile. The action of a hen is similar. It sits on its egg, making them fertile through the warmth of incubation. Here then, the Holy Spirit forenhadows the acarament of holy baptism, prefiguring its arrival, so that the waters made fertile by the hevering of that same divine Spirit might give birth to the children of God. Commentary or Gaissess 1.¹⁰

THE SPERIT HOVERED. EPHERN THE SYR-LANE IR was appropriate to reveal here that the Spirit hewerd in order for us to learn that the work of creation was held in common by the Spirit with the Ether and the Son. The Father spoke. The Son created, And so it was also right that the Spirit offer its work, clearly shown through its hovering, in order to demonstrate its unity with the other persons. Thus we learn that all was brought to perfict on and accomplished by the Trinity, Con-

*FC 84:153. *FC 48:74. The water of creation prefigures the water of bapeisen. **ESOO 1:118. **ESOO 1:117.

1:3-5 CREATION OF THE LIGHT

³And God said, "Let there be light", and there was light. "And God saw that the light was good; and God separated the light from the darkness. "God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And there was evening and there was morning, one day.

OVERVIEW: "Let there be light" was spoken ineffably. The light that God created is the

bodily light. "And God saw that the light was good" signifies that God approved his work,

not that he found before him a good that he had not known (AUGUSTINE). God is the author of light (AMBROSE). Light in its primordial form did not come from the sun, which had not yet been created (EPHREM). "God called the light day, and the darkness he called night" means that God made a distinction between light and darkness (BASIL, EPHREM, AUGUSTINE). The first day and night were not ruled yet by solar motion (BASIL). The concept of a "day" is not to be allegorized (EDUREM). The invisible spiritual world is created before the physical world (AMBROSE, EPHREM). Creation out of nothing is distinguished from that which is created out of what existed before (EPHREM).

1:3 Let There Be Light

THE ACTION OF LIGHT, AMERICAE God its the author of light, and the place and cause of darkness is the world. But the good Author tutered the world light so that he might reveal the world by infusing brightness therein and thus make its aspect beautiful. Suddenly then, the air became bright and darkness shrank in terror from the brilliance of the novel brightness. HEKAMERON 1.p.³.

INEFFARE COMMAND. ACQUISTING: We ought to understand that God did not say "Let there be light" by a sound brought forth from the large or by the tongue and rest. Such thoughts are those of persons physically preoccupied. To be wise in accord with the fliesh is death. "Let there be light" was spoken ineffably. ON THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GINNESS 5-19.

THE LIGHT BORN FROM GOD DISTINGUISHED FROM THE LIGHT MADE BY GOD.

AUGUSTIME: At the words themselves make undirected that this light was made. The light born from God is one things the light that God made is another. The light born from God is the very Wisdom of God, but the light made by God is something mutable, whether copporated in coreporate.

ON THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENESIS 4.20.

THE LIGHT DIO NOT COME FROM THE SUM. EPHRESH THE STREAM. THE High twas released to that it might person down en everything without bring fastened down. It dispersed the discliness that was sorre everything although it did not move. It was only when (the light) did not move. It was only when (the light) went away and when it came that it moved, for when (the light) went away the rule was given to the night, and at (the light) (coming there would be an end to (the night) of the control of the light remains of

1:4 The Light Was Good

SERMANTION OF LIGHT THOM DARKHESS.

BASIL THE GRANT Evening, then, is a common boundary line of day and night; and similarly morning is the part of night brodering on day. In order, therefore, to give the prerogative of prior generation to the day. Moses mentioned first the limit of the day and then that of the night, as night followed the day. The condition in the world before the creation of light was no night but darkness. That

FC 42:39. FC 84:156-57. FC 84:158. FC 91:61.

which was opposed to the day was named night. HEXAEMERON 2.8.5

Goo APROVES HES WORK, AGGISTINE
We should understand that this senterand that the senterand that the senteral things of God—instellar as it can be unumarily said—instellar this tway. The spoke, "and it was made," it is pleased him." They pleased him "They pleased him." They pleased him "They pleased him "They power and it is pleased him." They come that they may be a sentence that they have the sentence that they may be a sentence that the sentence that they may be a sentence that the sentence that the sentence that the sentence that they may be a sentence that the sentence that th

AUGUSTINE: "God saw that the light was good," and these words do not mean that God found before him a good that he had not known but that he was pleased by one that was finished. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST JUN MANICHARDS

THE ORIGINAL GOODNESS, THE FINAL GLORY OF GOODNESS. AMBROSE: God, as judge of the whole work, foreseeing what is going to happen as something completed, commends the part of his work which is still in its initial stages, being already cognizant of its termination. Heradmenon 3.2.6.

1:5a Day and Nieht

DISTINCTION BETWEEN LIGHT AND DARK-NESS. ACOUSTINE: "And God divided the light and the darkness, and God called the light day and he called the darkness night." It did not say here "God made the darkness," because darkness is merely the absence of light. Yet God made a division between light and darkness. So too we make a sound by cry-ing out, and we make a silence by noo making a sound, because silence is the cessation of sound. Still in some sense we distinguish between sound and silence and call the one sound and the other silence. "He called the light day, and he called the darkness night" was asid in the sense that he made them to be called, because he separated and ordered all things so that they could be distinguished and receive names. "Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST TIM MANUFALAND SOLE."

1:5b The First Day

NOT RULED BY SOLAR MOTION. BASIL THE GRAZITY NOW, henceforth, after the creation of the sun, it is day when the air is illuminated by the sun shining on the hemisphere above the earth, and night is the darkness of the earth, and night is the darkness of the earth when the sun is hidden. Yet it was not at a sun to the control of the control of the darkness of the earth when the sun is hidden. Yet it was not at the time according to solar motion, but again drawn in according to the neasure ordained by God, that day came and night moreous darkness when the control of the darkness of the control of the control of the darkness of the control of the darkness of the da

DISTINGUISHING CREATION OUT OF NOTH-ING FROM ALL ELSE CREATED OUT OF WHAT ELSTED BEPORE. EPHREM THE SYR-LAN: Heaven, earth, fire, wind and water were created from nothing as Scripture bears witness, whereas the light, which came to be on the first day along with the rest of the things that came to be afterward, came to be from

*FC 46:33. *FC 84:158-59. *FC 84:61. *FC 42:65. *That God has distinguished light from dark does not make darkness more than the absence of light but rather enables light and dark to be distinguished. *FC 64:62.5. *FC 64:63.5. *FC 64:63.5. something.... Therefore those five created things were created from nothing, and everything else was made from those [five] things that came to be from nothing. Commentary on Genesis 1.14.1; 15.1.12

THE SIX DAYS ARE RELIABLY DESCRIP-TIVE. EDHREM THE SYRIAN: So let no one think that there is anything allegorical in the works of the six days. No one can rightly say that the things pertaining to these days were symbolic, nor can one say that they were meaningless names or that other things were symbolized for us by their names. Rather, let us know in just what manner heaven and earth were created in the beginning. They were truly heaven and earth. There was no other thing signified by the names "heaven" and "earth." The rest of the works and things made that followed were not meaningless significations either, for the substances of their natures correspond to what their names sig-

nify. Commentary on Genesis 1.1.13

The Creation of Spiritual Beings.

Basil the Great: In fact, there did exist
something, as it seems, even before this world

which our mind can attain by contemplation but which has been left uninvestigated because it is not adapted to those who are beginners and as yet infants in understanding. This was a certain condition older than the birth of the world and proper to the supramundane powers, one beyond time, everlasting, without beginning or end. In it the Creator and Producer of all things perfected the works of his art, a spiritual light befitting the blessedness of those who love the Lord. rational and invisible natures, and the whole orderly arrangement of spiritual creatures which surpass our understanding and of which it is impossible even to discover the names. These fill completely the essence of the invisible world. HEXAEMERON 1.5.14

THE INVISIBLE WORLD CREATED.

Ambrose: The angels, dominions and powers, although they began to exist at some time, were already in existence when the [visible] world was created. HEXAEMBRON 1.5. ¹⁵

PECALAS PECALA PECAGA PECALIS

1:6-8 CREATION OF THE FIRMAMENT

"And God said, "Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters," and let it separate the waters from the waters." "And God made the firmament and separated the waters which were under the firmament from the waters which were above the firmament. Fand it was sea," "And God called the firmament Heaven." And there was evening and there was morning, a second day.

*LXX water (singular) *LXX add And it was so. *LXX own the insulated portion *LXX add And God saw that it was good

Ovasursw God formed the stable substance of the firmaneae by transforming the assirably fluid waters (Crass. or Jaconsatza). The firmaneae separated the corporal matter of invisible things (Neucostras). The waters that said the said of the said t

1:6 A Firmament in the Waters

NATURE OF THE FIRMAMENT. CYBIL OF JERUSALEM: For what fault have they to find with the vast creation of God, who out of the fluid nature of the waters formed the stable substance of the heavens? For God said. "Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters." God spoke once for all, and it stands fast, never failing. CATECHETICAL INCETURES 9.5.1

BASIL THE GREAT: And surely we need not believe, because [the firmament] seems to have had its origin, according to the general understanding, from water, that it is like either frozen water or some such material that takes its origin from the percolation of moisture, such as is a crystalline rock. HEXAE-MERON 3.8.7

1:7 Separating the Waters

THE FIRMAMENT DIVIDES VISIBLE AND
INVISIBLE THINGS. AUGUSTINE: The waters
were divided so that some were above the firmament and others below the firmament.
Since we said that matter was called water. ³ I

believe that the firmament of heaven separated the corporeal matter of visible things from the incorporeal matter of invisible things. Two Books on Genesis Against THE Manifements of the service of the

AUGUSTINE: The matter was separated by the interposition of the firmament so that the lower matter is that of bodies and the higher matter that of souls. On the LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENERS 8.26.5

THE WATERS NOT REDUCED TO SYMBOLS ONLY. BASIL THE GREAT: But as far as concerns the separation of the waters I am obliged to contest the opinion of certain writers in the church who, under the shadow of high and sublime conceptions, have launched out into metaphor and have seen in the waters only a figure to denote spiritual and incorporeal powers. In the higher regions, accordingly, above the firmament, dwell the better: in the lower regions, earth and matter are the dwelling place of the malignant. So, say they, God is praised by the waters that are above the heavens, that is to say, by the good powers, the purity of whose soul makes them worthy to sing the praises of God. And the waters that are under the heavens represent the wicked spirits, who from their natural height have fallen into the abyss of evil Turbulent seditious, agitated by the tumultuous waves of passion, they have received the name of sea. because of the instability and the inconstancy of their movements. Let us reject these theories as dreams and old women's tales. HEXAE-MERON 1.0.

⁵NPNF 2.7-52. ³FC 46-43. ³CE Comm. on Ges. 1:2. ⁴FC 84-64. ⁵FC 84-64. ⁴FC 84-65. ⁴NPNF 2.8-70-71.

THE FLOW OF WATER DOWNWARD, BASIS THE GREAT: Someone may ask this: Why does the Scripture reduce to a command of the Creator that tendency to flow downward which belongs naturally to water? . . . If water has this tendency by nature, the command ordering the waters to be gathered together into one place would be superfluous.... To this inquiry we say this, that you recognized very well the movements of the water after the command of the Lord, both that it is unsteads and unstable and that it is borne naturally down slopes and into hollows; but how it had any power previous to that, before the motion was engendered in it from this command, you yourself neither know nor have you heard it from one who knew. Reflect that the voice of God makes nature, and the command given at that time to creation provided the future course of action for the creatures. HEXAE-MERON 4.2.7

1:8 The Firmament Is Heaven

THE FIRMAMENT IS THE CORPOREAL

THE MATTER BROW THE FIRMAMENT IS COMPONENT AUGUSTNESS SHORE SCRIPTURE called heaven the firmament, we can without real heaven, in which everything is praceful and stable, in more mutable and perishable and is a kind of corporeal matter prior to the reception of beauty and the distriction of forms. On the LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF THE

FC 46:56-57. *Ia 66:1. *FC 71:48-49. *FC 84:165-66

1:9-10 THE DRY LAND AND THE SEAS APPEAR

⁹And God said, "Let the waters" under the heavens" be gathered together into one place, and let the dry land appear." And it was so. ¹⁸God called the dry land Earth, and the waters that were gathered together he called Seas. And God saw that it was good.

*LXX water (simpler) *LXX add And the water beneath the heaven was guthered into these places, and dry land appeared.

Overview: The waters were segregated from the earth (John of Damascus). Through the gathering together of the waters and the appearance of the dry land the confused and formless matter was ordered by receiving its proper, different forms (Augustine, Cherkostorow). The waters may symbolize the sins and vices of the body, which must be separated from the dry land, that is, from the deeds done in the flesh (Osugose). It is impossible for the human mind to fathom how precisely God creates (Giszoov or Nyssa).

1:9-10 Creation of Earth and Seas

HOW THE SEAS WERE FORMED. JOHN OF DAMAGUES NOw, the fact that Scriptour speaks of one gathering does not mean that they were gathered together into one place, for notice that after this is says. That the gathering together of the waters he called seas. Actually, the account meant that the waters were segregated by themselves apart from the carth. And so the waters were brought together into their gathering places and the dy land appeared. Oktimotor SEATTH 20.8

TRANSFORMATION OF THE FORMLESS MAT-TER AUGUSTINE: Now when Scripture save "Let the water which is below the heavens be gathered into one gathering," these words mean that this corporeal matter is to be formed into the beauty that these visible waters have. This gathering into one place is the formation of those waters that we see and touch. For every form is reduced to a rule of unity. What else should we understand is meant by the words "let the dry land appear" than this matter receives the visible form that this earth that we see and touch now has: Hence the previous expression the earth was invisible and without form" signified the confusion and obscurity of matter, and the expression "the water over which the spirit of God was borne" signified that same matter.

But now this water and earth are formed from that matter that was called by their names before it had received the forms that we now see. Two Books on Genesis Against the MANIFERENCE LINES

THE BLENDYP RECEIVE THEN FAMILIAN FORMS. ASSUMENTED HENCE AT the word I che who was the property of the proper

THE LORD NAMES THE ELEMENTS, CHRY-SOSTOM: Have you seen, dear brother, how God, in a sense, stripped the earth, which was invisible and formless, and was covered by the waters as if they were veils, and showed us its face, after he had imposed an appropriate name on it as well? "And the gatherings of the waters he called seas." So the waters also got their name. In fact, as an excellent craftsman, who sets out to make with his art a certain vase, does not give it a name until he has completed it, so the good Lord does not impose names on the elements until he has put them in their proper place according to his command Therefore after the earth had received its name and had reached its proper form, the gathered waters were called with their own

PC 37:224-25. PC 84:65-66. ACW 41:62. PC 74:71.

name. Homilies on Genesis 5.10.

SYMBOLISM OF THE SEAS AND THE DRY LAND, ORIGEN: Let us labor, therefore, to eather "the water that is under heaven" and cast it from us that "the dry land," which is our deeds done in the flesh, might appear. When this has been done, "men seeing our good works may glorify our Father who is in heaven."5 For if we have not separated from us those waters that are under heaven, that is, the sins and vices of our body, our dry land will not be able to appear nor have the courage to advance to the light. . . . The dry land, after the water was removed from it, did not continue further as "dry land" but was named "earth" by God. In this manner also our bodies, if this separation from them takes place. will no longer remain "dry land." They will, or the contrary, be called "earth" because they can now bear fruit for God. HOMILIES ON GENE-SIS 1.2.6

banish it altogether from our discussion. Even in the case of things which are quite within the grasp of our understanding and of which we have sensible perception, it would be impossible for the speculative reason to grasp the "how" of the production of the phenomenon, so much so that even inspired and saintly men have deemed such questions insoluble. For instance, the apostle says, "Through faith we understand that the worlds were framed by the word of God, so that things which are seen are not made of things which do appear." ... Let us, following the example of the apostle, leave the question of the "how" in each created thing without meddling with it at all but merely observing incidentally that the movement of God's will becomes at any moment that he pleases a fact, and the intention becomes at once realized in nature. On THE SOUL AND THE RESURPECTION A

How DID GOD CREATE! GREGORY OF NYSSA: As for the question of precisely how any single thing came into existence, we must

"Mr 5:16. "FC 71:50-51. "Heb 11:3. "NPNF 2 5:457-58.

1:11.13 THE CREATION OF PLANTS

"And God said, "Let the earth put forth vegetation, plants yielding seed," and fruit tree bearing fruit in which is their seed, each according to its kind, upon the earth." And it was so. "The earth brought forth vegetation, plants yielding seed according to their own kind," and trees bearing fruit in which is their seed, each according to its kind." And God saw that it was road." And there was veening and there was mermine, a third day.

*LXX add: according to their kind and likeness "LXX add: and likeness "LXX add: upon the earth

Overview: Since plants are different in species from earth and water, they are created separately from these elements (Augustine). God's command "Let the earth bring forth vegetation" became a law of nature and remained in the earth (BASIL). The earth did not of itself produce plants that were hidden primordially in its womb; rather, plants were created through the Word (BASIL), Although the grasses and the trees were only a moment old at their creation, they appeared as if they were months and years old. They were created as food for the animals and for Adam and his descendants (Epuppy) Poisonous and thorny plants were created after the original sin (Augustine). In the beginning the fruits, grains and vegetables were ripened by the Creator, not by the sun, which of itself is not to be worshiped (Basil, Ambrose, Chrysostom). The grain came from the ear. not the ear from the grain (GREGORY OF Nyssa).

1:11 Creation of Plants and Trees

PLANTS CREATED SEPARATELY FROM EARTH AND WATER, AUGUSTINE: Here we must note the plan of the Ruler of the world. Since the crops and trees created are different in species from earth and water and so cannot be counted among the elements, the decree by which they are to proceed from the earth is given separately, and the customary phrases describing their creation are put down separately. Thus Scripture says, "And so it was done," and then there is a repetition of what was done. There is separate mention also of the fact that God saw that it was good. But since these creatures cline fast to the earth and are joined to it by their roots, God wished them also to belong to the same day [of creation]. On the LITERAL INTER-PRETATION OF GENESIS 2.12.25.

THE GERMINATION OF THE EARTH. BASIL

THE GREAT: After the earth, rid of the weight of the water, had rested, the command had come to it to bring forth first the herbs, then the trees. And this we see still happening even at the pessent time. For the voice that was then heard and the first command became, as it were, also we fauture and remained in the earth, giving it the power to produce and bear fruit for all successing the power to produce and bear fruit for all successing the PLEXAMEMOR O. HEXAMEMOR O

PLANTS CREATED THROUGH THE WORD.
BASIL THE GREAT: When the earth heard,
'Let it bring forth vegetation and the fruit
trees," it did not produce plants that it had
hidden in it; nor did it send up to the surface
the palm or the oak or the cypress that had
been hidden somewhere down below in its
womb. On the contrary, it is the divine Word
that is the origin of things made. HEXAEMERCOR 5.1.3

THE MERACLE OF VEGETATION. BASIL THE GRAET. Let the earth bring forth herbs. And in the briefest moment of time the earth, beginning with germination in order that it might keep the laws of the Creator, passing through every form of increase, immediately brought the shoets to perfection. The meadows were deep with the abundant grass; the effectile plaint, rigingling with standing crops, presented the picture of a swelling sea with its moving head of grain. And every herb and every kind of vegetable and whatever sharehas and Equents there were rose from the earth at that time in all profusion. HERAE-MEROS. 4.5-6.*

1:12 Bringing Forth Vegetation

"ACW 41:61. "PC 46:67. "PC 46:117. "PC 46:74.

FROM THE EAR CAME THE GRAIN. GREGORY OF NYSSA: In the beginning, we see, it was not an ear rising from a grain but a grain coming from an ear, and after that, the ear grows round the grain. On the Soul and the Res-URRECTION.

DO NOT WORSHIP THE SUN. BASIL THE GREAT: The adornment of the earth is older than the sun, that those who have been misled may cease worshiping the sun as the origin of life. HEXAEMERON 5.1.

THE FRUITS WERE RIPENED BY THE CRE-ATOR. CHRYSOSTOM: Hence Scripture shows you everything completed before the creation of this body (he sun) leas you attribute the production of the crops to it instead of to the Creator of all things. Homilies on Genesis 6-12.

THE SUN DID NOT CREATE VEGETATION.
AMBRONE: Let everyone be informed that the sun is not the author of vegetation. ... How can the sun give the faculty of life to growing plants when these have already been brought forth by the life-giving creative power of God before the life-giving creati

How THE PLANTS APPEARED. EPHERS THE SYRLAN. Although the grasses were only a moment old at their creation, they appeared as if they were months old. Likewise, the trees, although only a day old when they spounde forth, were nevertheless like trees years old as they were fully grown and fruits were aircardy budding on their branches. The grass that would be required as food for the animats that were to be created two dars later was thus made ready. And the new corn that would be food for Adam and his descendants, who would be thrown out of paradise four days later, was thus prepared. Commentary on Genesis 1.22.1-2.7

THE POISONOUS AND THORNY PLANTS.

AUGUSTINE: The Manichaeans are accustomed to say. "If God commanded that the edible plants and the fruit trees come forth from the earth, who commanded that there come forth so many thorny or poisonous plants that are useless for food and so many trees that bear no fruit?" ... We should say then that the earth was cursed by reason of the sin of man so that it bears thorns, not that it should suffer punishment since it is without sensation but that it should always set before the eyes of man the judgment upon human sin. Thus men might be admonished by it to turn away from sins and to turn to God's commandments. Poisonous plants were created as a punishment or as a trial for mortals. All this is the result of sin. Two Books ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 1.13.19.1

THE EARTH PUT FORTH VEGETATION. BASIL
THE GRAAT: At this saying all the dense
woods appeared; all the trees shot up...
Likewise all the shrubs were immediately
thick with leaf and bushy; and the so-called
garland plants... all came into existence in a
moment of time, although they were not previously on the action.

"Let the earth bring forth." This brief command was immediately a mighty nature and

*NPNF 2 5:467. *FC 46:67. *FC 74:84; PG 53:58. *FC 42:67. *FC 91:90. **FC 84:66-67.

an elaborate system which brought to perfection more swiftly than our thought the countless properties of plants. HEXAEMERON 5.6,

VAGITATION NOY A SYMBOL. BASIL THE GREAT When I hear 'grassal.' Think of grass, and in the same manner I understand everything as it is said: a plant, as fish, a wild amad and now. Indeed.' Tam not ashamed of the gospet.'" . . . (Some) have attempted by false arguments and allegorical interpretations to bestow on the Scripture a dignity of their own imagning. But theirs is the attitude of one who considers himself wiser than the revelations of the Spirit and introduces his own ideas in pretense of an explanation. Therefore, let it be understood as it has been written. HEXARMEDIS O. 1. 15

God, Not the Sun, Created Day, John Chrysostom: He created the sun on the fourth day lest you think it is the cause of the day. Homilies on Genesis 6.14.14

¹³FC 46:74, 82. ¹³Rom 1:16. ¹³FC 46:135-36. ¹⁴FC 74:85; PG 53:58.

1:14-19 CREATION OF THE HEAVENLY BODIES

"And God said. "Let there be lights in the firmament of the heavens" to separate the day from the nights and let them be for signs and for seasons and for days and years, "and let them be lights" in the firmament of the heavens to give light upon the earth. And it was so. "And God made the two great lights, the greater light to ruite the day, and the lesser light to ruite the hights he made the stars also. "And God set them in the firmament of the heavens" to give light upon the earth. "to rule over the day and over the night, and to separate the light from the darkness. And God saw that it was good. "And there was evening and there was nevening and there was nevening a fourth day.

 *LXX beaven (singular) *LXX adds for the Bluminusion of the earth *LXX for Bluminusion

OVARUME The heavenly bodies are the receptacles of the primordial light, which God created on the first day (Jones or Damascus). Their function is to rule the days, the seasons and the years (Seast. Ovar. or Jenezalass). Their signs fix distinct intervals of time (AGURSTROS). The order of creation is precisely defined (CLENSDOSTROS) with primordial light preceding the sum (ASMROS). After the

stars, the sun and the moon were created, the day and the night were divided among the heavenly bodies. The heavenly bodies must be noted to force as natural phenomena but not in order to forecast the future (Acoustrus). The sun symbolizes the divine goodness (Psatuno-Diovossius). The sun and the moon symbolize Christ and the church. The stars are symbols of the saints and the prophets (Onunes).

1:14-15 Lights in the Firmament

NATURE OF THE HEAVENT BODIES, JOHN OF DAMAGEUE, Fire is one of the four elements. It is light and more buoyant than the others, and it both burns and gives light. It was made by the Creator on the first day, for sacred Scripture says, 'And God said: Be light and C. And light was made.' And Cod said: Be light was made. And light was made.' According to what some say, fire is the same thing as light. .. And into the luminaries of the firmament

what some say, fire is the same thing as light.

... And into the luminaries of the firmament
the Creator put the primordial light, not that
he was in want of any other light but that that
particular light might not remain idle. For the
luminary is not the light itself but its receptacle. ORTHOODE FAITH 2.7.

THEIR FUNCTION, BASIL THE GREAT: "Let them serve," he says, "for the fixing of days," not for making days but for ordering the days. For day and night are earlier than the generation of the luminaries.2 This the psalm declares to us when it says: "He placed the sun to rule the day, the moon and stars to rule the night."3 How, then, does the sun rule the day? Because, whenever the sun, carrying the light around with it, rises above our horizon, it puts an end to the darkness and brings us the day. Therefore one would not err if he would define the day as air, lighted by the sun, or as the measure of time in which the sun tarries in the hemisphere above the earth. But the sun and the moon were also appointed to be for the years. The moon, when it has completed its course twelve times, measures a year, except that it frequently needs an intercalary month for the accurate determination of the seasons, as the Hebrews and the most ancient Greeks formerly measured the year. The solar year is the return of the sun from a certain sign to that same sign in its regular

revolution HEVARMERON 6 8 4

WEIL-ORDERED MOVEMENTS. CYRL OF JREUSALEN: Men ought to have been astonished and amazed not only at the arrangement of the sun and moon but also at the wellordered movements of the stars and their unfettered courses and the timely rising of each of them; how some are signs of summer, others of winter; how some indicate the time for sowing, others the times of navigation. CATECHITICAL LECTURES 9.8.1

WHAT PRECEDED THE SUN. AMBROSE: Look first on the firmament of heaven, which was made before the sun. Look first on the earth. which began to be visible and was already formed before the sun put in its appearance. Look at the plants of the earth, which preceded in time the light of the sun. The bramble preceded the sun. The blade of grass is older than the moon. Therefore, do not believe that object to be a god to which the eifts of God are seen to be preferred. Three days have passed. No one, meanwhile, has looked for the sun, yet the brilliance of light has been in evidence everywhere. For the day too has its light, which is itself the precursor of the sun. HEXAEMERON 4.1.

THE ORDER OF CREATION. CHRYSOSTOM: For that reason the blessed Moses, inspired by the divine Spirit, teaches us with great precision, lest we fall victim to the same things as they, instead of being able to know clearly both the sequence of created things and how each thine was created, You see, if Gold in his

³FC 37:215-16. ³Day and night were created before the stars. ³Fs 135:8-9. ⁴FC 46:97. ³FC 61:189. ⁴FC 42:126. care for our salvation had not directed the tongue of the biblical author in this way, it would have been sufficient to say that God made heaven and earth, the sea and living things, and not add the order of the days nor what, was created first and what later. Homilities on General 710-7.

EXACT MANNE OF "SIESS." ACQUISTING We should not interpret the signs as something other than times. For Scripture is more speaking of these times that by their distinct intervals convey to us that eterrity remains immunible above them so that time single appear as a sign, that is, as a vestige of etermine, Likewise, when it adds." and for each offer years, "it shows of what times it is speaking. The signs of the signs

1:16-18 Greater and Lesser Lights

THE DAYS BEFORE AND AFTER THE CRE-THOU OF THE SUN AND THE MOON AUGUS. TINE: The Manichaeans ask how it could be that the heavenly hodies, that is, the sun and the moon and the stars, were made on the fourth day. How could the three previous days have passed without the sun? For we now see that a day passes with the rising and setting of the sun, while night comes to us in the sun's absence when it returns to east from the other side of the world. We answer them that the previous three days could each have been calculated by as great a period of time as that through which the sun passes, from when it rises in the east until it returns again to the east.... This would be our answer if we were

not held back by the words and evening came and morning came," for we see that this cannot now take place without the movement of the sun. Hence we are left with the interpretation that in that period of time the divisions between the works were called evening because of the completion of the work that was done and morning because of the beginning of the work to come. Scripture says this after the likeness of human works, since they generally begin in the morning and end at evening....[Then Scripture says, "And God set them in the firmament of the heavens to give light upon the earth, to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness."] Again they ask, "How did God previously divide the light and the darkness 10 if he made the heavenly bodies on this the fourth day?" Therefore these words. "to divide the light from the darkness," mean "to divide among themselves the light and the darkness, so that the day is given to the sun and the night to the moon and the other stars." The day and the night had already been distinguished11 but not yet in relation to the heavenly bodies. Two Books on GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS LAA 20123. 12

THE STARS DO NOT FORECAST THE FUTURE. AUGUSTINE: Everyone understands

FOTORA. AGGISTRE: Everyone understands that there is a great difference between astrological prediction and observing the stars as natural phenomena, in the way that farmers and sailors do, either to verify geographical areas or to steer their course somewhere, as pilots of ships do, and travelers, making their way through the sandy wastes of the south

^{*}PC 74:96-97; PG 53:65. *PC 84:173*. *Gen 1:5: 1:6: 1:6: 1:13. **Cf. Gen 1:4:5. **Cf. Gen 1:4:5. **PC 84:56: 70.

with no sure path; or to explain some point of doctrine by mentioning some of the stars as a useful illustration. As I said, there is a great difference between these practical customs and the superstitions of men who trudy the stars not to forecast the weather or to find their way or for spiritual parables but in an effort to peer into the predestined outcome of events. LETERS 45.01

THE SUN AS ECHO OF THE DYNEN GOOD-MARS. PARUND-DYNENUE The great, shining, ever-lighting sun is the appearest image of the driving goodness, a distance chool of the good, it illuminates whatever is capable of receiving its light, and yet it never less the utter fullness of its light. It sends its shaning beam all around the whole world, and if anythough the control of the beam of the control of the control of the beam of the control of the control of the beam of the control of the control of the to have a share in light. Divine Names 4-4970. "

SUM AND MOON ARE STORN OF THE TRUE LIGHT. ORIGEN: As those lights of heaven that we see have been set 'for signs and sea-sons and days and years,' that they might give light from the firmament of heaven to those who are on the earth, so also Christ, illuminating his church, gives signs by his precepts, that one might know how, when the sign has

been received, to escape the "wrath to come," it lest "that day overrake him like a thief," but that rather be can east," the acceptable part of the Lend." Christ, therefore, is the 'true light which enlightens every man coming into this world. "If From his light the thruch risted lists having been enlightened is made "the light of the world" enlightening those "who are in darkness," as also Christ himself testifies to his disciples saying. "You are the light of the world." However, and the light of the world." However, we consider the light of the world." However, wo of Enessis, 16.7.

THE STARS AS SYMBOLS, ONLORD, but and how some and the most are asid to be the great lights in the firmament of heaven, as also are lights in the firmament of heaven, as also are lights in the firmament, let us see Cod also placed stars in the firmament, let us see what are also stars in us, that is, in the heaven of our heart. Moses is a star in us, which shines and endighteen su by his acts. And so are Abraham, Isaca, Jacob, Isatah, Jeremiah, Ezekeld, David, Damel, and all to when the Holy Seripsture testify that they pleased God. They put as "lare affire from star in play" as also stack of the sistem, according to his own.

¹⁰FC 12:272-73. ¹⁰PSD 74. ¹¹Thess 1:10. ¹¹Thess 5:4. ¹¹Is 61:2. ¹⁰Jn 16. ¹⁰Rom 2:19. ¹⁰Mt 5:14. ¹³FC 71:54-55. ¹²I Cor 15:41. ¹⁰FC 71:55.

1:20-23 GOD CREATES THE BIRDS AND THE AQUATIC CREATURES

²⁰ And God said. *Let the waters bring forth swarms of living creatures, and [let birds fty]* above the earth across the firmament of the heavens.** ²¹ ²⁸ God created the great [see mon-steers] and [were [vining creature that mewes], with which the waters swarm, according to the kinds, and very winged bird according to its kind. And God saw that it was good. *And God bissed them, saying.* Be fruitful and multiply and fill the waters in the seas, and let birds multiply on the earth. *21 And there was seening and there was morning, a fifth day.

*LXX and birds flying *LXX ald: And it was so. *LXX whales *LXX the whole soul (life*) of creeping animals

Ovasivant God gave waters their proper ornament by creating swimming creatures and birds (Basts). The birds did not originate from water but from the Goody air saturated with water, out of which they came forth' (Acoustrus). The fish and birds respectively symbolize the evil and the good thoughts in the human mind (Ostosa). God also created the sea monsters, in order to raise fear and consternation in humans (Basts). After creating the animals of the water and the birds. God gave them the power of procreazion by saving' increase and multiph' (Acoustrus).

saying increase and muttiply (Alocistria); Alocistria); Many retarture were created on the aime day (Assissons); each of its own kind (Basta). With differences sustained through the generations, the succession of each species preserved (Basta) with the process preserved (Basta) with the process preserved humans, not of God (Assissons); The soul is not pre-cristently eternal as though sharing in God's exence, and he soul does not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and he soul does not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and he soul does not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and is not god's as exercised and the soul does not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and is not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and is not immigrate from body to bedy (God's exence, and is not immigrate from body to be god's exercised (Assisson of News).

1:20 Living Creatures in Waters and Sky

ADDRNING THE WATERS, BASIL THE GREAT: After the creation of the lights, then the waters were filled with living creatures, so that this portion of the world also was adorned. The earth had received its ornamentation from its own plants. The heavens had received the flowers of stars and had been adorned with two great lights as if with the radiance of twin eyes. It remained for the waters, too, to be given their proper ornament. The command came. Immediately rivers were productive, and marshy lakes were fruitful of species proper and natural to each. The sea was astir with all kinds of swimming creatures, and not even the water that remained in the slime and ponds was idle or without its contribution in creation. For clearly from and mosquitoes and gnats were generated from them, HEXAEMERON 2.1.

HOW BIRDS AND FISH MOVE SIMILARLY.

FC 46:105.

BASI THE GRACT God also said. "Let bried in fly above the earth across the firmament of the heaven." Why did he give winged creatures also their origin from the waters. Because the flying animals have a certain relationship, as it were, with those that swim. For just as the fish cut the water, going forward with the motion of their fins and guid-ing their turns and forward movements by the change of their stail, so also in the change of their got in the same manner.

SINGULANDOUS CREATION OF MANY LEVENDE BRINGS, AMERICE THE VIEW FOR BRINGS, AMERICE THE VIEW FOR THE SER PRODUCED THE I GUEST OF THE SER PRODUCED THE I GUEST OF THE SER THE SE

BIRDS GENERATED FROM AIR SETERATED WITH WATER AUGUSTINE! [The Manichasana] smallly find foult, questioning and offer misrepresenting Seriques for saying that not merely those animals that live in the water but also those that fly in the air and all winged cratures were born from the waters. Let them know that learned men who carefully investigate these matters usually include with the water has cloudy and mosts air in which the birds fly, For it comes together and becomes dense with the exhabitation and what I might call uppose of

the sea so that it can support the flight of birds. Thus on calm nights it produces dew, and drops of this dew are found on the grass in the morning. Two Books on GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 1.15.24.*

STMBOLISM OF THE SWIMMING AND FLYING CREATURES. ORIGEN: According to the letter "swimming creatures" and "birds" are brought forth by the waters at the command of God, and we recognize by whom these things that we see have been made. But let us see how also these same things come to be in our firmament of heaven, that is, in the firmness of our mind or heart. I think that if our mind has been enlightened by Christ, our sun. it is ordered afterward to bring forth from these waters that are in it "swimming creatures" and "birds that fly," that is, to bring out into the open good or evil thoughts that there might be a distinction of the good thoughts from the evil, which certainly both proceed from our heart as from the waters. But by the word and precept of God let us offer up both to God's view and judgment so that, with his

1:21 Creation of Sea Monsters

GENESIS L.S.

WHY THE SEA MONSTERS WERE CREATED.
BASIL THE GREAT: "God created the great sea
monsters." And not because they are larger
than the shrimp and herring are they called
great, but because with their immense hodies.

enlightenment, we may be able to distinguish what is evil from the good. However, ox

⁵FC 46:120-21. ⁵FC 42:160-62. ⁴FC 84:71. ⁵The literal or plain sense of the text. ⁴In a spiritual sense. ⁵FC 71:57.

OF Its Own KINN. BASIL THE GREAT There is nothing mere than this, that either each plant has seed or there exists in it some generative power. And this accounts for the capression of its own kind. The farhotor of the reed in one productive of the hotor of the reed in one productive of the reed, and from seeds spring plants related to the seeds sown. Thus what was put forth by the earth in its first generation has been preserved until the present time, since the kinds persisted through constant reproduction. HEKARMERON 5.5."

SUCCESSION PRESERVED, BASIL THE GREAT: The nature of existing objects, set in motion by one command, passes through creation without change, by generation and destruction, preserving the succession of the kinds through resemblance until it reaches the very end. It begets a horse as the successor of a horse, a lion of a lion and an eagle of an earle. It continues to preserve each of the animals by uninterrupted successions until the consummation of the universe. No length of time causes the specific characterisrics of the animals to be corrupted or extinct. but, as if established just recently, nature, ever fresh, moves along with time. HEXAEMERON 9.2.10

SPECIES PECULIAR PROPERTIES RECEIVED FROM GOD. AMBROSE: In the pine come nature seems to express an image of itself. It preserves its peculiar properties which it received from that divine and celestial command, and it repeats in the succession and order of the years its generation until the end of time its fulfilled. HEXARDEND 1.16.6R. 19

DIFFERENCES SUSTAINED, AMBROSE: The Word of God permeates every creature in the constitution of the world. Hence, as God had ordained, all kinds of living creatures were quickly produced from the earth. In compliance with a fixed law they all succeed each other from age to age according to their aspect and kind. The lion generates a lion; the tiger, a tiger: the ox, an ox: the swan, a swan; and the eagle, an eagle. What was once enjoined became in nature a habit for all time. Hence the earth has not ceased to offer the homage of its service. The original species of living creatures is reproduced for future ages by successive generations of its kind. HEXAEMERON 6.1.0.12

1:22 And God Blessed Them

Hybrids Are The Work of Humans, Not or God. Assissors: What pure and untarnished generations follow without intermingling one after another, so that a thymallus produces a thymallus; a sea-wolf. The sea-scoppion, too, preserves unstained its marriage bed. . . . Fish, therefore, know nothing of union with alies species. They do not have unsatural phetrothals

^{*}FC46:115-16. *FC46:69. **FC46:137. **FC42:119-20. **FC

such as are designedly brought about between animals of two different species as, for instance, the donkey and the mars, or again the female donkey and the horse, both being examples of unnatural union. Certainly there are cases in which atture suffers more in the nature of defiliences rather than that of injurto the individual. Man as an absence for the barrenness is responsible for this. He consider that the constant of the constant of the contant morped animal more valuable than one upsects and you mingle diverse seed, the Hand-MISHON (18,6).

SEEDS PREFIGURE RESURRECTION.

ANABORIS. Seeds of one kind cannot be changed finen another kind of plane nor bring forth produce differing from its own seeds, so that men should spring from seepens and flesh from teeth. How much more, indeed, is it to be believed that whatever has been writes again in its own nature and that crops do not spring from hard nor hard from soft, need to produce the control of the from the control of the contro

BODY INTEGRITY IN THE RESURRECTION

GREGORE INTEGRITY IN THE STEED.

GREGORY ON NYSSA: We learn from Scripture in the account of the first creation that first the earth brought forth "the green herb" (as the narrative say), and then from this plant seed was yielded, from which, when it was shed on the ground, the same form of the original plant again sprang up. The apostle, it is to be observed, declares that this very same thing happens in the resurrection also. And so

we learn from him the fact not only that our humanity will be then changed into something nobler but also that what we have therein to expect is nothing else than that which was at the beginning. On the Soul and the Resurrection.¹³

Some Do Not MIGRATE GREGORY OF Nyssa: Those who would contend that the soul migrates into natures divergent from each other seem to me to obliterate all natural distinctions - to blend and confuse together in every possible respect the rational, the irrational, the sentient and the insensate: if, that is, all these are to pass into each other with no distinct natural order secluding them from mutual transition. To say that one and the same soul, on account of a particular environment of body, is at one time a rational and intellectual soul, and that then it is caverned along with the reptiles, or herds with the birds, or is a beast of burden, or a carnivorous one, or swims in the deep; or even drops down to an insensate thing so as to strike our roots or become a complete tree, producing buds on branches, and from those buds a flower, or a thorn, or a fruit edible or noxious-to say this is nothing short of making all things the same and believing that one single nature runs through all beings; that there is a connection between them which blends and confuses hopelessly all the marks by which one could be distinguished from another. ON THE SOUL AND THE RESURBEC-T101: 16

³³FC 42:166. ³⁵NPNF 2 10:185. ³⁵NPNF 2 5:467. ³⁶NPNF 2 5:454.

PURPOSE OF THE BLESSING. AUGUSTINE: God wanted the blessing to have the power of fecundity, which is revealed in the succession of offspring. Thus, though the animals were made weak and mortal, they might by that blessing preserve their kind by giving birth.

On the Literal Interpretation of Genesis 15-50. 17

17FC 84:180.

1:24-25 CREATION OF THE ANIMALS OF THE EARTH

³³And God said. "Let the earth bring forth [living creatures]: according to their kindic cattle" and creeping things and beasts of the earth according to their kinds. "And it was so." "And God made the beasts of the earth according to their kinds and the cattle according to their kinds and everything that creeps upon the ground according to its kind. And God saw that it was good.

 $^{\circ}LXX$ a living soul (life!) $^{\circ}LXX$ quadrapeds

OVERVIEW: The animals of the earth are not created by the earth but by God on the earth through the divine Word. God's command to the earth remains, and the earth continues to bring forth animals (BASIL). The general description in Genesis 1:24-25 might refer to the creation of three distinct classes of animals: reptiles, predators and herds (Augus-TINE). Each species of animal resembles various human characteristics (CYRIL OF JERUSA-LEM). The animals of the earth symbolize the impulses of the outer, earthly person (Oni-GEN). The souls of beasts did not exist before creation (BASIL). The glory of God is revealed in the beauty and wealth of created beings (CHRYSOSTOM).

Souls of Beasts Did Not Exist Before Creation. Basil the Great The soul of brute beasts did not emerge after having been hidden in the earth, but it was called into existence of the time of the command. Hexaemeron 0.1.¹

CREATED BY GOD IN THE EARTH. BASIL THE GRART-FORMERLY GOD And said: "Let the waters bring forth crawling creatures that have life," leter, "Let the earth bring forth living creatures." Is the earth, then, possessed of life! And do the mad-minded Manichaeans hold the advantage, since they assume that the earth hat a soul!" No, when he said! "Let it."

1:24-25 Creation of Beasts of the Earth

¹FC 46:138. ¹Gen 1:20.

bring forth," it did not produce what was screed up in it, her he who gave the command also between dup in it, then who gave the command also between dup in it the power to Pring forth. Neither did the earth, when it heard, "Let it bring vegeation and the fruit trees," or the contrary, it is the divine Word that is the origin of things made." Let the earth bed'en for the contrary, it is the divine Word that is the origin of things made. Tet the earth bed. Tet the earth bed art for the forth." meaning not let it put forth what it and aready has but it is enquire what it dis nequire what it do an aready has but it is enquire what it do a require what it do a family distributions of of a true frort. Hazangaroo 8.1."

GOD'S COMMAND REMAINS CONSTANTIN ACTIVE. BASIL THE GREAT: "Let the earth bring forth living creatures according to their kinds: cattle and creeping things and beasts of the earth according to their kinds." Consider the word of God moving through all creation, having begun at that time, active up to the present and efficacious until the end, even to the consummation of the world. As a ball. when pushed by someone and then meeting with a slope, is borne downward by its own shape and the inclination of the ground and does not stop before some level surface receives it, so too the nature of existing objects, set in motion by one command. passes through creation, without change, by generation and destruction, preserving the succession of the species through resemblance until it reaches the very end. HEVAE MERON 9.2.5

HYMN OF PRAISE FOR THE BEAUTY OF CREATION. BASIL THE GRART: Let us glorify the Master Craftsman for all that has been done wisely and skillfully, and from the beauty of the visible things let us form an idea of him who is more than beautiful. And from the greatness of these perceptible and circumstances.

seribed bodies let us conceive of him who is infinite and immense and who surprases all jumderstanding in the plentine of this hypersecond to the property of the plentine of

Tink Wasters or Goos's Casarrous. Citassocrosis: It want simply for our use that he socrosis with the source of the source of the beautiful source of the source of the source of the contributing abundance of his creatures and be overwhelmed at the Creator's power, and be in a position to know that all these things were produced by a certain wisdom and institution of the source of the final beautiful source of the s

THESE CASSES OF ANNASAY AVOCSTIVE. We might infer the because the writer says these times "according to their kinds," our attention in called to three classes. First quadrupeds and creeping things according to their kinds, and here I believe he has indicated what quadrupeds he means, ramely, those that belong to the class of creeping things, such as lizards, amphibians, and the like. Thus, in repeating the enumeration of animals, the author did not report the name quadruped appearancy because he included them in

³Gen 1:11. *FC 46:117. *FC 46:136-37. *FC 46:19. ⁷FC 74:99 PG 61:46. the term "creeping things." With this in view, he did not say simply "creeping things" but rather "all creeping things of earth." "Of earth" is added because there are also creeping things in the waters, and "all" is added to include those also that move on four feet, the class specifically intended above by the term awadruped. Next, the beasts are another class, indicated also by the expression according to their kinds," and they are all those animals. excluding reptiles, that prowl about with fearsome mouths and claws. Finally, the herds make up a third class designated by the phrase "according to their kinds." These have no such fierce and violent ways as wild beasts. although some may attack with their horns. ON THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENE-SIS 3.11.17.

THE ANIMALS RESEMBLE DIFFERENT

HUMAN CHARACTERS, CYRIL OF IERUSA-LEM: God said: "Let the earth bring forth living creatures according to their kinds; cattle and creeping things and beasts of the earth according to their kinds." Different natures of animals sprang forth from the one earth at a single command-the gentle sheep and the carnivorous lion—and the various tendencies of irrational animals that display analogies to various human characteristics. Thus the fox typifies the craftiness of men, the snake the venomous treachery of friends and the neighing horse the wanton young man. There is the busy ant to rouse the indolent and sluggish:

for when a man spends an idle youth, then he is instructed by the irrational creatures, being chided by the sacred Scripture, which says, "Go to the ant, O sluggard, and considering her ways, emulate her and become wiser than she."9 For when you observe her treasuring up food for herself in good season, imitate her. Treasure up for yourself the fruits of good works for the world to come. CATECHETICAL LECTURES 0.13.10

THE ANIMALS SYMBOLIZE HUMAN IMPULSES. ORIGEN: In the present text, I think the impulses of our outer man, that is, of our carnal and earthly man, are indicated by this which is said: "Let the earth bring forth the living creatures according to their kind, four-footed creatures, creeping creatures and beasts on the earth according to their kind." In brief the text indicated nothing winged in these things13 that are said about the flesh, but only "four-footed creatures. creeping creatures and beasts of the earth." According to that, to be sure, which is said by the apostle, that "no good dwells in my flesh"12 and that "the wisdom of the flesh is hostile to God."13 those are certainly things that the earth, that is, our flesh, produces, HOMILIES ON GENESIS LIL

*ACW 41:86. *Prov 6:6. **FC 61:191-92. **Cf. Gen 1:20. 12Rom 7:18. 12Rom 8/7. 14PC 71:60:61

1:26-27 GOD CREATES MAN AND WOMAN

³⁰Then God said, *Let us make man [in our image, after our likeness],* and let them have dominion were the fish of the sea, and over the birds of the air, and over the cattle, and over every creeping thing that creeps upon the carth. *28 God are ated man in his own image, in the image of God he created him; male and female he created them.

*LXX according to our image and likeness

OVERVIEW: These verses are perhaps the verses of the Old Testament most commented on by the Fathers. The doctrine of man's creation in the image of God is the foundation of patristic anthropology. The mention of his likeness to God points to the destiny of his sanctification and glorification. On that common basis the Fathers develop the text in various ways. Many comment on God's address to himself in the plural as referring to the Trinity (PRUDENTIUS), Most of the early Fathers and later Greek fathers take the image according to which man is created to be Christ himself: hence man is an "image of the image" (CLEMENT OF ALEXAN-DRIA, MARIUS VICTORINUS).

Among the Greeks there is generally a disinction dearw hetween the image and the likeness man is created according to the mage, and his destiny in freedom is to a chieve likeness to God (Duson, DIADOCHUS). Augustine argued that man's soil is created in the image of God directly. Accordingly he maintained that the human soil is an image of the triune God and therefore intrinsically trinitarian (Fucussyrus).

As to what constitutes the image of God in man, Irenaeus maintained that this included both the corporeal and spiritual aspect of man. Most, however, found it in man's soul or spiritual aspect (Origen, John CASSIAN, AMBROSE). According to Sahdona the concept of the image of God in man had especially an ethical connotation: man achieved a likeness to God when he was renewed in the Christian faith. A peculiar view was expressed by Potamius of Lisbon, who saw the actual human body as a concrete representation of the Trinity (POTAMIUS). It refers to both our relationship to God and our being placed over the created order. It constitutes our royal state and is manifest in our possession of divine reason, in our freedom, immortality, virtue and justice (Grag-ORY OF NYSSA, JOHN OF DAMASCUS, CHRYSOSmou)

Both man and woman are created in the image of God. The drive image transcends sexual difference (Gissoov or Nyssa). The complementarity of female and male is represented in various ways: the female was already expected (Epseuse); the male symbolizes the spirit, while the female represents the soil. Scripture says 'male and female the created them.'

the blessing "increase and multiply" allowed human beings to reproduce through the union of male and female (ORIGEN).

Human dignity is honored by the unique triunc consultation prior to the creation of Adam, as revealed in Scripture (Bastu, Custrsoxyona). The image of God *i as comprehensive phrase (Gastoow or Nrsas), It is given: the likeness is robe freely chosen (Bastu). The verofield nature of humanity is seen in the terms inegar and likeness; and meast and womans (Gastoow or Nrsasa). The fall preceded conshitation (Gastoow or Nrsasa).

1:26a Let Us Make Man

THE TRUNK CONSULTATION OVER THE CREATION OF UNANANS. GREGOVER OF NYANA! This same language was not used for the creation of other things. The command was simple when light was created; God said, 'let there be light.' Heaven was also made without deliberation.... These, though, were before (the creation of) humans. For humans, there was deliberation. It eld do not ap, as he did when creation of the minn. For them humans, there was deliberation. He did not ap, as he are not in an imperative, laterack, God deliberated about the best way to bring to life a creation worthy of home. On true Chains or

HUMAN DIGNITY HONDRED BY THIS
DEBLIBBRATION. CHRYSOSTON: TO begin, it is
worthwhile to ask why God did not say, when
the heavens were created. 'Let us make the
heavens but intered.' Let us make the
heavens but intered.' Let us make be a heaven.
... Let there be light," and similarly for each
ofter aspect of creation. 'Let us make' suggests deliberation, collaboration and confermen with another person. So what is it whose

pending creation is granted so great an honor! It is humanity, the greatest and most marvelous of living beings, and the creation most worthy of honor before God... There is here this deliberation, collaboration and communtion not because God needs advice—God forbid saying such a thing—but so that the very impact of the language of our creation would show us honors. Samons on Greatest as. 1.2.

Ascent FROM LOWER TO HIGHER, GRUOTO ON THE THEORIES, CHILD ON THE THEORY SCHOOL OF THE THEORY

THE CRAATON OF HOMANTY. GRORON OF NEWSA SCIPPIOR INFORMS the Delity proceeded by a sert of graduated and ordered advances to the crastion of man. After the foundations of the universe were laid, as the history croceds, man did not appear on the earth at once, but the creation of the butture preceded, time, and the plants preceded them. Thereby Scripture shows that the vital forces blended time, and the plants preceded them. Thereby Scripture shows that the vital forces blended with the world of materia ecording to a graduation. First it infused itself into insensate nature and in continuation of this advanced into the continuation of the state of

'GNOS 5-6, 8, "PG 54-587-88, "NPNF 2 5:394

into himself every single form of life, both that of plants and that which is seen in brutes. His nourishment and growth he derives from vegetable life; for even in vegetables such processes are to be seen when aliment is being drawn in by their roots and given off in fruit and leaves. His sentient organization he derives from the brute creation. But his faculty of thought and reason is incommunicable, and a peculiar gift in our nature.... It is not possible for this reasoning faculty to exist in the life of the body without existing by means of sensations, and since sensation is already found subsisting in the brute creation, necessarily, as it were, by reason of this one condition, our soul has touch with the other things which are knit up with it: and these are all those phenomena within us that we call "passions." ON THE SOUL AND THE RESURRECTION.

THE FATHER AND THE SON CREATE MAN. PRUDENTIUS:

The inspired historian makes it very clear That at earth's dawn the Father not alone Nor without Christ his new creation

formed.

"God fashioned man," he says, "and gave to him The face of God." What but to say that he

Was not alone, that God stood by God's side When the Lord made man in image of the Lord? POEMS.⁵

1:26b Made in God's Image and Likeness

CHRIST THE IMAGE. CLEMENT OF ALEXAN-DRIA: For 'the image of God' is his Word (and the divine Word, the light who is the archetype of light, is a genuine son of Mind [the Father]); and an image of the Word is the true man, that

is, the mind in man, who on this account is said to have been created 'in the image' of God and 'in his likeness,' because through his understanding heart he is made like the divine Word or Reason [Logs], and so rational [logikos]. ExhorkTation TO THE GREEKS 10.6

ACCORDING TO OUR INAGE. MARIUS VICTORINUES MORE SAY what was all by God:

"Let us make man according to our image and by God:

"Let us make man according to our image and kinenes." God asynthat. He says "let us make to a co-operation, necessarily to Christ. And he says "according to the image." Therefore man is not the image for God, but he is 'according to the image. "For pleas alone is the image of God, but he is 'according to the image." For jesus alone is the image of God, but man is 'according to the image. "But may a "according to the image." Therefore both Father and Son are one image. "AGAINST ARIUS AGAINST ARIUS AGA

DISTINCTION BETWEEN IMAGE AND LIKE-

NESS. ORIGEN: In recording the first creation of man, Moses before all others says, "And God said. Let us make man in our own image and likeness." Then he adds afterwards. "And God made man: in the image of God made he him; male and female made he them, and he blessed them." Now the fact that he said "he made him in the image of God" and was silent about the likeness points to nothing else but this, that man received the honor of God's image in his first creation, whereas the perfection of God's likeness was reserved for him at the consummation. The purpose of this was that man should acquire it for himself by his own earnest efforts to imitate God, so that while the possibility of attaining perfection was given to him in the beginning through the

"NPNE 2 5-441-42 "PC 52-25 "LCL 215 "PC 69-11"

honor of the "image," he should in the end through the accomplishment of these works obtain for himself the perfect "likeness." On Figure Principles 2.6.1.

ISAGE FRAEX RECEIVED. DIADOCHUS OF PHOTOGE. All more are made in God's image; but to be in his likeness is granted only to those who through great love have brought their own freedom into subjection to God. For only when we do not belong to ourselves do we become like him who through love has reconciled us to himself. No one achieves this unless he persuades his soul not to be distracted by the false glitter of fish life. On STRITEGA PRINCETON 4.

IN OUR JAMAGE AUGUSTINES For why the 'out,' if the Son is the image of the Fallishens, as we have alone? But it is on account of the imperfect likeness, as we have said, that man is partially a might be an image of the Trinity. This image, and so 'out,' that man implie he an image of the Trinity. This lot of the Trinity as the Son is to the Fallish, but appreading it, as is said, but the son is to the Fallishens, and it is the son is to the Fallishens and the said is the said of the Trinity. This like is the said is the said of the Trinity is the son is to the Fallishens and the said is the said of the said is said in the said is the

AUGUSTINE: Not everything that among creatures bears some likeness to God is rightly called his image, but only that than which God alone is more exalted. That is directly drawn from him, if between himself and it there is no interposed nature. On THE TRIN-ITY 11-5.8.11

AUGUSTINE: For God said, "Let us make man in our image and likeness": a little later, however, it is said "And God made man in the image of God." It would certainly not be correct to any Jour." Because the number is plural, if man were made in the image of one person, whether Father, Son or Holy Spirit. But because he is made in the image of the Trinity, consequently it was said "in our image." Again, let we choose to believe in three god Soi in the Trinity, since the same Trinity is one God, he is the week of the same Trinity is one God, he was a said." And God made man in his image," as if he were to say "in his (own triune) image."

WHO SPEAKS OF "OUR"? FULGENTIUS OF RUSPE: Therefore let us hold that the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit are by nature one God: neither is the Father the one who is the Son, nor the Son the one who is the Father, nor the Holy Spirit the one who is the Father or the Son. For the essence, that which the Greeks call the ousig, of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit is one, in which essence the Father is not one thing and the Son a second thing and the Holy Spirit still a third thing, although in person the Father is different, the Son is different, and the Holy Spirit is different. All of this is demonstrated for us in the strongest fashion at the very beginning of the Holy Scriptures, when God says, "Let us make human beings in our image and likeness." When, using the singular number, he says "image," he shows that the nature is one, in whose image the human being was made. But when he says "our" in the plural, he shows that the very same God in whose image the human being was made is not one in person. For if in that one essence of Father, Son and Holy Spirit there were one person, "to our

^{*}OFP 244. *SC 5/86. **FC 45/241*. **FC 45/327-28*. **FC 45/348*.

image" would not have been spoken but "in my image." Nor would he have said "let us make" but "I shall make." If in reality in those three persons three substances were to be understood or believed, "to our image" would not have been said: rather, "to our images"; for there could not be one image of three unequal natures. But while the human being is said to be made according to the one image of the one God, the divinity of the Holy Trinity in one essence is announced. Then and shortly thereafter, in place of what he had said above. "Let us make human beings in our image and likeness "Scripture thus told of the making of the human being by saying, "And God created humankind in his image: in the image of God he created them." To PETER ON THE FAITH 5.13

THE INVESTIGE FUTURE THEORY TYPES THE THEORY THE THEORY THE THEORY THE THEORY THE THEORY THE THEORY THE THEORY THE

OR SPIRITUAL! ORIGIN: We do not understand, however, this man indeed whom Sripture says was made 'according to the image of God' to be corporeal. For the form of the body does not contain the image of God, nor is the corporeal said to be "made" but "formed," as is written in the words that follow. For the text says, 'And God formed man,"

that is fashioned. From the slime of the carch. "But it is our inner man, invisible, incorporeal, incorporeal, incorporeal, consequently and immuneral, that is made of the consequently and the conse

IMAGE SPIRITUALLY INTERPRETED, JOHN CASSIAN: Placing him in the midst of all the brothers, he inquired as to how the Catholic churches throughout the East interpreted what is said in Genesis: "Let us make man according to our image and likeness." Then he explained that the image and likeness of God was treated by all the heads of the churches not according to the lowly sound of the letter but in a spiritual way, and he proved this with a long discourse and many examples from Scripture, showing that nothing of this sort could be the case with that immeasurable and incomprehensible and invisible majesty—that it could be circumscribed in a human form and likeness, that indeed a nature that was incorporeal and uncomposed and simple could be apprehended by the eye or seized by the mind. Conference 10.3.2-3.17

SPATIAL AND VISUAL METAPHORS PER-CEIVED BY THE POWER OF THE MIND. AMBROSE: But let us define more accurately the meaning of the phrase to the image of

¹⁵FC 95:63. ¹⁶LQAH 2:368. ¹⁶Gen 27. ¹⁶FC 71:63. ¹⁷ACW 57:372.

image of God"? In that case, is there earth in God, since flesh is of earth? Is God corporeal. that is to say, weak and subject like the flesh to the passions? Perhaps the head may seem to you to be made in the likeness of God because it stands aloft, or the eves because they observe or the ears because they hear? As to the question of height, are we to consider ourselves to be tall just because we tower a little over the earth? Are we not ashamed to be thought of as like to God merely because we are taller than serpents or other creeping creatures or even than deer, sheep or wolves? In that respect, how much taller are elephants and camels in comparison with us! Sight is important to us in order to enable us to behold the things of the world and to have knowledge of what is not reported by any person but is grasped by our sense of sight. How significant, in fact, is this power of sight! Because of it we may be said to have the likeness of God who sees all observes all comprehends our hidden emotions and searches into the secrets of our hearts! Am I not ashamed to admit that it is not in my power to see parts of my body? What is in front of me I can see, but I am unable to see what is behind me. I have no view of my neck or of the back of my head, and I cannot see my loins. In like manner, what avail is our sense of hearing if we cannot either see or hear what is only a short distance away? If walls should intervene. both sight and hearing are impeded. Further-

more, our bodies are fixed and enclosed in a

wider range and are also swifter than men.
The flesh, therefore, cannot be made to the image of God. This is true, however, of our souls, which are free to wander far and wide in acts of reflection and of counsel. Our souls

narrow space, whereas all wild animals have a

God." Is it true that the flesh is made "to the

We who are now in Italy have in mind what seems to pertain to affairs in the East or in the West. We seem to have dealings with men who dwell in Persia. We envision those who have their homes in Africa, if there happen to be acquaintances of ours who enjoy the hospitality of that land. We accompany these people on their departure and draw near to them in their voyage abroad. We are one with them in their absence. Those who are separated far from us engage us in conversation. We arouse the dead even to mutual interchange of thoughts and embrace them as if they were still living. We even go to the point of conferring on these people the usages and customs of our daily life. That, therefore, is made to the image of God that is perceived not by the power of the body but by that of the mind. It is that power that beholds the absent and embraces in its vision countries beyond the horizon. Its vision crosses boundaries and gazes intently on what is hidden. In one moment the utmost bounds of the world and its remote secret places are under its ken. God is attained, and Christ is approached. There is a descent into hell, and aloft in the sky there is an ascent into heaven. Hear, then, what Scripture says: "But our citizenship is in heaven." Is not that, therefore, in which God is everpresent made to the likeness of God? Listen to what the apostle says in that regard: "We all. therefore, with faces unveiled, reflecting as in a mirror the glory of God, are being transformed into his very image from glory to glory, as through the Spirit of the Lord,"15 HEXAEMERON 6.8.44-45.15

are able to envisage and reflect on all things.

¹⁶² Cor 3:18. 16FC 42:256-58.

HUMANITY RECEIVES LIKENESS TO GOD BY BECOMING A LIVING SACRIFICE, SAHDONA: These are the virtues that man acquires by considering and controlling his own senses. He takes off the old man, who was corrupted in the convolutions of his error."20 and wears the new one, who is renewed in knowing the image of his Creator,"21 and he becomes as a whole an effigy, likeness and image of his God. Like a living sacrifice, suitable and pleasing to God, he employs his body for his rational service. He consecrates and somehow presents to God the vows and the offerings of all his limbs and offers the sacrifices suitable for the action of grace, which are the rational fruits of the lips of those who confess his name by incessantly celebrating God in their body and soul. God to whom they belong now in definitive oblations. BOOK OF PERFECTION 3.145.20

THE IMAGE GIVEN, THE LIKENESS TO BE ERRELY CHOSEN, GREGORY OF NYSSA: "Let us make man in our image, after our likeness." We possess the one by creation: we acquire the other by free will. In the first structure it is given us to be born in the image of God: by free will there is formed in us the being in the likeness of God. . . . "Let us make man in our image": Let him possess by creation what is in the image, but let him also become according to the likeness. God has given the nower for this. If he had created you also in the likeness. where would your privilege be? Why have you been crowned? And if the Creator had given you everything, how would the kingdom of heaven have opened for you? But it is proper that one part is given you, while the other has been left incomplete: this is so that you might complete it yourself and might be worthy of the reward which comes from God. On THE ORIGIN OF MAN. 23

THE HUMAN BODY & PHYSICAL EPITOMS OF THE TRINITY. POTAMIUS OF LISBON: In order that the unity itself of the threefold majesty and imprint should encounter our understanding, the invisible majesty itself states so: "Let us make man in our image and according to our likeness." Look! He has demonstrated what we believe. God has engraved his image on the face of the human and has said "in our image." The knowledge of Father and Son is impressed upon the face of man; and the very features of his face, by means of the clay by which we are formed, revealed in the human original model how the Father and the Son were, so that man could admire God in man. LETTER ON THE SUBSTANCE 356-64.24

HUMANEKINO AS FLESH AND SPIRIT, GREG-ONY OF NAZIANZUI. This was to show that he could call into being not only a nature akin to himself but also one altogether alien to him. For akin to Deiry are those natures which are intellectual and only to be comprehended by mind; but all of which sense can take cognizance are utterly alien to it, and of these the furthest removed from it are all those which are entirely destitute of soul and power of motion.

Mind, then, and sense—thus distinguished from each other—had remained within their own boundaries and bore in themselves the magnificence of the Creator-Word, silent praisers and thrilling heralds of his mighty work. Not yet was there any mingling of both one any mixture of these opposites, tokens of a greater wisdom and generosity in the creation of natures, nor a yet were the whole

*Eph 4:22. **Col 3:10. **CSCO 200:69. **GN05 10. **PLS 1:210: CCL 694:241.

riches of goodness made known. Now the Creator-Word, determining to exhibit this and to produce a single living being out of both (the invisible and the visible creation.) mean) fashions man; and taking a body from already existing matter, and placing in it a breath taken from himself (which the Word knew to be an intelligent soul and the image of God), as a sort of second world great in littleness, he placed him on the earth-a new angel, a mingled worshiper initiated fully into the visible creation but only partially into the intellectual: king of all on earth but subject to the King above; earthly and heavenly; temporal and yet immortal: visible and yet intellectual; halfway between greatness and lowliness; in one person combining spirit and flesh. Spirit because of the favor bestowed on him, flesh on account of the height to which he had been raised; the one that he might continue to live and glorify his benefactor, the other that he might suffer and by suffering be put in remembrance, and be corrected if he became proud in his greatness; a living creature, trained here and then moved elsewhere; and to complete the mystery, made godly by its inclination to God Success On sweet on East TER 6-7.25

THE IMAGE OF GOD IS A COMPREHENSIVE PHRAME. GREGORY OF NYRM. God Creases man for no other reason than that God is good; and being such, and having this as his good and being such, and having this as his good to the substance of the substance of

Bur since the list of individual good gifts is a long one. It is to so of the question to apprehend in numerically. The language of Scripture therefore expresses it consistly by a compethnessity personal, in aying that man was made in the image of Good, for this is the same of the same of Good of the same of the same of Good of the same was made in the image of Good of the filten of good of the same of the same of Good of the same of Good of the same fallens are resemblance to the charge place in resemblance to the charge finds in resemblance to the Caracter poe in their same fallens in second to the same fallens in th

DEFINITION OF THE IMAGE, GREGORY OF Nyssa: Let us add that [man's] creation in the image of the nature that governs all demonstrates precisely that he has from the beginning a royal nature. Following common usage, painters of portraits of princes, as well as representing their features, express their royal dignity by garments of purple, and before this image one is accustomed to say the kine. Thus human nature created to rule the world because of his resemblance to the universal King, has been made like a living image that participates in the archetype by dignity and by name. He is not clothed in purple, scepter and diadem, for these do not signify his dignity (the archetype himself does not possess them). But in place of purple, he is clothed with virtue, the most royal of garments. Instead of a scepter, he is endowed with blessed immortality. Instead of a royal diadem, he hears the crown of justice, in such a way that everything about him manifests royal dignity, by his exact likeness to the beauty of the archetype. On THE CREATION OF MANAS

"NPNF 27:424-25. "NPNF 2 5:405. "NPNF 2 5:391".

IMME AND LIKENESS. JOHN OF DAMASCESS. Since this is NO. GOT extrast man our of visible and invisible natures with his own hands according to the image and likeness, forming the body from the earth and through his own treating upon it giving it a rational and intellectual soul, which we call the drivine image. That which is 'scording to the image' is manifest in the intellect and free will. That which is conding to the intellectual soul in the intellect and free will. That which is considered in the intellect and free will. That which is careful from the likeness is maintenant in written as it is possible. ORTHO-ORTHING THE ORTHON ORTHON OF THE AND THE ORTHON ORTHON

IMAGE OF COMMAND, CHRYSOSTOM: Some others base themselves on our arguments by asserting that God possesses an image in common with us, but they do not understand correctly what has been said. We did not speak about an image of being but about an image of command, as we will explain below. In fact, as a proof that divinity has no human form, listen to Paul's words: "But for a man it is not right to have his head covered, since he is the image and glory of God: but woman is the glory of man."29 This is why-he says-"she must wear a veil on her head."50 And in truth, in this passage he has called "image" this absence of difference of form with regard to God, and man is called image of God because God also possesses this figure: in their opinion, therefore, it should not be said that man only is the image of God but the woman as well. For man and woman have in common a single figure, character and resemblance. Why then is man called image of God, while the woman is not? Because Paul does not mean the image appearing in the form but the image concerning the command, which was given to man, not woman. Man in fact is subject to no creature, while woman is subject to man. according to God's words: "Your movement

will be toward your husband, and he will rule you." This is why man is the image of God. He has no creature over him, and there is nobody over God: he rules on everything. Woman, on the other hand, is the glory of man, because she is subject to man. SERMONS ON GENESIS 2. 22

1:27 Male and Female Created in God's Image

BOTH MAN AND WOMAN IN GOD'S IMAGE. GREGORY OF NYSSA: Let us carefully examine these expressions. We shall discover this: what is in the image is one thing, what we see now in our unhappiness is another. "God made man," says Scripture. "He made him in the image of God." One who is made in the image of God has the task of becoming who he is. Then Scripture takes up the account of creation and says, "God made them male and female." Everyone knows, I think, that this aspect is excluded from the archetype:"In Christ lesus," as the apostle says, "there is neither male nor female."55 And yet Scripture affirms that man has been divided sexually. Thus the creation of our nature must in some way have been double; that which renders us like God and that which establishes the division of the sexes. And indeed such an interpretation is suggested by the very order of the account. Scripture says in the first place, "God made man; in the image of God, he made him." Only after that is it added. "He made them male and female," a division foreign to the divine attributes. On THE CREATION OF MAN 16.34

^{**}FC 37/234-35**. **1 Cer 11:7. **1 Cer 11:6. **Gen 3:16. **PG 54-589. **Gal 3:28. **NPNF 2 5:405**.

EVE WAS IN ADMA AT THE MOMENTO OF HIS CRAIMTON. Figures three Strains of them. To make known that Eve was already inside Adam, in the rith that was drawn out from him. Although she was not in his mind he was in his body and she was not only in his body with him but also in soul and spirit with him. for God added nothing to that rith that he took out except the structures and the dominent. If everything that was similable for Eve, who came to be from the rith, was competent and from that rith, its it rightly said that "make and female he created them." Coss-MINTAN OF MINTAN 1994.

PRODUCTIVE CONCORD BETWEEN MAILS AND FEMALE. CRUSTED Our inner man can state of spirit and soul. The spirit is said to be male: the soul can be called female. If there selves, they increase and multiply by the very concord and agreement between carcord among themselves and they produce sons, good inclination and understandings or useful thoughts, by which they fill the and and have dominion over it. HOMILIES ON GENERAL ILLE.

ATTERNATION HUMAN REPRODUCTION
THROUGH THE UNTOO OF MARE AND
FRAMAL ORDING IT, became to be worth
inquiring in this passage how, eccording to
the letter, when the woman was not yet
made, the Scripture says, "Male and female
the made them," Perthaps, as I think, it is
because of the blessing with which he blessed
them saying, "farecase and multiply and it
the earth-62 Anticipating what was to be, the
"size indeed man could not otherwise
increase and multiply and increase and multiply and
increase and multiply and remains the many
increase and multiply and remains the many
increase and multiply and remains the many
increases and multiply and increase and multiply and
increases and multiply and the female.

Therefore, that there might be no doubt about his blessing that is to come, the text asys. "Male and female he made them." For in this manner man, seeing the consequence of increasing and unliplying to be from the fact that the female was joined to him, could cherish a more certain hope in the drivine blessing. For if the Scripture had said, "Increase and multiply and fill the earth and have dominion over it," not adding this, "Male and female he made them," doubtless he would have dishelieved the drivine bless-ing. Houstizes of GORSERSS 14.4."

IMAGE AND LIKENESS, MALE AND FEMALE. GREGORY OF NYSSA: I think that by these words Holy Scripture conveys to us a great and lofty doctrine, and the doctrine is this. While two natures—the divine and incorporeal nature, and the irrational life of brutesare separated from each other as extremes. human nature is the mean between them. For in the compound nature of man we may behold a part of each of the natures I have mentioned-of the divine, the rational and intelligent element, which does not admit the distinction of male and female; of the irrational, our hodily form and structure, divided into male and female-for each of these elements is certainly to be found in all that partakes of human life. That the intellectual element, however, precedes the other we learn as from one who gives in order an account of the making of man; and we learn also that his community and kindred with the irrational is for man a provision for reproduction.... He formed for our nature that contrivance for increase which befits those who had fallen

[&]quot;FC9194. "FC7168. "Gen 1:28. "FC7167.

into sin, implanting in mankind, instead of the angelic majesty of nature, that animal and irrational mode by which they now succeed one another. On the Creation of Man 16.7-9:

THE FALL PRECEDED COMASITATION. CHRI-SOSTOM: Consider when this happened. After their disobedience, after their loss of the garden, then it was that the practice of intercourse had its beginning. You see, before their disobedience they followed a life like that of the angels, and there was no mention of intercourse. How could there be when they were nor subject to the needs of the body? So at the toutest and from the beginning the practice of course and from the beginning the practice of virginity was in force, but when through their middifference dissolved inner came on the scene and the ways of sin were opened, virginity took its leave for the reason that they had proved unworthy of such a degree of good things, and in its place the practice of inter-course took over for the future. HOMILIES ON GENERALS ILES.

PAUDATE SEASON AND MECHANISM SEASONS

1:28 HUMAN PROCREATION AND LORDSHIP OVER CREATION

²¹ And God blessed them, and God said to them, "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea and over the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon the earth."

OVENTIVE The increase of the human race posed problems for the Fathers, Generally here affirmed that the command to increase and multiply referred to the period before that the command to increase and multiply referred to the period before that the command of t

original plan, even though the fall intervened. The extent of human authority over the animals was a sign of God's love for humanity (CHRYBOSTOM). However, this power was lost after the sin (AUGUSTINE).

Male and female are commanded to increase and multiply (Josts or Danascus). There is no depreciation of marriage in the patristi: interpretation of Genesis 1:28 (Grau-onx or Nyrsas.). Two kinds of increase must be distinguished: of body and of soul (BASIL). Since our humanity partakes of the animal

nature (Graegory of Nyssa), we are called to gain control over the irrational aspects of ourselves (Basti.). Although sexual intercourse followed the expulsion from paradise, sufficient grace was provided for honorable nuptial union, the glory of which is in the nutrure of children (Augustyns).

TWO KINDS OF INCREASE, GRACORY OF NYSSAC There are over ways to "increase"; in the body and in the soul. The soul increase in the long and in the soul. The soul increases they recommend to the completion; the body increases (by growing) from small to large. He told, therefore, the senselses and so increases by the development of the body. But to us he said "increase" in the innear Ferrora slong ways which had toward God. This was what Paul did, in his streetling out toward what hay shad and for ogetting what he behind. "This is godly increase. On the Ossion or Mani."

"INCREASE AND MULTIPAT" REFERS TO THE PERIOD BEFORE SIN. AUGUSTINE: One is completely right to ask in what sense we should understand the union of male and female before sin, as well as the blessing that said "Increase and multiply, and generate and fill the earth." Should we understand it in a physical manner or spiritually For we are

permitted to understand it spiritually and to believe that it was changed into sexual fecundity after sin. For there was first the chaste union of male and female, of the former to rule, of the latter to obey, and there was the spiritual offspring of intelligible and immortal joys filling the earth, that is, giving life to the body and ruling it. That is, man so held [the body] subject that he experienced from it no opposition or trouble. We should believe that it was this way, since they were not yet children of this world before they sinned. For the children of this world generate and are generated, as the Lord says, when he shows that we should relatively disregard this carnal generation in comparison with the future life that is promised to us. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MAN-ICHAEANS 1.19.30.4

WHETHER CHRIST OVERCOMES THE DIVI-SION BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE, MAYI-MUS THE CONFESSOR: Indeed being in himself the universal union of all, [Christ] has started with our [sexual] division and become the perfect human being, having from us, on our account and in accordance with our nature. everything that we are and lacking nothing, "apart from sin." and having no need of the natural intercourse of marriage. In this way he showed. I think, that there was perhaps another way, foreknown to God, for human beings to increase, if the first human being had kent the commandment and not cast himself down to an animal state by abusing his own proper powers. Thus God-made-man has done away with the difference and division of

¹Phil 3:13. ²GNOS 46-47. ³NPNF 2 5:352-53. ⁴FC 84:77-78. ³Hab 4:15.

nature into male and female, which human nature in no way needed for generation, as some hold, and without which it would perhaps have been possible. Book of Difficul-TIES 41.5

ADAM AND EVE A SEXUAL COUPLE BEFORE THE FALL, AUGUSTINE: If one should ask why it was necessary that a helper be made for man, the answer that seems most probable is that it was for the procreation of children, just as the earth is a helper for the seed in the production of a plant from the union of the two. This purpose was declared in the original crearion of the world: "Male and female he made them. And God blessed them and said. Increase and multiply and fill the earth and subdue it." This reason for creation and union of male and female, as well as this blessing, was not abrogated after the sin and punishment of man. It is by virtue of this blessing that the earth is now filled with human beings who subdue it. Although it was after the expulsion of the man and woman from paradise that they came together in sexual intercourse and begot children, according to Scripture, nevertheless I do not see what could have prohibited them from honorable nuptial union and "the bed undefiled" even in paradise. God could have granted them this if they had lived in a faithful and just manner in obedient and holy service to him, so that without the tumultuous ardor of passion and without any labor and pain of childbirth, offspring would be born from their seed. In this case, the purpose would not be to have children succeeding parents who die. Rather those who had begotten children would remain in the prime of life and would maintain their physical strength from the tree of life that had been planted in paradise. Those

who would be born would develop to the amount and the state and eventually, when the determined number would be complete, if all live just and obedient lives, there would be a transformation. Thus without any death their assuration, but the state of th

THE NUPTIAL BURGENG RUMAINED AUTER SIN APPEARED, AUGUSTINE: Far be it then from us to believe that the couple that were placed in paradise would have fulfilled through this lust, which shamed them into covering those organs, the words pronounced by God in his blessing: "Increase and multiply and fill the earth." For it was only after man sinned that his last arose; it was after man sinned that his natural being, retaining the sense of shame but losing that dominance to which the body was subject in every part, felt and noticed, then blushed at and concealed that lust. The nuptial blessing, however, whereby the pair, joined in marriage, were to increase and multiply and fill the earth. remained in force even when they sinned. Yet it was given before they sinned, for its purpose was to make it clear that the procreation of children is a part of the glory of marriage and not of the punishment of sin. CITY OF Gop 14.21.

*PG 91:1307-10. *Heb 13:4. *ACW 42:73-74. *LCL 371-73.

GAINING DOMINION OVER THE BEASTS WITHIN, GREGORY OF NYSSA: "You will rule over savage beasts." How though, you may ask, since I have a beast within? Actually, there are a myriad, a countless number of beasts within you. You should not take offense in these words. Rage is a small beast. yet when it growls in the heart is any dog more savage? Is not the treacherous soul like fresh bait staked in front of a bear's den? Is not the hypocrite a beast? ... [Rule] then over the beasts inside you. Rule your thoughts so that you will become a ruler over all things. So the same one who provides the power to rule over all living things provides power for us to rule over ourselves. On THE ORIGIN OF MAN. II

HOMASTIT PARYAKS OF ANIMA NATURA GRAGOUS OF NISAA A bruth life firsts entered into the world and man... 1008 consenting of their starter (I mean the mode of generation), he accordingly took at the same time a share of the other attributes contemplated in that nature. For the likeness of man to God it not found in anger, not is pleasure a mark of the superier nature: cowardice also, and boldness, and of the likeness of man the distinct of loss, and all the like, are far removed to the contemporary of the contemporary of the Thee attributes, then, human nature took to itself from the ide of the bruss. On THE CARATTON OF MAS SEL-2-11.

AUTHORITT OVER BEASTS REFRACTS GOD'S LOVE FOR HUMANITE. CHRYSOSTON: So, after saying "male and female he made them" as though to bestow a blessing on each of them. he goes on, "God blessed them in the words," increase and multiply, fill the earth and gain dominion over it, and have control of

the fish of the sea." Behold the remarkable character of the blessing! I mean, those words "increase and multiply and fill the earth," anyone could see are said of the brute beasts and the reptiles alike, whereas gain dominion and have control" are directed to the man and woman. See the Lord's loving kindness: even before creating them, he makes them share in this control and bestows on them the blessing. "Have control" the text says, "of the fish of the sea, the birds of heaven and all the cartle, the whole earth and all the reptiles creeping on the earth." Did you notice the definitive character of this authority? Did you notice all created things placed under the control of this particular being? So no longer entertain casual impressions of this rational being but rather realize the extent of the esteem and the Lord's magnanimity toward it and be amazed at his love beyond all telling. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 10.9.12

HUMAN DOWER OVER THE BRACKS AUGUS. TINE: At times the Manichaeans also ask. "In what sense did man receive power over the fish of the sea and the birds of heaven and all the cattle and wild animals? For we see that men are killed by many wild animals and that many birds harm us when we want to avoid them or to capture them, though we often cannot. In what sense then did we receive power over these?" On this point they should first be told that they make a big mistake when they consider man after sin, when he has been condemned to the mortality of this life and has lost that perfection by which he was made in the image of the God. But even man's state of condemnation involves such

FCNOS 36,27, 30 FNPNE 2 6407.6 FEC 74.134

power that he rules many animals. For though he can be killed by many wild animals on account of the fragility of his body, he can be tamed by none, although he tames very many and nearly all of them. Two Books on Gensiss Against the Manichaeans 1.18.29.13

INCREASE AND MULTIPLY. JOHN OF DA-MASCUS: After the transgression, ... to prevent the wearing out and destruction of the race by death, marriage was devised that the race of men may be preserved through the procreation of children.

But they will perhaps ask, What then is the meaning of "male and female" and "Be fruitful and multiply"? In answer we shall say that "Be fruitful and multiply" does not alrogether effect to the multiplying by the marriage connection. For God had power to multiply the react also in different ways, if they kept percept unbroken to the end. But God, who knows all things before they have existence, knowing in his foreknowledge that they would full into ranargession in the future and be condemned to death, anticipated this and multiply. To THE ORTHOR OF THE ORTHOR ORTHOR

PFC 84:76-77. PNPNF 2 9:96-97.

1:29-30 PLANTS AND FRUITS ARE FOOD FOR HUMANS AND BEASTS

²³And God said. Behold. I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of all the carth, and every tree with seed in its fruit; you shall have them for food. ²⁶And to every beast of the earth, and to every bird of the air, and to everything that creeps on the carth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food. ²And it was so.

Overview: Originally God permitted the use of foods from vegetation, that is, vegetables and the fruits of the trees (Origen, Nowatan, Gregory or Nyssa). Both sexes, male and female, used this food for the body that the other animals used and received fitting sustenance from it (Augusting). The food from

vegetation also symbolizes human affections

1:29-30 God Gives Plants for Food

THE FIRST FOOD WAS FROM VEGETATION.
ORIGEN: The historical meaning, at least, of

this sentence indicates clearly that originally God permitted the use of foods from vegetation, that is, vegetables and the fruits of trees. But the opportunity of eating flesh is given to men later when a covenant was made with Noah after the flood. Homilies on Genesis 1.17.2

HOMELINE TO LOWET SOLI. NOVETLEM: Man's first food was soliey fruit and produce from trees. Man's guilt subsequently introduced the use of bread. The posture of his body shows forth the state of his conscience, at long as man's conscience did not repreach him. innocence raised him up toward the heavens to pluck his food from the trees. Once sin had been committed, it bowed man down to the soil of the earth to get grain. Still laser the use of meat was added. Jawrass Foods _6.6.

To Every Beast I Have Given Every

Paars (assente or Nysaa We note, however, namy wild beast do not set fruit. We fruit does the panther act What fruit makes the line strong But neverthinds state cartures, when submitting to the laws of natures, are fruits. And likewise when the first, and charged his way of life and voided the limits are tupon him, the Lord, after the flood, when the line was the line of the line of the line is well floods. The every flood at it were dibie plants. Since [humans] were allowed this [concession], the other animals [also] received the liberty to car. So the line is [now]

rion.
But vultures were not yet circling above
the earth to find carrion when the animals
originated; nothing created nor imagined
had yet died in order to be food for the vul-

tures. Nature had not vet been divided: everything was completely fresh. Hunters did not capture prey, since people did not yet practice this. The beasts did not yet tear apart prey, since they were not meat eaters vet. . . . So was the first creation, and to this creation will be restored after this [age]. Humans will return to their original creation, rejecting hostility, a life encumbered with care, the slavery of the world to daily worries. Once they have renounced all this. they will return to that utopian life which is not enslaved to the passions of the flesh, which is freedom, the closeness to God, a partaker of the life of the angels. On the Ori-GIN OF MAN.

SUSTENANCE PRECLUDES LUST, AUGUS-TINE: I myself hold with those who, considering the words. "Male and female he created them, saving, Increase and multiply and fill the earth." interpret them as referring to visible and bodily sex. This is clear, in view of what follows: "And God said, Behold, I have given you every plant yielding seed which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit: you shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth, and to every bird of the air, and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." Note that both male and female used the food for the body that the other animals used. They received fitting sustenance from it. This was necessary for the animal body lest it suffer from hunger. But it was received in a certain immortal way and from

¹Cl. Gen 9:3. ²FC 71:69. ¹Cl. Gen 9:3. ⁴FC 67:145. ¹Gen 9:3. ⁴GN05 49-50. 51. ¹Gen 1:26-28.

the tree of life, lest they die of old age. I would never believe that, in a place of such great happiness, either the flesh lusted against the spirit or the spirit against the flesh, and there was no internal peace. . . . We conclude, therefore, that there was no carnal concupiscence in that place. Such was the manner of life that all necessities were taken care of by the proper functions of the members, without arousing lust. Against Julian 4-4-69.

PC 15/226.

1:31 GOD SEES THAT CREATION IS VERY GOOD

³¹ And God saw everything that he had made, and behold, it was very good. And there was evening and there was morning, a sixth day.

Ovanvawe Individual things created by God are good, but the entire creation is very good (Aroustran). There is a similarity between created things, which were created good, and their Creator (Aroustran). From the good in nature on can apprehend the supreme and everlating good (Arassons). As God made man in his image on the sixth days to the Son came in the sixth age of the human ract or re-form us in accordance with the image of God (Aroustrans).

The "days of creation" set forth a sequence of divine creation (Gragoor of Nazianzos) that proceeded from lower to higher forms of being (Gragoor of Nyssa). The concept of a "day" cannot be reduced to an instant but implies a process (Christostom).

1:31 God Saw Everything That He Had Made Was Good. AUGUSTINE: Certainly we should not carefusly pass over the words of Scripture that say, "And God saw that all the things that he had made were very good," is when dealing with individual things, it only says, "God saw that it is good," but in speaking of all things, it was not enough to say "good" without adding "ery" as well, For if predien observers consider the single works

of God, they find that individually in their

own species, they have praiseworthy mea-

sures, numbers and orders. How much more

GOD SAW THAT THE ENTIRE CREATION

then will this be true of all of them together, that is, of the universe that is filled with these individual things gathered into unity? For every beauty that is composed of parts is much more praiseworthy in the whole than in a part. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 1-21-32-1

SIMILABITY BETWEEN CREATED THINGS AND CREATOR, AUGUSTINE: No one doubts that God himself is the primal good. Indeed things can be said to be similar to God in many ways. Some, created in accordance with power and wisdom, are similar to God because uncreated power and wisdom are in him. Other created things are similar to God in the simple fact that they are alive, and God is incomparably alive and the source of life. Other created things are similar to God in that they have being, for God is the highest being and the source of being. And even those things that merely exist and yet do not live or know are in his likeness, not completely but in a slight degree, because even they are good in their own order, while God is incomparably good in a way transcending all other goods and from whom everything good proceeds. EIGHTY-THREE QUESTIONS 61.2.2

THE DIVINE GOOD CAN BE PERCEIVED THROUGH CREATION, AMBROSE: From the goods that inhere in the nature of creationthey are indeed very good, even as the Lord said—one can apprehend the supreme and everlasting good. The order of the universe. its arrangement and its beauty-is not a man moved by this to love his Creator, even if he is slow in ability? For if we love our parents because they have produced us, how much more ought we to love the Creator of our parents and our own Creator! Therefore the power of God is a creating power. Even if God is not seen, he is judged from his works, and his works betray the workman, so that he who is not comprehended may be perceived. FLIGHT FROM THE WORLD 2.10.3

THE SIXTH DAY OF HUMAN CREATION AND THE SIXTH AGE OF THE HUMAN RACE.

AUGUSTINE: Sacred Scripture commends the perfection of the number six to us especially in this, that God completed his works in six days and made man in the image of God on the sixth day. And the Son of God came in the sixth age of the human race and was made the Son of man, in order to re-form us in the image of God. This is the age in which we are at present, whether a thousand years are assigned to each age or whether we settle upon memorable and notable personages as turning points of time. Thus the first age is found from Adam to Noah, the second from that time to Abraham, and after that ... from Abraham to David, from David to the carrying away to Babylon, and from then to the birth of the Virgin. These three ages added to those make five. Hence the birth of the Lord inaugurated the sixth age, which is now in progress up to the hidden end of time. On THE TRINITY 4.4.7.4

THE DAYS OF CREATION, GREGORY OF NA. ZIANZUS: He made a first day, a second, a third, and so forth until the seventh day which was a rest from work. According to these days, everything created was subdivided, brought into an order by inexpressible laws. So creation was not an instantaneous act by the all-powerful Word: for him to think or to speak is to accomplish a task. If humans mere less to enter the world and in such a way as to honor God's handiwork with God's image-is this not marvelous? It is like saving that as a king he prepared the palace and then. as king, when everything was already pre-

FC 84.80, FC 70.86*, FC 65:287, FC 45:139,

pared, led in the procession. Homilies on Genesis 44.5

In a SINGLE DAY. CHRYSOSTOM: I mean, his all-powerful hand and boundless wisdom were not at a loss even to create everything in one day. Why say 'one day'? Even in a brief moment. Yer it was not because of its utility to him that he produced anything that exists, since being self-sufficient he is in need of nothing. It was rather out of his lowing kind-

ness and goodness that he created everything: accordingly he created things in sequence and provided us with a clear instruction about created things through the tongue of the blessed author, so that we might learn about them precisely and not fall into the error of those led by purely human reasoning. HOMILIES ON GENESIS LICE.

PG 36412 PG 2644-46-PG 51-15

2:1-3 GOD RESTS ON THE SEVENTH DAY

'Thus the heavens' and the earth were finished, and [all the host]' of them. 'And on the seventh' day God finished his work' which he had done, and he rested on the seventh day from all his work' which he had done. 'So God blessed the seventh day and hallowed it, because on it God rested from all his work which he had done' in creation.

"LXX heaven (simpler) "LXX the whole courses. "LXX sinth: "LXX works (ribral). "LXX heaven to do

O'RENTEW God's test on the seventh day is a metaphor that depicts the mystery of the true case given to people in the external world (internal) and indicated by the first of the first of

God (EPHREM) in the springtime of creation (AMBROSE).

2:1-2 God Rested on the Seventh Day

The Meaning of God's Rest. Ephrem the Spriam: From what toil did God rest? For the creatures that came to be on the first day came to be by implication, except for the light, which came through his word. And the rest of the works that came to be afterward came to be through his word. What toil is there for

Their creation is implied and not stated in the account of creation for the first day. us when we speak one word? So what toil could there have been for God to speak one word a day? Moses, who divided the sea by his word and his rod, did not tire. loshua, son of Nun, who restrained the luminaries by his word, did not tire. So what toil could there have been for God when he created the sea and the luminaries by his word? It was not because he rested on that day that God, who does not weary, blessed and sanctified the seventh day. Nor was it because he was to give it to that people, who did not understand that since they were freed from their servitude. they were to give rest to their servants and maidservants. He gave it to them so that, even if they had to be put under requirement, they would rest. It was given to them in order to depict by a temporal rest, which he gave to a temporal people, the mystery of the true rest. which will be given to the eternal people in the eternal world. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 1.12-11.

GOO'S REAT AND CHRIST'S REFERENCE.

LITTER OF BRANKAGE GOD MAY TO the JEWE

"I will not ablid your new moons and your
bablaths." You see what he means. The
present sabbaths are not acceptable to me, but
that sabbath which I have made, in which,
after giving est to all things, I will make the
beginning of the eighth day, nat is, the beginning of the eighth day on which Jean
ing of another world. Therefore, we also
celetate with joy the eighth day on which Jean
also rose from the dead after his rest, leas
unde manifest and accended inno beaven.

LUTTER OF BRANKAS 54.5."

GOD RESTS BUT HIS GOVERNANCE CON-TINUES. CHRYSOSTOM: You see, in saying at this point that God rested from his works, Scripture teaches us that he ceased creatine and bringing from nonbeing into being on the seventh day, whereas Christ, in saying that 'my father is a vive, uputil now and I am at work." reveals his unceasing care for us hecalls "word: 'me numeratures or oreard things, bestowal of permanence on their and things, bestowal of permanence on their and wasterness." It has been also the contract things, bestowal of permanence on their and wasterness. The words of the wasterness of the wasterness of the words of the words of wasterness or the wasterness of the wasterness of his wasterness or the wasterness of the wasterness of the human race as well! Homities on Genesias roads."

THE GOOD WORKE DONE IN LIFE LEAT OF TERMAR RESE. BIDE! Under the law the people were ordered to work for six days and to rest on the seventh. . . because the Lord completed the creation of the world in six days and desisted from his work on the seventh. Myrically speaking, we are consected by all this that those who in life dover themselves to good works for the Lord's sake are the future led by the Lord to salebuth, that is, to creating ext. Howliss on YHE GOORES, 21,72.

2:3 The Seventh Day Hallowed

THE SPRINGTIME OF CRAFTON, ABBROSE: He created heaven and earth at the time when not the the months began, from which time it is fitting that the world took its rise. Then there was the mild temperature of spring, a season suitable for all things. Consequently the year too has the stamp of a world coming to birth. ... In order to show that the creation of the world took place in the spring. Scripture says, the world took place in the spring. Scripture says, the

FC 91:96. *Is 1:13. *AF 152*. *Jm 5:17. *FC 74:139-40: PG

months, it is for you the first in the months of the year," calling the first month the springtime. It was fitting that the beginning of the year be the beginning of generation. HEXAE-MERON 1-4-13.

THE FAITHFUL WILL BE A SEVENTH DAY. AUGUSTINE: Heaven, too, will be the fulfillment of that sabbath rest foretold in the command: "Be still and see that I am God."10 This. indeed, will be that ultimate sabbath that has no evening and that the Lord foreshadowed in the account of his creation: "And God rested on the seventh day from all his work that he had done. And he blessed the seventh day and concrified in because in it he had rested from all his work that God created and made." And we ourselves will be a "seventh day" when we shall be filled with his blessing and remade by his sanctification. In the stillness of that rest we shall see that he is the God whose divinity we desired for ourselves when we listened to the seducer's words, "You shall be as gods,"11 and so fell away from him, the true God who would have given us a divinity by participation that could never be gained by desertion. For where did the doing without God end but in the undoing of man through the anger of God? Only when we are remade by God and perfected by a greater grace shall we have the eternal stillness of that rest in which we shall see that he is God. CITY or GOD 22.30.

ALT THE FIRST CRACTICES WERE BOTH YOURS GAVE ONE. PERSENT THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

*Ex 12:2. *FC 42:12. **Ps 45:11. **Gen 3:5. ***FC 24:509. **FC 91:01.

2:4-7 GOD FORMS MAN OUT OF DUST

"[These are the generations]" of the beavens" and the earth when they were created.

If the day that the Loss God made the earth and the beavens, "when no plant of the field was yet in the earth and no ber'd (the field had yet prung up—fro the Loss God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, and there was no man to till the ground; "but a mist" went up from the earth and watered the whole face of the trends—" then the Loss God.

formed man of dust from the ground, and breathed into his nostrils⁵ the breath of life; and man became a living being.

g Or food "LXX This is the book of the generation." LXX heaven. "LXX strong. "LXX face

Overview: Moses returns to relate how creation was first adorned (Epheren). The vegetation of the earth and the rain may be viewed as metaphors of the nurture and growth of the human soul in the field of this world (Adoustina), while the spring is a symbol of triune grace (Marius Victorinus).

God formed the body of Adam out of maid (Aquistrusi). When God breathed into incostrible, be united the soul to the body (The-TULLAN-AQUISTRUS). ABMINION (ABMINION) A

Heaven and earth includes all creatures. both spiritual and physical (Chrysostom). Human beings were formed of the dust of the earth by God's own "hands," viewed spiritually (THEODORET). In human creation the soul is mixed with the dust of the earth (GREGORY OF NAZIANZUS). The soul is created: the flesh is "fashioned." The greatness and the lowliness of humanity is seen in the breathing of a living soul into the dust (BASIL), which forms a living unity (Chrysostom). Humanity is not to be reduced to animal existence (BASIL). The rational human soul makes use of bodily members (Chrysostom). The soul did not pre-exist before creation (JOHN OF DAMAScus).

2:4-6 The Generations of the Heavens and

THE HEAVEN AND EARTH INCLUDES ALL CREATURES, CHRYSOSTOM: I mean, when it said heaven and earth, it included everything together in those words, both things on earth and things in heaven. So just as in its account of created things it doesn't mention them all one by one but gives a summary of related items and makes no further attempt to describe them to us, so too it called the whole book the book about the origins of heaven and earth, even though it contains many other things, evidently leaving us to work out from the reference to these two that all visible things are of necessity contained in this book. both those in heaven and those on earth. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 12.4.

THE LORD MADE EVERY HERD. CHRYSOS-TOM: The earth is compliance with the Lord's word and direction produced plants and was stirred into pangs of fertility without depending on the sun for assistance (how could it, after all, the sun not yet being created!), nor on the moisture from showers, nor on human labor (human beings, after all, not having been prough for plants 10.0 AUGUSTES 12.5.2.2.

RESUMING THE ACCOUNT OF THE CRE-ATION. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Understand, O hearer, that although the days of creation

PC 74:158: PG 53:99. PC 74:159: PG 53:180.

were finished and God had blessed the sabbath day, which was sanctified, and he had completed his account, Moses still returned to tell the story of the beginning of creation even after the days of creation had been finished. "These are the generations of the heavens and the earth," that is, this is the account of the fashioning of heaven and earth on the day when the Lord made heaven and earth, for as ver "no plant of the field was in the earth and no herb of the field had yet sprung up." Even if these things were not actually created on the first day-for they had been made on the third day-still Moses did not rashly introduce, on the first day, the report of those things that were created on the third day. For Moses said. "No plant of the field was yet in the earth and no herb of the field had yet sprung up-for the Lord God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, but a mist went up from the earth and watered the whole face of the ground. Because everything that has been born and will be born from the earth will be through the conjunction of water and earth. Moses undertook to show that no plant or vegetation had been created alone with the earth, because the rain had not ver come down. But after the great mist rose up from the great abyss and watered the whole face of earth and after the waters had been eathered together on the third day, then the earth brought forth all the vegetation. Com-MENTARY ON GENESIS 2.2.1-2.3.1.

SPIRITUAL MEANING OF THE VEGITATION AND THE RAIN. AUGUSTINE: Why after mentioning heaven and earth does this passage add "vegetation of the field and food" while remaining silent about so many other things that are in heaven and earth or even the sea, unless it wants' vegetation of the field" to be understood as an invisible created thing such as the soul!

For field" is often used figuratively in Scripting or represent the world... Further on it adds "before they were upon the earth," which means 'before the soul sinned." For once the soul was solied with earthly desires, it was as it the soul was both of the arth, or its essence derived from the earth. Two Books on GENE SIS AGAINST THE MANICHARANS 2-3-4-2-4-5.

AUGUSTINE: Now God also makes the vegetation of the field, but by raining upon the earth; that is, he makes souls become green again by his word. But he waters them from the clouds, that is, from the writings of the prophets and apostles. Two BOOKS ON GENE-STA AGAINST THE MANICHAENS 3.4.6.

THE SPRING SYMBOLIZES CHRIST. MARINE VICTORINUS CIPITE is that spring of which the prophet says." It irrigates and waters the whole earth. But Christ irrigates the whole universe, both visible and invisible with the spring of life he waters the substance of everything that exists. Yet insoder as he si life, he is Christ; insofer as he waters, he is the Holy Spritt insofer as he is the power of vitality, he is Father and God: but the whole is one God. AGAINST ABING 1642."

THE FACE OF THE EARTH IS AN ALLEGORY OF MARK. AUGUSTINE: The gentle face of the earth, that is, the dignity of the earth, may be correctly viewed as the mother of the Lord, the Virgin Mary, who was watered by the Holy Spirit, who is signified in the Gospel by the term water. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHARANS 2-24-17.

FC 91:97-98. *PL 34:198. *FC 84:98. *FC 69:166*. *Cf. jn

2:7 God Forms Man from the Ground God Forms Man From Mub. Augustine:

First of all, the fact that God formed man from the mud of the earth usually raises a question about the sort of mud it was or the kind of material the term mud signifies. Those enemies of the Old Book [the Manichaeans], looking at everything in a carnal manner and therefore always being in error, bitingly find fault with this point as well, namely, that God formed man from the mud of the earth. For they say, "Why did God make man from mud? Did he lack a better and heavenly material from which he could make man, that he formed him fragile and mortal from this earthly corruption?" To begin with, they do not understand how many meanings either earth or water has in the Scriptures, for mud is a mixture of earth and water. Also we say that the human body began to waste away and to be fragile and mortal after sin. But the Manicheans abhor in our body only the mortality that we merited as punishment. But even if God made man from the mud of this earth, still what is there that is strange or difficult for God in making the human body such that it would not be subject to corruption if, in obedience to God's commandment, he had not willed to sin? For we say that the beauty of heaven was made from nothing or from formless matter because we believe that the Maker is almighty. Why is it strange that the almighty Maker could make the body from some sort of mud of the earth so that before sip is afflicated man with no trouble or need and wasted away from no corruption? Two Books on Genesis AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 2.7.8.9

The Breath of God Mixes with Dust. Gregory of Nazianzus: The soul is the breath of God, a substance of heaven mixed with the lowest earth, a light entombed in a cave, yet wholly divine and unquenchable. ... He spoke, and taking some of the newly

minted earth his immortal hands made an image into which he imparted some of his own life. He sent his spirit, a beam from the invisible divinity. Dogmatic Hymns 7.10

HOW ADAM BECAME A LIVING SOUL CHRY-SOSTOM: It was pleasing to God's love of humanity to make this thing created out of earth a participant of the rational nature of the soul, through which this living creature was manifest as excellent and perfect. "And he breathed into his postrils the breath of life." that is, the inbreathing communicated to the one created out of earth the power of life, and thus the nature of the soul was formed. Therefore Moses added "And man became a living soul": that which was created out of dust, having received the inbreathing, the breath of life, "became a living soul." What does "a living soul" mean? An active soul. which has the members of the body as the implements of its activities, submissive to its will. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 12.15.11

ORIGIN OF THE SOUL TERTULLIAN: The soul has its origin in the breath of God and did not come from matter. We hase that statement on the clear assertion of divine revelation, which declares that "God breathed the breath of life into the face of man, and man became a livine soul." On THE SOUL 14.4."

GOD UNITES THE HUMAN SOUL TO THE BODY BY HIS BREATH. AUGUSTINE: Scrip-

*FC 84:102-3. **PG 37:446. **PG 53:103: FC 74:166. **FC 10-186.

urus says. "And he breathed mno him the breach of life, and mas became a living soul." If up no this point there was only the body, we should understand that the soul was are point pioned to the body. Perhaps the soul has point pioned to the body. Perhaps the soul has been already made but was still as if so limited to the soul to the mouth of God, that is, in his truth and wis separated by places, when it was breathed forforth. For God in occoration by place but in present everywhere. Two Boosts on Ginnstand Analyster Mat Maccineans Ladin.

NATURE OF THE SOUL. AMBROSE: Therefore the soul is not blood, because blood is of the flish; nor is the soul a harmony, because harmony of this sort is also of the flish; not is also of the flish, neither is the soul air, because blown breath is one thing and the soul senething else. The soul is not fire, nor is the soul arculative, but the soul is living, for Adam because a living soul." isnee the soul rules and gives life to the body, which is without life or feeling. IsaAcc, on THE SOUL ± ω."

FLEBH FASHIONED, SOUL CREATED. GREG-ONY OF NYSSA: God made the inner person: he molded the outer. Modding is suitable for clay, but "making" is [fitting] for an image. So on the one hand, he "molded" flesh, but on the other, he "made" the soul. On the Origin of Man."

THE GRAFTHES AND LOWLINESS OF HE-MAINTE, GREGORY OF NYSAS. TO Got took of the dust of the earth and fashioned man. In this world I have discovered the two affirms tites that man is nothing and that man is great. If you consider nature alone, he is nothing and has no value but you regard the honor with which he has been treated, man is something great. On THE ORIGINS OF MAN. IT THE UNITY OF BODY AND SOUL GREGORY OF NYSSA: Others, on the contrary, marking the order of the making of man as stated by Moses, say that the soul is second to the body in order of time, since God first took dust from the earth and formed man, and then animated the being thus formed by his breath. By this argument they prove that the flesh is more noble than the soul, that which was previously formed [more noble] than that which was afterward infused into it Nor again are we in our doctrine to begin by making up man like a clay figure and to say that the soul came into being for the sake of this, for surely in that case the intellectual nature would be shown to be less precious than the clay figure. But as man is one, the being consisting of soul and body, we are to suppose that the beginning of his existence is one, common to both aspects, so that he should not be found to be antecedent and posterior to himself, as if the bodily element were first in point of time and the other were a later addition. ON THE CRE-ATTON OF MAN 28 1-20 1 17

God Placera A Strake of His Grace IN "THE SOUR. BLEET HE GRACE IN "THE SOUR. BLEET HE GRAET "And the breathed into his neartile," that is to say, he placed in man some share of his own grace, in, order that he might recognize lifeness through lifeness. Howevertheless, being in such great honor because he was created in the image of the Crease, he is honored above the heavens, above the sun, above the choirs of a stars. For which of the heavenly bodies was said to be an image of the Crease of the heavenly bodies was said to be an image of the most high God!"

[&]quot;FC84:104. "FC65:13. "GN05 44. "GN05 42. "NPNF2 5:419-20. "CLPs 8:5/ Heb 1:5. "FC 46:324-25.

How Goo Carates Howass in a Distract STEW AT 8000 ANMALES. GRACORY OF NISSA. Above, the text says that God created, here it says how God created. He were had simply said that God created, you could have believed that he created [humanity] as he did for the beast, for the wild animals, for the plants for the grass. This is why, to avoid your placing him in the class of wild animals, the driven word has made known the particular art which God has used for your 'God took for the dust of the court of the court of the court of the dust of the earth. 'On the Oscano of

BRES. CHRYSOSTOM: Thus when you hear that God "breathed into his face the breath of life," understand that just as he brought forth the bodiless powers, so also he was pleased that the body of man, created out of dust, should have a rational soul which could make use of the bodily members. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 13-9.2²¹

THE SOUR MAKES USE OF BODIES MEM-

WHEN GOD FORMS US IN THE WOMB, HE BREATHES ON US. TERTULLIAN: Thus you read the word of God, spoken to leremiah: "Before I formed you in the womb, I knew you." If God forms us in the womb, he also breathes on us as he did in the beginning: "And God formed man and breathed into him the breath of life." Nor could God have known man in the womb unless he were a whole man. On YHM SOUL 26.2"

Fommo or Dest as Goo's Own Hasnos. Tissonosar to Cra: When we hear Moses' writings describe how God took dust from the earth with his hands in order to make man, we ty to understand what such language might mean. It means this: the whole of God' had a special interest in the creation of the human nature. The great propher proclaims this very hing, since everything else in creation was made by spoken command. Man, however, was made be God's hands?... Just like an

^{*}GNOS 44-45. **PG 53:107; FC 74:173. ***EC 84:106**. ***Ijer 15. ***PC 10:342. ***Jis 20:22. ***FC 88:46*****In his reference to the "whole of God," Theodore is here addressing, in part, the heresy of Audius, a fourth-century Syrian deacon and monastric from Edessa who was accused by Epiphanius of anthropomorphisming God.

embryo is planted in the mother's womb and develops from the material which has surrounded it from the beginning, so also God
wanted to take the material for the human
body from the earth. Thus, clay became fliesh
and blood, and skin, and nerves, and veins,
and arteries, and the brain, and bone marrow
and supporting bones, and so on. Compresspouts or HEMSTRACH MYTHS.

THE SOUL DID NOT PRE-EXIST. JOHN OF

DAMASCUS: From the earth he formed his body and by his own inbreathing gave him a rational and understanding soul, which we say is the divine image. . . . The body and the soul were formed at the same time—not one before and the other afterward, as the ravings of Origen would have it. On THE ORTHODOX FAITH 1.1.78

*PG 83:477-80. *FC 37:235.

2:8-9 THE GARDEN OF EDEN

'And the Lono God planted a garden' in Eden,' in the east; and there he put the man whom he had formed. 'And out of the ground the Lono God made to grow every tree that is pleas- ant to the sight and good for food, the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

"LXX paradise (i.e., a park or enclosed parales) "LXX Edem

Ovenview: Eden is the land of paradise that God made before he formed man (Enwesse, Acoustrase). It also prefigures the church (CVPMAN). The trees planted in the middle of the garden symbolicul life, knowledge and wisdom (LEPTER TO DOGNETICS, JREONE). Christ restored us to life through the tree of life restored used to the control of the control of

befitting to God (Chrysostom).

2:8 God Planted a Garden in Eden

EDEN WAS CREATED ON THE THIRD DAY.

EPHEMEN THE SYMLAN: Eden is the land of paradise, and God had already planned it on the
third day. Moses explains this by saying. "The
Lord caused every tree that is pleasant to the
sight and good for food to sprout forth from
the earth." And to show that he was talking
about paradise, he added." And the tree of life.

was in the midst of paradise, and the tree of the knowledge of good and evil." Commentary on Genesis 2.5.2.¹

The Narrative Refers to Previous

EVENTS LEFT UNMENTIONED, AUGUSTINE: In the Scriptures some things are related in such a way that they seem to be following the order of time or occurring in chronological succession, when actually the narrative, without mentioning it, refers to previous events that had been left unmentioned. Unless we understand this distinction, we shall fall into error For example, we find in Genesis: "And the Lord God planted a paradise of pleasure in the east; and there he put the man whom he had formed. And out of the ground the Lord God made to grow every tree that is pleasant to the sight and good for food." This last mentioned event would seem to have occurred after God had made man and placed him in paradise. After both of these facts have been mentioned briefly (that is, that God planted a paradise and there "placed man whom he had formed"), the narrative turns back by means of recapitulation and relates what had been planted and that "God brought forth out of the ground all manner of trees fair to behold and pleasant to eat of." CHRISTIAN INSTRUCTION 2.16.52.2

GOD PLANTED A GARDEN. CHRYGOTOM: And when, dearly beloved, you hear that "God planted a garden in Eden in the east." take the word planted in a sense appropriate to God namely, that he commanded this happen and about the next phrase, believe that a gaten came into being in the place that Scripture indicated. HOMILES ON GRINESIS 13-3.

EDEN REPRESENTS THE CHURCH. CYP-RIAN: The church, expressing the image of paradise, encloses fruitful trees within its walls. From these whatever does not make good fruit is cut off and cast into the fire. LETTERS 73.10.4

WHETHER PARADISE IS IN A SPECIFIC TIME-SPACE LOCATION. AMBROSE: If paradise, then, is of such a nature that Paul alone. or one like Paul, could scarcely see it while alive and still was unable to remember whether he saw it in the body or out of the body and moreover heard words that he was forbidden to reveal-if this be true, how will it be possible for us to declare the position of paradise which we have not been able to see and even if we had succeeded in seeing it, we would be forbidden to share with others? And again, since Paul shrank from exalting himself by reason of the sublimity of the revelation, how much more ought we to strive not to be too anxious to disclose that which leads to danger by its very rev-

elation! The subject of paradise should not, therefore, be treated lightly. Paradise 1.5 WHY CHRISTIANS PRAY FACING EAST. BASIL THE GREAT: For this reason we all look

to the east in our prayers, but few know that this is because we are seeking the ancient fatherland, which God planted in Eden, toward the east. On THE HOLY SPIRIT 27.66.

2:9 The Tree of Life and the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil

THE CLOSENESS OF THE TREES SIGNIFIES THE CLOSE RELATION BETWEEN LIFE AND KNOWLEDGE, ANONYMOUS: Indeed, there is a

FC 91.99-100. FC 2:162", FC 74:175. FC 51:274. FC 41:287-88. "NPNE 2:8-42".

deep meaning in the passage of Scripture that the lith owe God in the beginning planted to be opining planted to the beginning planted be beginning planted by the paradise, to show that life is attained that life is attained that life is attained that life is attained to knowledge. It was because the first men did not use this knowledge, it was because the first men did not use this knowledge with dean heardege with most knowledge with our genuine life. So the University of the property of the proposery to the

THE THE OF LIFE SYMBOLIZES WISHOM AND CARRY, JUNEAU PROFILES OF MY GLOOM IS the tree of life, Wisdom tstelf indeed is Christ. You understand now that the man who is blessed and holy is compared to this tree—that is, he is compared to Wisdom. Consequently, you see too that the just man, that blessed man who has not followed the counsel of the wicked—who has not often that but has done this—is:

like the tree that is planted near running water. He is, in other words, like Christ, inasmuch as he 'raised us up rogether and seated us together in heaven." Sou see then that we shall reign together with Christ in heaven. You see too that because this tree has been planted in the garden of Eden, we have all been planted there tooether with him. HoultList. 1."

CHRIST RESTORES US TO LIFE BY THE TREE OF LIFE. GREGORY OF NAZIANZUS: Christ is brought up to the tree and nailed to it—yet by the tree of life he restores us. Ves, he saves even a thief crucified with him; he wraps all the visible world in darkness. Theological Orango Nazions, and ¹²

FC 1:368**. *Viewed from the perspective of the subsequent history of revelation. *Ps 1:3. **Eph 2:6. **FC 48:7. **FGFR 259-60.

2:10-14 THE RIVER OF EDEN

"A river flowed out of Eden" to water the garden," and there it divided and became four rivers, "The name of the first is Pishons," it is the one which flows around the whole land of Harvilah, "where there is spold: "and the gold of that land is good, floatilium and onys, stone;" are there. "The name of the second river is Gibens;" it is the one which flows around the whole land of Cush," "And the name of the third river is Tigris, which flows [east off!! Asynch. And the fourth viver is the Eubershelm."

"LXX Edem "LXX paradise "iterally heads; LXX sources "LXX Passe "LXX Evilar ""LXX sathrax and the green stone "LXX Green "LXX Editorpia "LXX ext against

Overview: When the river of Eden flows our of the garden, it divides into four rivers, which

are different in nature and taste from the head (EPHREM). Each river symbolically represents

a virtue of Christian faith (Assanosa). The triver of Eden might be identified with the ocean that entircles the earth (Josto of Da-MASCUS). It also appears to be a symbolic representation of the resourceful, conforting and life-giving church (Cyrauxa, Cisuxsocrosi). But the rivers must not be treated so allegorically that they are assumed to have no actual existence (Cyrauxocrosi).

2:10-14 A River Flowed from Eden

DESCRIPTION OF THE RIVER OF EDEN. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Moses turned to write

about the river that flowed out from paradise and that, once outside of it, divided into four distinct sources, saving, "A river flowed out of Eden to water paradise." Here too Moses calls the delightful land of paradise Eden. If that river had indeed watered paradise, it would not have divided into the four rivers outside it. I would suggest that it was perhaps due to convention that it is said "to water." since the spiritual trees of paradise had no need of water. But if someone should say that because they are spiritual, they drink from the blessed and spiritual waters there. I would not quarrel over this. The four rivers that flowed from that river were not similar in taste to the headspring. For if the waters of our lands vary all being placed under the sentence of a curse. how much more distinct should the taste of the biessed land of Eden be from the taste of that land that had been placed under the curse of the lust One due to Adam's transgression of the commandment? The four rivers, then are these: the Pishon, which is the Danube: the Gibor, which is the Nile; and then the Tigris and the Euphrates, between which we dwell. Although the places from which they flow are known, the source of the sprine is not known.

Because paradise is set on a great height, the rivers are swallowed up again, and they go down to the sea as if through a tall water duct, and so they pass through the earth that is under the sea into this land. The earth then spits out each one of them the Danube, which is the Pishon, in the west the Gibino in the south; and the Euphrates and the Tigris in the court. Consumers are of Evense and

SYMBOLIC MEANING OF THE FOUR RIVERS Ambrose: "The river," we are told, "is separated into four branches." The name of one is Pishon, which encircles all the land of Hevila where there is gold. And the gold of that land is good: bdellium and onyx are there. The name of the second river is Gibon. This river encircles all the land of Ethiopia. The name of the third river is Tigris, which river flows by the Assyrians. And the fourth river is the Euphrates. There are therefore four rivers Pishon-so called by the Hebrews but named Ganges by the Greeks-flows in the direction of India. Gibon is the river Nile, which flows around the land of Egypt or Ethiopia. The land enclosed by the Tigris and Euphrates rivers is called Mesopotamia because it lives between these two rivers. This name conveys its location even to far-distant peoples and besides expresses popular belief. But how is the fount called the Wisdom of God? That this is a fount the Gospel tells us in the words "If anyone thirst, let him come to me and drink."2 Wisdom is a fount according to the prophet: "Come and eat my bread and drink the wine which I have mingled for you."3 As Wisdom is the fountain of life, it is also the fountain of spiritual grace.

FC 91:100-101. 3in 7:37. 3Prov 9:15.

It is also the fountain of other virtues that

guide us to the course of eternal life. Therefore, the sord when well tilled, not from the soul when well tilled, not from the soul that menutativated. The results from it are fruit trees of diverse virtues. There are four principal trees that constitute the divisions of Windom. These are the well-known four principal virtues prodence, temperance, fortriudes and justice. The wise men of this world have adopted this division from as not transferred it to their writings. Hence, Windom acts as the source from which these four rivers take their rise, producing streams that are composed of these virtues.

Pishon, therefore, stands for prudence. Hence it has pure gold, brilliant rubies and topaz stones. We often refer to wise discoveries as gold, as the Lord says, speaking through the prophet: "I gave to them gold and silver." Daniel says of the wise: "If you sleep among the midst of the lots, you shall be as the wings of the dove covered with silver and the hinder parts of her back like to gold."5 In this way one who puts his trust in the aid of the Old and New Testament can by resourceful inquiry attain the inmost secrets of the Wisdom of God. Here, therefore, is found pure gold, not the metal that is melted, which belongs to this earth and is subject to corruption. In this land. we are told, there is found the brilliant ruby stone in which there exists the viral snark of our souls. Here, too, is the topaz stone that by the nature of its color reveals an effect of greenness and vitality. Plants that are alive give forth green sprouts, while those that are dead are sapless and dry. The earth grows green when it is in bloom. The seeds, too, sprout forth green shoots in their periods of growth. The rive: Pishon is rightfully given first place. The Hebrews call it Pheovson, which means "change of mouth," because it flows even

through Lydia and not merely around one nation, for Wisdom, which is of benefit to all men, is productive and useful. Hence, if a person were to leave paradise, this river of Wisdom would be the first object he would meet. Thus he may not become inert and arid and his return to paradise may be facilitated. Many men resort to this river, which is considered to have marvelous beauty and fecundity. Accordingly it is regarded as a figure of Wisdom, which confers manifold fruits in the coming of the Lord of salvation. It flows to the very ends of the earth, because by Wisdom all have been redeemed. Thus it is written: "Their sound has gone forth into all the earth and their words unto the end of the world."

The second river is Gihon, by which, when they were sojourning in Egypt, was laid down the law of the Israelites that they should depart from Egypt,7 and having girded their loins they should as a sign of temperance partake of a lamb. It is fitting that the chaste and the sanctified should celebrate the Pasch of the Lord. For that reason, the observance of the law was first carried out beside that river. the name of which signifies an opening of the earth. Therefore, just as an opening absorbs the easth and whatever defilements and refuse there may be in it, in like manner chastity tends to consume all the passions of the body. Appropriately, then, the observance of the established law first took place there, because carnal sin is absorbed by the law. And so Gihon, which is a figure of chastity, is said to surround the land of Ethiopia in order to wash away our lowly bodies and quench the fires of our vile flesh. The meaning of Ethiopia in Latin is "holy and vile." What is more lowly,

"Hex 26. "Px67:ds. "Px18:5. "Ex 12:d...

what is more like Ethiopia, than our bodies, blackened, too, by the darkness of sin?

The third river is the Tigris, which flows by the Asyrian Ind. To this river the by the Asyrian Ind. To this river the deceiver Interest was dragged as a prisoner. This river is the swifesce off all rivers. The Asyrian Section Ind. The I

The fourth river is the Euphrates, which means in Latin "fecundity and abundance of fruits." It presents a symbol of justice, the nourishment of every soul. No virtue produces more abundant benefits than equity or justice, which is more concerned with others than with itself, peolecting its own advantages and preferring the common good. Many derive Euphrates from the Greek ano tou euphrainesthai, that is, from a "feeling of gladness," because the human race rejoices in nothing more than it does in justice and equity. The question as to why, although the location itself of other rivers is reported, we have no description of the regions through which the river Euphrates flows calis for an answer. The waters of this river are considered to have a vital quality that fosters growth and increase. Wherefore the wise men among the Hebrews and the Assyrians called this river Auxen ["increase"] in contradistinction to the water of other rivers. The opposition has been well established between wisdom and malice, fortitude and irascibility, temperance, and other vices, justice, on the other hand, is the most important as it represents the concord of all the other virtues. Hence it is not known from the places from which it flows, that is to say, it is not known in part. Justice is not divisible into parts. It is, as it were, the mother of all virtues. In these four rivers are symbolized therefore the four principal virtues. PARADIS 146-18.³

RAAI RAVERS. CIRESOSTON: Perhaps, however, those people who like to talk from their own wisdom do not concede again that these rivers are rivers or these waters really waters to propound some different interpression people ready to lend them their ears. Let us, however, I begy on, no be convinced them but block our ears against them let us instead place our credents in sarred Scripture in sarred Scripture in and hered what is told us there. HOMILIES ON GRAWERS LILE-GE

THE RIVER OF EDEN IS THE OCEAN THAT ENCIRCLES THE EARTH. JOHN OF DAMAScus: Then there is the ocean that encircles the entire earth like a sort of river and to which it seems to me that Scripture referred when it said that "a river flowed out of paradise." It has sweet potable water and supplies the seas, but because the water remains stagnant in the seas for a lone time it becomes brackish. The sur and the waterspouts are constantly drawing up the less dense water, and from this the clouds are formed and the rain comes, the water becoming sweet by filtration. This ocean is divided into four heads, of four rivers. The name of the first is Pishon; this is the Ganges of India. The name of the second is Gebon: this is the Nile, which comes down from Ethiopia into Egypt. The name of the third is Tigris, and of

*PC 43-795-96 *PG 53-110-PC 74-177-76

the fourth, Euphrates. Orthodox Faith 2-9.20

THE RIVER OF EDEN SYMBOLICALLY PRE-SIGURES THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH, CYPnear: The church encloses fruitful trees within its walls. It waters these trees with four rivers, that is, with the four Gospels, from which it bestows the grace of baptism by the salutary and heavenly inundation. Can he who is not inside the church be watered from the fountains of the church? How could one who is perverse and condemned by himself and banished beyond the fountains of paradise provide a healthful resource of water? How could one who has dried up and has failed with the dryness of eternal thirst bestow upon another the salutary drinks of paradise? LETTERS 63.10.11

THE COOLING STREAMS OF PARADISE.
CHRYSOSTOM: Awe-inspiring, in truth, are the
mysteries of the church. Awesome truth is its
altar. A fountain sprang up out of paradise.

sending forth not only visible streams but also spiritual streams arising as a fountain from this high tableland. Alongside this fountain there have grown, not willows without fruit but abundant trees reaching to heaven itself. with fruit ever in season and remaining still incorrupt. If someone is intensely hot, let him come to this fountain and cool down this feverish heat. It dispels parching heat and gently cools all things that are very hot-not only those literally inflamed by the sun's heat but also those set on fire by sin's burning arrows. It does so because it takes its beginning from above and has its source from there. and from there it is fed. Many are the streams of this fountain, streams that the Paraclete sends forth: and the Son becomes its custodian, not keeping its channel open with a mattock but by making our hearts receptive. HOMILIES ON JOHN 46.4.12

"FC \$7/225. "FC \$1/274. "NPNF 1 14:167".

2:15-17 GOD COMMANDS THE MAN NOT TO EAT OF THE TREE OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF GOOD AND EVIL

¹¹The Loan God took the man" and put him in the garden of Eden" to till it and keep it. ¹⁶And the Loan God commanded the man. ¹ saying. "You may freely cat of every tree of the garden: ¹⁷but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat, for in the day that you cat of it you shall lie."

*LXX add; whose he had made. "For garden of Eden LXX read margine for once measurement of delates.) "LXX Ad-

Overview: To till and keep the garden means to believe in God and to keep the commandments (Chrysostom). The first man was created perfect and for this reason was placed in Eden to guard it (Origen). He worked and guarded the garden of Eden, but his work was not toilsome (Aducustins). The work of the first man demonstrates that there is a natural bent for work in man (Symbon the New Theologian).

INDOCROESE;
The tree of knowledge is a boundary to the inner region of parasitis (EPRISAL). The tree of the knowledge of good and overling out in same better may broke Gord. Joint of the property of the pro

In paradise Adam lived in his body on earth but in his spirit among the angels. In Eden he could ear of every tree freely [Josso TAMASCAS] but within the boundaries set (Christostroni). The tree of the knowledge of good and evil points to the sweemens of divine contemplation [Josso D DAMASCAS]. The law is given as a material for free will to act upon (Gissoon or NAZIANZES) in order to encourage are the exercise of virtue (Christostronia).

2:15 The Man Put in the Garden to Till It

THE FIRST MAN PLACED IN BORN BECAUSE OF HIS PREFECTION. ORIGIN: How would God have placed what was altogether imperiect in paradise to work and guard it! For he who is capable of tending "the tree of life" and everything that God planted and caused to spring up afterwards would not reasonably be called imperfect. Perhaps, then, although he

was perfect, he became imperfect in some way because of his transgression and was in need of one to perfect him from his imperfection. And the Savior was sent for these reasons. Commentary on John 13:240-41.

MAN'S WORK IN EDEN WAS NOT TOILS SOME. ACCOUNTED Although man was placed in paradias so as to work and guard it, that praiseworthy work was not colloums. For the work in paradias is quite different from the work on the earth to which he was condemned after the sin. The addition "and to guard it" indicated the sort of work it was. For in the tranquility of the happy life, where there is no death, the only work it to guard what you possess. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MANIFERMANS ALLIAG."

The text says "work" and "protect it." From what? There were no thieves, travelers or people with bad intentions. Protect it "from what? From himself. Do not lose it by transgressing the command. Instead, he would preserve the commandent and in so doing preserve himself in paradise. ON THE CREATION OF THE WORLD 5-5."

This Work Demonstrates Man's Natural Bent for Work. Symbon the New

PC89:117-1E. PC84:111. PG 56:47E.

THEOLOGIAN: In the beginning man was created with a nature inclined to work, for in paradise Adam was enjoined to till the ground and care for it, and there is in us a natural bent for work, the movement toward the good. Those who yield themselves to idleness and apathy, even though they may be spiritual and holy, burt themselves into unnatural subjection to passions. DIS-COURSES 10.3.1.

2:16-17 Man Forbidden to Eat of the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil

THE TREE OF KNOWLEDGE A BOUNDARY

MARK. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: In the very midst he planted the Tree of Knowledge, endowing it with wonder, hedging it in with dread, so that it might straightway serve as a boundary to the inner region of

paradise.

Two things did Adam hear
in that single decree:
that they should not eat of it
and that, by shrinking from it,
they should perceive that it was not lawful
to penetrate further, beyond that tree.

HYMNS ON PARADISE 3.3.*

THE VALUE OF EVENT THES, JOHN OF DAMASCELLE FOR GOADS, "Of every tree of paradies you shall eat," meaning I think, by means of you shall eat," meaning I think, by means of the control of things you will be drawn up one of the control of the con

WHEN DID THE TREE OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF GOOD AND EVEL GET ITS NAME! AUGUS. TINE: Without good reason certain writers are deeply puzzled when they seek to discover how the tree of the knowledge of good and evil could have been so called before man broke God's commandment by touching it and from experience discerning the difference between the good that he lost and the evil that he committed. Now, this tree was given this name so that our first parents might observe the prohibition and not touch it, taking care to avoid suffering the consequences of touching it against the prohibition. It was not because they subsequently went against the commandment and ate the fruit that the tree became the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. Even if they had remained obedient and had taken nothing against that commandment, it would be correctly called by what would happen to them there if they had taken the fruit. On THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENESIS 8.15.33.

THE TWO TREES. SEVERIAN OF GABALET The Tree of Life stood in the middle of paradise like a resply. The Tree of Knowledge stood as content. If you keep the commandment of this tree, you will receive a prize. So consider with this marvelous thought. Every tree in paradise; was in shoom, and fruit was in abundance exceptions. Only in the center are the due of competition and struggle. On the Cheation or tree Wassach.

GOD'S PROHIBITION A SIGN OF HIS GRACE.
ATHANASIUS: Knowing once more how the

"SNTD 164", "HOP 91, "FC 37:233, "ACW 42:55-56, "PC 56:484.

will of man could sway to either side, in antiipation God secured the gaze given them by a command and by the place where he put them. For he brought them into his own garden and ager them a law so that, if they kape the grace and remained good, they might still keep the life in paradise without sorrow or pain or care, besiden having the promise of incorruption in the heaven. But if they transgressed and turned back and became evil, they might know that they were incurring that corruption in death that was their by pattern, to longer to live in paradise but care out of it from that time to to die and abide in death and corruption. On THE BICAMSTUTE LE.

THE LAW GIVEN TO ADAM IN PARADISE. GREGORY OF NAZIANZUS: [God gave Adam] a law as a material for his free will to act on. This law was a commandment as to what plants he might partake of and which one he might not touch. This latter was the tree of knowledge: not, however, because it was evil from the beginning when planted, nor was it forbidden because God grudged it to us-let not the enemies of God wag their tongues in that direction or imitate the sement. But it would have been good if partaken of at the proper time. The tree was, according to my theory, contemplation, which is safe only for those who have reached marurity of habit ro enter upon, but which is not good for those who are still somewhat simple and greedy, just as neither is solid food good for those who are ver render and have need of milk SECOND OBATION ON EASTER 8.1

By Transgressing God's Prohibition Humanity Is Condemned to a Complete Death. Augustine: God, referring to the forbidden fruit, said to the first man whom he had established in paradise." In the day that you shall east of it, you shall die that you shall east of it, you shall die the death." His threat included not only the first parasis of the first east, east,

THE TERR IN THE FORM OF THE GROSS
BRINGS SAXFORD TO HOMASTIC CYRLO.

JREUGALEM Although to Adam it was said.

"Feet the day one are of it, you must diverted to the control of the control

THE TREE OF KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTS THE POWER OF DISCERMENT, JOHN OF DAMASCUS: The tree of knowledge of good and evil is the power of discernment by multi-dimensional vision. This is the complete knowing of one's own nature. Of itself it manifests the magnificence of the Creator, and it is evood for them that are full-rown and have

*NPNF 2 4:37-38. **NPNF 2 7:425. **IPC 14:315-16*. **IAt the conclusion of canechesis in preparation for baptism. **ILic 23:43. **FC 64:24-25.

walked in the contemplation of God—for them that have no fast of changing, because in the course of time they have acquired a certain hair of such contemplation. It is no good, however, for such as are still young and are more greatly in their appeties, who, he are more greatly in their appeties, who, he are such as the such as

THE TREE OF LIFE. JOHN OF DAMASCUS: Some have imagined paradise to have been material, while others have imagined it to have been spiritual. However, it seems to me that just as man was created both sensitive and intellectual, so did this most sacred domain of his have the twofold aspect of being perceptible both to the senses and to the mind. For while in his body he dwelt in this most sacred and superbly beautiful place, as we have related, spiritually he resided in a loftier and far more beautiful place. There he had the indwelling God as a dwelling place and wore him as a glorious garment. He was wrapped about with his grace, and like some one of the angels he rejoiced in the enjoyment of that one most sweet fruit which is the contemplation of God, and by this he was nourished. Now this is indeed what is firtingly called the tree of life, for the sweetness of divine contemplation communicates a life uninterrupted by death to them that partake of it. ON THE ORTHODOX FAITH 2.11.16

15FC 37:232-33. 16FC 37:232.

2:18-20 A HELPER FIT FOR THE MAN IS NOT YET FOUND

¹³Then the Loan God said, "It is not good that the man should be alone; I will make him a helper fit for him." "So out of the ground the Loan God formed every beast of the field and every hird for eair, and brought them to the man" to see what he would call them; and whatever the man" called every living creature, that was its name. ²⁰The man" gave names to all cattle, and to the birds of the air, and to every beast of the fields but for the man" there was not found a belieper fit for him.

. vv Adam

Overview: Man needed a helper because he still had to be regenerated in Christ (Chry-

SOSTOM). God brought the animals to Adam by the ministry of angels (AUGUSTINE) in order to demonstrate that a complete harmony between man and the animals existed before the sin (EPHREM) and that the man was superior to them all (AUGUSTINE). In our age, thanks to Christ, the humble people are treated by animals like the first man in Eden (ISAAC OF NINEVEN).

In the naming of animals, humanity is honored (CHRYSOSTOM). Adam exhibits a wise love for the animals (EPHREM), which are brought to him by the Lord (CHRYSOSTOM). Here the dominion of reason over flesh is maintained (AMEROSE).

2:18 "It Is Not Good That the Man Should Be Alone"

Man Needed a Helper Before His

REGENERATION IN CHRIST, CHRYSOSTOM: At that time God said "Let us make for him a helper," but in these times2 God says no such thing. Will he who has received the grace of the Spirit need any other help? How much need of assistance in the future has he who fills out the body of Christ? At that time he made man to the image of God, but now he has united him to God himself. At that time he commanded the man to rule over the fishes and the beasts. Now he has received our firstlings in heaven. Now he has given us the paradise to inhabit it. Now he has opened the gate of heaven to us. At that time man was formed on the sixth day, because the aeon had to be completed. Now he is formed on the first day and from the beginning and in the light. HOMILIES ON JOHN 25.2.3

WOMAN A BLESSING. TERTULLIAN: [In goodness God] provided also a help meet for [the man] that there might not be anything in his lot that was not good. For God said that it is not good for the man to be alone. He knew full well what a blessing the gender of Mary would be to him and also to the church. AGAINST MARCION 2.4.4

2:19-20 The Man Names the Animals

ADAM'S HOME WAS PARADERS. SEVERIAN O GRARLAL While Adam had been given the whole earth, he had been given paradise for his home. He could leave and go out of paradise, but there was not a labitable place for humans beyond its borders—enly for sensetess animals, four-footed animals, wild monsters and crawling bugs. His "basilica" and "palac" was located in paradise.

Because of this, God brought the living creatures to Adam; they had been separated from him. For slaves do not always stand in their master's presence; they are present only when needed. The living creatures were named and immediately sent away. Adam, however, remained in paradise. On the Creaatton or the World 61.7

How DID God Bring the Animals to Adam? Augustine: Now we should not

imagine God bringing the animals to Adam in a crudely material way. What I have said in the preceding book about the twefold working of drivine Providence should be a help here. We must not suppose that the animals were brought to Adam as when hunters and fowlers seek them out and drive them into their nets seek them out and drive them into their nets a command spoken by a woice from a cloud in words that arising all restaures, not have the propagation of the control of the control

³In Genesis, according to the Old Testament. ³In the Gospels, according to the New Testament. ³NPNF 114:85°. ⁴ANF 3:300°. ³PG 56:485.

would understand and obey. Beasts and birds have not received used power. But accuse the total received the power of the total received to their nature they obey God, not by a rational free obice of the will but according the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures at the plan by which God moves all creatures are the plan by which God moves all the god moves and the god m

A COMPLETE HARMONY BETWEEN MAN AND THE ANIMALS, EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Moses said, "God brought them to Adam." This happened in order that God might make known the wisdom of Adam and the harmons that existed between the animals and Adam before he transgressed the commandment. The animals came to Adam as to a loving shepherd. Without fear they passed before him in orderly fashion, by kinds and by species. They were neither afraid of him nor were they afraid of each other. A species of predatory animals would pass by with a species of animal that is preved upon following safely right behind. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2.0.2.7

MAN IS SUPERION TO ANY ANNAL. AUGUSTINE God first showed man how much better he was than cattle and all irrational animals. This is signified by the starement that all the animals were brought to him that he might see what he would call them and give them name. This shows that man is better equippe than the animals in virtue of reason, since only reason that judges concerning

them is able to distinguish and know them by name. The one idea is an easy one to graps, for man quickly understands that he is better equipped than cattle. The other idea is a difficult on to graps, namely, that by which he understands that the rational part in him that rules is distinct from the animal part, which is ruled. Two Books or Ginnssta Against Tatt Manchalana 2.11.6.

THE HUMBLE MAN IS LIKE ADAM IN EDEN. ISAAC OF NINEVEH: He who speaks contemptuously against the humble man and does not consider him an animate creature is like one who has opened his mouth against God. And though the humble man is contemptible in his eyes, his honor is esteemed by all creation. The humble man approaches ravenous beasts, and when their gaze rests upon him, their wildness is tamed. They come up to him as to their Master, was their heads and tails and lick his hands and feet, for they smell coming from him that same scent that exhaled from Adam before the fall, when they were gathered together before him and he gave them names in paradise. This was taken away from us, but lesus has renewed it and given it back to us through his coming. This it is that has sweetened the fragrance of the race of men. ASCETICAL HOMILIES 77.

IMPORTANCE OF NAMING THE ANIMALS. CHRYSOSTON: Those names that [Adam] imposed on them remain up to the present time. In this way God determined that we might retain a constant reminder of the esteem which the human being from the outset received from the Lord of all and might:

"ACW 41.42. "FC91:103. "FC84:112. "AHSS 383.

attribute responsibility for its removal to a person who by sin put an abrupt end to his authority. Homilles on Genesis 14:20.²⁰

Animals Represent Human Passions. Ambrose: The beasts of the field and the birds of the air which were brought to Adam are our irrational senses, because beasts and animals represent the diverse passions of the body, whether of the more violent kind or even of the more temperate. . . . God granted to you the power of being able to discern by the application of sober logic the species of each and every object in order that you may be induced to form a judgment on all of them. God called them all to your attention so that you might realize that your mind is superior to all of them. PARADISE 1151-152.11

"FC 74:190-91. "FC 42:329-30.

2:21-25 GOD CREATES WOMAN

²¹So the Loan God caused a deep sleep* to fall upon the man, ² and while he slept took one of bis ribs and closed up its place with flesh; ²² and the rib which the Loan God had taken from the man ²³ then tade² into a woman and brought her to the man. ²³ "Loan the man" said.

"This at last is bone of my bones

and flesh of my flesh;

she shall be called Woman,2
because she was taken out of Man."

²⁴Therefore a man leaves his father and his mother and cleaves to his wife, and they become one flesh. ²⁵And the man and his wife were both naked, and were not ashamed.

d Hel-ishshah .e Hel-ish "LXX on oceany "LXX Adam "LXX built "LXX the two

Overview: It is out of a bare bone that God instantly creates the full beauty of woman (EPHREM. CHROSOSTOM). A EVE was born without a mother, so was Jesus born without a father (Cyrit. or Jesusalem). God willed that the two, male and female, be established as one (Amboost, Eve's creation was painless to the unfallen Adam, in contrast with the pain

of the fallen Eve's birth-giving (Chenysostom). The woman was made in order to help man in good works (AUGUSTEN) but above all for the sake of bearing children (AMBROSE, AUGUSTENS). She was superior to any animal in her ability to help (EHREEN, WHEN The WOMAN was created from man's rib, he probably dreamed of the rib that was removed from

him (EPHREM). When God produced ecstasy in the first man, he made him dream for the first time (TERTULIAN). The first man possessed the power of prophecy (CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA) through which he understood what was finally to come (AUGUSTINE).

The creation of the woman from the man's rib signifies that the woman is one with the man, as Christ is one with the church (Augus-TIME Her creation also symbolizes the creation of the church (JEROME, QUODVULTDEUS). The union of man and woman implies a return to their origin (EPHREM). It also symbolizes the spiritual marriage of human beings with the church (Augustine) and their union with Christ (Ambrose). However, they must be interpreted as an invitation to chastity and celibacy (APHRAHAT). The church is the mother of the new humanity, as Eve was of the old (Ouopvultpeus). Before sin man and woman were naked and not ashamed, because they were clothed with glory (EPHREM). Their sexual organs could not offend, because they were not stirred by concupiscent desire (AUGUSTINE). We are baptized naked in order to remind us of our former nakedness in paradisc, when we were naked and not ashamed (CHRYSOSTOM).

In creation man and woman were naked and not ashamed. They were not weighed down by bodily needs as they cleaved to each other (Christostrosi). The relation of man and woman in paradise is anticipatory of the resurrection of the faithful (Grigogor of NYSSA). After the fall came alienation (Dobothhus of GAZA).

2:21-23 She Shall Be Called Woman

Another Is Promised to Be Born. Cyril of Jerusalem: Of whom in the beginning was Eve begotten? What mother conceived her. the motherless? But the Scripture says that she was born out of Adam's side. Is Eve then born out of man's side without a mother, and is a child not to be born without a father, of a virgin's womb! This debt of gratured was due to men from womankindi for Eve was begotten of Adam and not conceived of a mother, but as it were brought forth of man alone. CATEMENTEAL LECTURES 12.0.0

A Dars Stars. Cursivostron. "God caused drowniess to come upon Adam," the test asys, "and he algot." It wann't simply drowniness that came upon him on normal aleep; instead the wise and skillful creator of our nature was about to remove one of Adam's ribs. Lear the experience cause Adam afterward to be half updapsed toward the creature formed dispussed toward the creature formed from his rib and through memory of the pain bear a grudge against this being a ris formation. God induced in him this kind of sleep. God caused advowinces to Gome upon him and blot him be weighted down as though by some heavy weight. Hosturies soor Genesias 15x.5.2.

FROM ANM'S RIS. SEVERALY OF GARALY
DEP data most rafter pair Did he not experience agony? A single hair is plocked from the oboy and we feel pair. Die not in deeply asleep, he will awake from the pain. Here, however, many hairs are plucked out, even after the object of the object does not awake? God did not remove the risk vollently, which would awaken Anham. He did not wrench it out. Instead Scrippara, destring to reveal the outliness of God Scripping, says "he tools, a risk out of him and he did not awake." On this CARLETON OF THE MORELET SM.

*NPNF 2.7/80. *PG 53:120: FC 74:198. *PG 56:481-82.

THIS IS NOW BONK OF MY BONK. EPHERM THE SYRAICS THEN SYRAICS THE SYRAICS THE SYRAICS THEN SYRAICS THEN SYRAICS THE SYRAI

THE WOMAN IS MAN'S PERPER. ANDUSTIVES SCRIPTOR STOP that Per was made as man's helper so that by spiritual union six might bring forth appirtual off-spring. But is, the good works of dwine praise, while he rules and six obey. He is ruled by wisdoms his, by the man. For Christ is the head of the man, and the man is the head of the wann." Two BOOKS OF GINESIS AGAINST THE MANICHARANS JAILES.

THE WOMAN IS CREATED FOR BRAING CHILDREN, ARRADOSE New without significance, too, is the fact that woman was made out of the rile of Adam. She was not made of the rile of Adam. She was not made of the same earth with which he was formed, in order that we might realize that the physical nature of both man and woman is identical and that there was not source for the propagate of the propagate of

tion of the human stock he eliminated the possibility that many disparate natures should arise. He said, "Let us make him a helper like himself" We understand that to mean a helper in the generation of the human family-a really good helper. If we take the word belper in a good sense, then the woman's cooperation turns out to be something of major import in the process of generation, just as the earth by receiving, confining and fostering the seed causes it to grow and produce fruit in time. In that respect, therefore, woman is a good beloer even though in a position of lesser strength. We find examples of this in our own experience. We see how people in high and important offices often enlist the help of people who are below them in rank and esteem. PARADISE 10.48

A HELPER FOR BEARING CHILDREN. AUGUSTINE: Now suppose the woman was not made for the man to be his helper in begetting children, then how would she he able to help him? It would hardly be the case that she would be made to till the earth with him, for there was not yet any labor required to make her help necessary. In any case, if there were any such need, a male helper would be better, and the same could be said of the comfort of another's presence if Adam were perhaps weary of solitude. How much more agreeably could two male friends, rather than a man and a woman, enjoy companionship and conversation in a life shared together. And if they had to make an arrangement in their common life for one to command and the other to obey in order to make sure that opposing wills would not disrupt the peace of

"FC91:105. "1 Cer 11:13. "FC 84:111. "FC 42:32".

the household, there would have been proper rank to assure this, since one would be created first and the other second, and this would be further reinforced if the second were made from the first, as such case with the womans. Surely no one will asy that God was able to make from the rib of the man only a woman and not also a man if he had wished to do so. Consequently, il do not see in what sense the woman was made as a helper for the man if not for the sake of bearing children. ON THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GEN-535-5-69.

THE ADMINIAN NOT ARE TO HERE MAN AS THE WOMAN. EPINED THE STREAM THE STREAM WAS the paradise, the woman was very diligent: the was also attentive to the sheep and cattir, the refers and deroves that were in the fields. She would also help the man with the buildings, pens, and with any other task that the was capable of desing. The animals, even though they were subservient, were not able to help him with these things, For this reason God anda for the man a helper who would be concerned for everything for which God himself would be concerned. She would indeed help him in many things. COMMENTARY ON GENS-513. 3.11.

ADAM DREAMED WHEN WOMAN WAS CRE-ATED. EPIREM THE STREAM: That man, awake, anointed wish pelmdor, and who did not yet know sleep, fell on the earth naked and sleep. It is likely that Adam saw in his deram what was done to him as if he were wawke. After Adam is rib had been taken out in the twinking of an eye. God closed up the time to the proper of the control of the thing of an eye. God closed up the time bits in its place in the blink of an eyistals. The bare bose took on the full appearance and all the beauty of a woman. God then brough!

her to Adam, who was both one and two. He was one in that he was Adam, and he was two because he had been created male and female. ³⁰ COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2.12. ¹¹

GOD PRODUCES IN THE FIRST MAN THE ECSTASY OF DREAM. TERTULLIAN: We hold the soul to be perennially active because of its continual movement, which is a sign both of its divinity and its immortality. So, then, when rest comes-rest, that special comfort of bodies-the soul disdains an idleness that is alien to its nature and, deprived of the faculties of the body makes use of its own. This power we call ecstasy. This occurs when we are deprived of the activity of the senses. Lacking sensory input the soul reflects conditions akin to delirium. 12 Thus, in the beginning, sleep was preceded by ecstasy, as we read: "God sent an ecstasy upon Adam, and he slept." Sleep brought rest to the body but ecstasy came over the soul and prevented it from resting, and from that time this combination constitutes the natural and normal form of the dream. On THE SOUL 45.1-2.13

THE FIRST MAN POSSESSED THE POWER OF PROPHECY. CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA: Among the Hebrews the prophets spoke by the power and inspiration of God. Before the law there was Adam, who used a power of prophecy over the woman and over the naming of animals: Nosh, preaching repentance:

*ACW 42/5. *FC 91/04. **Before Eve, Adam was two in that Eve was already implicitly within him. After Eve was created, he was two because he had been created male and fermal. Pet in all this duality he did not create to be a single person, bence one. Cf. Gen 127. **PC 91/105. **Dor enchantment, or a deprivation of the activation of the sension. **PC 10/2007.**

Abraham, Isaac and Jacob offering a clear foreshadowing of a large number of events future or imminent, STROMATEIS 1,115-2,14

Annu Unpressure in His Eccuser WHAT IS TO COME, AUGUSTINE: Hence we are justified in concluding that the ecstasy in which Adam was caught up when God cast him into a sleep was given to him so that his mind in that state might participate with the host of angels and, entering into the sanctuary of God, understand what was finally to come. When he awoke, he was like one filled with the spirit of prophecy, and seeing his wife brought before him, he immediately opened his mouth and proclaimed the great mystery that St. Paul15 teaches: "This now is bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh; she shall be called woman, because she has been taken our of man. And for this reason a man shall leave his father and his mother and shall cleave to his wife; and they shall be the two in one flesh." These were the words of the first man according to the testimony of Scripture, but in the Gospel our Lord declared that God spoke them. For he says, "Have you not read that he who made them from the beginning made them male and female and said. For this reason a man shall leave his father and mother and shall cleave to his wife, and they shall be two in one flesh " 16 From this we should understand, therefore, that because of the ecstasy that Adam had just experienced he was able to say this as a prophet under divine guidance. On the LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENESIS 9.19.36.

THE WOMAN IS ONE WITH THE MAN AS CHRIST IS ONE WITH THE CHURCH. AUGUSTINE: Even in the beginning, when woman was made from a rib in the side of the sleeping man, that had no less a purpose then to symbolize prophetically the union of Christ and his church. Adam's sleep was a mystical foreshadowing of Christ's death, and when his dead body hanging from the cross was pierced by the lance, it was from his side that there issued forth that blood and water that, as we know, signifies the sacraments by which the church is built up. "Built" is the very word the Scripture uses in connection with Eve: "He built the rib into a woman." . . . So too St. Paul speaks of "building up the body of Christ."18 which is his church. Therefore woman is as much the creation of God as man is. If she was made from the man, this was to show her oneness with him; and if she was made in the way she was, this was to prefigure the oneness of Christ and the church. CITY OF GOD 22.17.11

THE CREATION OF THE WOMAN SYMBOL. IZES THE CREATION OF THE CHURCH, les-OME: "God took a rib from the side of Adam and made it into a woman." Here Scripture said aedificavit ("built"). The concept of building intends to denote the construction of a great house: consequently Adam's rib fashioned into a woman signifies, by apostolic authority.20 Christ and the church, and that is why Scripture said he formed (aedificavit) a woman from the rib. We have heard about the first Adam; let us come now to the second Adam and see how the church is made (aedificatur) from his side. The side of the Lord Savior as he hung on the cross is pierced with a lance, and from it there comes forth blood and water. Would you like to know how the church is built up from water

³⁶FC 85:124. ³⁵Cf. Eph 5:31-32. ³⁵Mt 19×. ³⁷ACW 42:95. ³⁶Cf. Eph 4:12. ³⁶FC 24:464-65. ³⁶Cf. Eph 5:32. and blood? First, through the baptism of water, sins are forgiven; then, the blood of martyrs crowns the edifice. Homeles 66.21

THE CHURCH AS MOTHER OF THE NEW HUMANITY. QUODVULTDEUS: The apostle Paul testifies that this passage has both a plain and an allegorical meaning. Discussing it in his letter to the Ephesians, he asserts.

"This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning Christ and the church."22 The great mystery is that Adam hopes after receiving the promise. He sees that the spouse in whom he believed is now united to him. Therefore he symbolically announces to us that through faith the church will be the mother of humankind It is evident that since Eve had been created from the side of the sleeping Adam, he has foreseen that from the side of Christ hanging on the cross the church, which is in truth the mother of the whole new humankind, must be created. In fact the church is "the woman who is guarded for a time, and times, and half a time, from the face of the serpent."23 BOOK OF PROMISES AND PREDIC-TIONS OF GOD 1.1.24

2:24 Becoming One Flesh

MAN AND WOMAN UNITE AGAIN. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Then Adam said, "Let the man leave his father and his mother and cling to his wife so that they might be joined and the two might become one" without division as they were from the beginning. COMMENTARY ON CENESIS 2-13-3.75

Union of Man and Woman Symbolizes Our Union to the Church and to Christ. Augustine: Scripture said, "A man will leave father and mother, and he will cling to his wife, and they will be two in one flesh." This is what generally lappens in the human race. There is no other way to view in plain, historical sense. But more oo, this is all prophecy, and the aposter reminds us of this sell prophecy, and the aposter reminds us of this self the early. For this reason a man will leave his safether and mother and he will cling to his wife, and they will be two in one flesh. This is a great mayers; I mean in Christ and the church." Two Boots on Genesias Against the church." Two Boots on Genesias Against The MASCHEARMS LILED."

THE BONE AND FLESH OF THE CHURCH.
AMBROSE: If the union of Adam and Eve is a
great mystery in Christ and in the church, tri
certain that as Eve was bone of the bones of
her husband and flesh of his flesh, we also are
members of Christ's body, bones of his bones
and flesh of his flesh. LETTERS TO LAYMEN
88.28

CLEAVING TO GOD AND CLEAVING TO THE WORLD. APPRIATE FOR the law we heard: "Therefore a man leaves his father and his mother and cleaves to his wife, and they will become one flesh." This is a great and sublime repophery. Who actually leaves his father and mother when he takes a wife! This is the meaning of the world man in his original condition loved and worshiped God, his father, and the Hody Spirit, his mother. He did not have any other love. In order to take a wife, man leaves his mother and father, those whem alrease his mother and father, those when diverted by this world. His soul and mind are diverted by this world. His soul and mind are diverted by this world. His soul and mind are

³⁶PC 57:65. ³⁶Eph 5:32. ³⁵Rev 12:14. ³⁶PL 51:735: CCL 60:15 SC 101:159-61. ³⁶PC 91:105-6. ³⁶Eph 5:31-32. ³⁶PC 84-115. ³⁶PC 26:476.

world that he adores and lower has man lower, the wife of his youth." The love for this wife is different from the lowe for the father and the mostner. Scripture adds., "They will become one flesh: It is true that as soom men make one flesh and soul with their wife, and their immd and thoughts are drenn away from their father and mother, so those who never take a wife and say alone may have a single spirit and mind with their father. Damons-STATROSS Hiscaria.

A MAN SHALL CLEAVE TO HIS WIFE, CHRY-SOSTOM: Where, tell me, did these things come from for him to utter? From what source did he gain knowledge of future events and the fact that the race of human beings should grow into a vast number? Whence, after all, did he come to know that there would be intercourse between man and woman? I mean the consummation of that intercourse occurred after the fall; up till that time they were living like angels in paradise and so were not burning with desire, not assaulted by other passions, not subject to the needs of nature; on the contrary, they were created incorruptible and immortal, and on that account at any rate they had no need to wear clothes. . . . So from what source, tell me, did these things come for him to utter? Surely it's obvious that before his disobedience he had a share in prophetic grace and saw everything through the eyes of the Spirit, HOMILIES ON GENERIC 12-16 3

2:25 Both Were Naked and Were Not Ashamed

MAN AND WOMAN WERE CLOTHED WITH GLORY BEFORE SIN. EPHREM THE STRIAN: They were not ashamed because of the giory with which they were clothed. It was when this glory was stripped from them after they had transgressed the commandment that they were ashamed because they were naked. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2.14.2.²⁵

THEIR SEXUAL ORGANS COULD NOT OFFENDA AGUSTINE: [Man and woman] were aware, of course, of their nakedness, but they felt no shame, because no desire stirred their organs in definace of their deliberate decision. The time had not yet come when the rebellion of the flesh was a witness and repreach to the rebellion of man against his Maker. CITT or 500 144.17.

WHY CHRISTIANS AND BAPTIERD NAKED. CRESTOSTON: After stripping you of your robe, the priest himself leads you down into the flowing waters. But why saked? He reminds you of you former nakedness, when you were in paradise and you were not ashamed. For Hob Witt says. "Adm and five were naked and were not ashamed," until they took up the garment of sin, a garment of son, by the your with abundant shame. Baptishal Instruction List."

NAKED BUT NOT ASHAMED. CHRYSOSTOM:
"They were both naked," the text says,
remember, "and were not ashamed." You see,
while sin and disobedience had not yet come
on the scene, they were clad in that glory from
above which caused them no shame. But after
the breaking of the law, then entered the scene
both shame and awareness of their nakednoses. Howatties ON GENESIS 51-44."

**Prov. 5:18. **PS 1 1:839-42. **PG 53:123: FC 74:202-3. **FC 91:106. **FC 14:389. **ACW 31:170. **PG 53:123: FC 74:203.

RESUREATION RESTORES PRADESE LOCK.

GROOTO OF NISSA. The resurrection promises us nothing else than the restoration of the fallen to their sanctes stars for the grace we look for its certain resturn to the first life, bringing back again to paradise those who were cast out from it. If then the life of these restored is closely related to that of the entropic life, it is clear that the life before the transpression was kind of angile life, and there also our return to the ancient conditions of life is compared to the angile. On the Clearatros or

REPRISE. DOROTHEUS OF GAZA: In the beginning, when God created man, he set him in paradise (as the divine holy Scripture says) adorned with every virtue and gave him a command not to eat of the tree in the middle of paradise. Adam was provided for in para-

dise, in prayer and contemplation in the midst of honor and glory, healthy in his emotions and sense perceptions, and perfect in his nature as he was created. For to the likeness of God did God make man that is immortal having the power to act freely and adorned with all the virtues. When he disobeyed the command and are of the tree that God commanded him not to eat of, he was thrown our of paradise and fell from a state in accord with his nature to a state contrary to nature, a prey to sin, to ambition, to a love of the pleasures of this life and to the other passions; and he was mastered by them and became a slave to them through his transgression. Spiritual INSTRUCTIONS L.

"NPNF 2 5:407. "CS 33:77.

3:1-6 THE DECEIT OF THE SERPENT

'Now the serpent was more subtle than any other wild creature that the Loan God had made. He said to the woman. 'Did God say, 'You shall not cut of any tree of the garden'?' "And the woman said to the serpent. 'We may cut of the fruit of the trees of the garden,' but God said. 'You shall not cut of the fruit of the trees of the garden,' the tree to consider the garden,' mother hall you would be tree, 'But the serpent said to the woman, 'You will not die. 'For God know that when you cut of it your cyst will be opened, and you will be like God, knowing good and cut.' "So when the woman sum that the tree was good for food, and that it was a delight to the cyst, and that the tree was to be desired to make one wise, the took of its first and atter and the all to a was some to be rehusband, and be a roll of the first that the contract of the contract of the second of the first and atter and the all one was one to the rehusband, and be a roll.

*LXX paradise

OVERVIEW: The serpent was more clever than the other beasts but was not raised to the level of human rationality (EPHREM). Before the fall the serpent was on intimate terms with man (IOHN OF DAMASCUS). The serpent as created was winsome and able to communicate with Adam and Eve, and hence became a rool of deception used by the devil (CHRYSOSTOM. EPHREM), who was envious of Adam and Eve's special role in paradise (AMBROSE). By questioning Eve the serpent ascertained the mystery of the tree (EPHREM). The devil spoke first to the woman apart from the man, who had received directly from God the command not to eat of the tree (AMBROSE). The serpent realized that God had forewarned Adam and Eve about even looking at the tree in order that they not become enamored by its beauty (EPHREM). The serpent symbolizes pleasure (AMBROSE). It also signifies the devil (Augus-

TINE). The words of the serpent, "You shall be as gods," demonstrate that pride is the beginning of all sin (AUGUSTINE). Humans were harmed by their own desire (EPHREM). They had already begun in a preliminary way to seek satisfaction in themselves when they were tempted by the serpent. In paradise rebellion began in the soul with the breaking of the commandment. The whole person committed the sin (Augustine). Adam and Eve did not preserve temperance but voluntarily ate of the fruit (AMBROSE). The Eucharist is the remedy against the poison that ruined human nature when Eve and Adam are of the fruit (GREGORY of Nyssa). Since Adam and Eve fell through pride, their posterity would be required to return to God through humility (Augustine). Mary represents a second Eve, who frees humankind from the sin of the first Eye (IRE-NAEUS). That Eve disobeved before Adam is

taken by some fathers to be an usurpation of his headship (EPHREM).

3:1-3 The Serpent Talks to the Woman

THE CLEVERNESS OF THE SERPENT WAS LIMITED. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Although the serpent was cunning, it was only more cunning than the dumb animals that were governed by Adam. It is not true that because the serpent surpassed the level of animals in cleverness, it was immediately raised up to the level of human rationality. It was only more clever than those animals that lack reason and was only more crafty than the animals that had no mind. For it is clear that the serpent. which did not have the mind of man, did not possess the wisdom of mankind. Adam was also greater than the serpent by the way he was formed, by his soul, by his mind, by his glory and by his place. Therefore it is evident that in cunning also Adam was infinitely greater than the serpent. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2.15.1.1

WHY THE SERPENT WAS A TOOL FOR DECENTION. STURMEN OF GABLEL DO NOT think of the maske the way be currently is, since we now run from him and are disquared by him. It was not this way in the beginning the make was a friend of humanity, even the closest of servants. What, then, made she him our enemy? The declaration of God. You are more careful than all the cuttle, and more than every wild animal. I will place hostifity between you and the woman." This hostility determined the friendship; law "friendship; but I do not mean an intellectual reationship it was

FC 91:107, 1Gen 3:14-15

incread one which mindless creatures are capable of having. The snake used to serve humans in the same way the dog displaces friendship-not with word but by body language. Since it was a creature who held such great closeness to humanity, the snake was a

convenient tool for the devil.... So the devil spoke through the snake in order to deceive Adam. Please hear me in love and do not receive my words carelessly. My question is not easy to take. Many scoff, "how did the snake speak, with a human's voice or with a snake's hiss?" or "how did Eve understand him?" Before the fall. Adam was filled with wisdom, discernment and prophecy. . . . When the devil noticed the snake's intelligence and Adam's high opinion of it (Adam considered the snake very wise), the devil spoke through the snake so that Adam would think that the snake, being intelligent, was able to imitate even human speech. On THE CREATION OF THE WORLD 6.3.3

HOW THE SERPENT WAS ON INTIMATE TERMS WITH MAN. JOHN OF DAMASCUS: Before the fall, all things were subject to the control of man, because God had made him ruler over all the things on the earth and in the water. And the serpent was on intimate terms with man, associating with him more than all the rest and conversing agreeably with him. For that reason it was through this relation that the devil who is the source of evil made that most evil suggestion to our first parents, ORTHODOX FAITH 2,10,4

HOW THE SERBENT COMMUNICATED WITH MAN, EPHREM THE SYRIAN: As for the serpent's speech, either Adam understood the serpent's own mode of communication, or Satan spoke through it, or the serpent posed

the question in his mind and speech was given to it, or Satan sought from God that speech be given to the serpent for a short time. Com-MENTARY ON GENESIS 2.16.1.5

THE SERPENT OUESTIONS EVE. EPHREM

THE SYRIAN The serpent could not

enter paradise.

for neither animal nor hird

was permitted to approach the outer region of paradise,

and Adam had to go out

to meet them; so the serpent cunningly learned.

through questioning Eve,

the character of paradise. what it was and how it was ordered.

When the accursed one learned how the glory of that inner tabernacle,

as if in a sanctuary. was hidden from them.

and that the Tree of Knowledge. clothed with an injunction.

served as the veil for the sanctuary.

he realized that its fruit was the key of justice

PARADISE 1.4-6.

that would open the eyes of the bold and cause them great remorse. HYMNS ON

EVE ENTICED TO LOOK UPON THE TREE. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: The tempter then turned its mind to the commandment of the One who had set down the commandment. Adam and Eve were commanded not only to

PG 56:485-86. *FC 37:228-29. *FC 91:107-8. *HOP 91-92.

not eat from the tree, but they were not even to draw near to it. The serpent then realized that God had forewarned them about even looking at it lest they become entrapped by its beauty. With this in mind, the serpent enticed Eve to look upon it. Commentary on Genesiss a.o.1.7

Time Davit's Envir. Assessor: The cause of may wat the happiness of man placed in paradists. because the devil could not brook the finest received by man. His envir was around the tops received by man. His envir was around the developed of the man happiness of the developed on the man to the same than the developed of the man was an inferior creature yet had hopes of an eternal life. whereas he, a creature of superior nature, had fallen and had become part of this mundane existence. Pakanosts 1:2.*

THE SERPENT AS A SYMBOL OF PLEASURE. AMBROSE: Since every creature is subject to passion, lust stole into man's affection with the stealth of a serpent. Moses was quite right in representing pleasure in the likeness of a serpent. Pleasure is prone on its belly like a serpent, not walking on feet or raised on legs. It elides along, so to speak, with the slippery folded curves of its whole body. Earth is its food, as it is the serpent's, for it has no comprehension of heavenly food. It feeds on things of the body, and it is changed into many sorts of pleasures and bends to and fro in twisting wreathes. It has venom in its fangs, and with these the dissolute individual is disemboweled, the glutton destroys himself, the spendthrift is undone. LETTERS TO BISHOPS

THE DEVIL TOOK ADVANTAGE OF THE WOMAN. AMBROSE: [The Devil] aimed to circunvent. Adam by means of the woman, He did not accost the man who had in his preaner received the heavenly command. He accosted her who had learned of it from her humband and who had not received from God the command which was to be observed. There is no statement that God spoke to the woman. We know that he spoke to Adam. Hence we must conclude that the command was communicated through Adam to the woman. PakanEss It. 10.

THE SERPENT SIGNIFIES THE DEVIL. AUGUSTINE: The serpent signifies the devil. who was certainly not simple. His cleverness is indicated by the fact that he is said to be wiser than all the beasts. The serpent was not said to be in paradise, though the serpent was amone the beasts that God made. For paradise signifies the happy life, from which the serpent was absent, since it was already the devil. He had fallen from his hearitude because he did not stay in the truth. And we must not be confused as to how the serpent could speak to the woman, when she was in paradise and it was not. The serpent entered the paradise spiritually and not bodily, as the apostle suggests: You were living by the principles of this world, obeying the ruler who dominates the air, the spirit who is at work in those who rebel."11 Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 2.14.20.12

3:4-5 Knowing Good and Evil

THE DEVIL'S STRATEGY. CHRYSOSTOM: Do you see how the devil led her captive, handi-

[&]quot;FC 9::111. "FC 42:332-33. "FC 26:131-32. "FC 42:333. "Epb 2:2. "FC 84:116".

capped her reasoning and caused her to set her thoughts on goals beyond her real capabilities, in order that she might be puffed up with empty hopes and lose her hold on the advantages already accorded her? Homilies on Genesis 16.11.19

PRIDE IS THE BEGINNING OF ALL SIN.

ALREADY SEEKING SATISFACTION IN SELF. Augustine: The conclusion is that the devil would not have begun by an open and obvious sin to tempt man into doing something that God had forbidden, had not man already begun to seek satisfaction in himself and consequently to take pleasure in the words "you shall be as gods." The promise of these words. however, would much more truly have to pass if, by obedience, Adam and Eve had kept close to the ultimate and true source of their being and had not, by pride, imagined that they were themselves the source of their being.... Whoever seeks to be more than he is becomes less. Whenever he aspires to be self-sufficing. he retreats from the One who is truly sufficient for him. CITY OF GOD 14-12.11

3:6 The Man and Woman Eat the Fruit

TABLETTS AT THEM, OWN DERISE, EPHRANT THE STRAIN. THE WORLD OF THE STRAIN THE STRA

THE REBELLION BEGAN IN THE SOUL.

Acoustrible in paradise, rebellion certainly began in the soul. There began the process of giving consent to breaking the commandment. This is why the serpent said, "You shall be as gods." But the whole man committed the sin. It was then that the flish was made simful first, whose faults could be headed only by the One who came in the likeness of sinful flesh. Adaptary Dialas 5-427."

TAMPERANCI NOT ORSENVED BY ADM. AND EVA. AMMORE: It is temperance that cuts off desires. Good commanded the first humans to hold to fit, for he said. "What is in the middle of the garden, you shall not eat, nother ball up to work it, lest you die," and because they did not preserve temperance, the transpression of this isgall virtue were made exiles from paradius, with no share in immortality, For the law reaches temperance and pours it into the hearts of all. JACOR AND THE HAPPELLY EAST.

¹⁸PG 53:129; PC 74:214. ¹⁸Sir 10:15. ¹⁸Sir 10:15. ¹⁸Sir 10:15. ¹⁸FC 86:46-47. ¹⁸FC 14:382-83. ¹⁸FC 91:108. ¹⁸FC 35:261. ¹⁸FC 65:124*.

THE SENSES DISTRACT THE HEART, DIADO-CHUS OF PHOTICE: Eye is the first to teach us that sight, taste and the other senses, when used without moderation, distract the heart from its remembrance of God. So long as she did not look with longing on the forbidden tree, she was able to keep God's commandment carefully in mind. She was still covered by the wings of divine love and thus was ignorant of her own nakedness. But after she had looked at the tree with longing, touched it with ardent desire and then tasted its fruit with intense sensuality, she at once felt drawn to physical intercourse, and, being naked, she gave way to passion. All her desire was now to enjoy what was immediately present to her senses, and through the pleasant appearance of the fruit she involved Adam in her fall. On SPIRITUAL PERFECTION 46.23

CHRIST IS THE REMEDY AGAINST THE SIN. GREGORY OF NYSSA: Those who have been tricked into taking poison offset its harmful effect by another drug. The remedy, moreover, just like the poison, has to enter the system, so that its remedial effect may thereby spread through the whole body. Similarly, having tasted the poison, that is the fruit, that dissolved our nature, we were necessarily in need of something to reunite it. Such a remedy had to enter into us, so that it might by its counteraction undo the harm the body had already encountered from the poison. And what is this remedy? Nothing else than the body that proved itself superior to death and became the source of our life. Appress on Religious INSTRUCTION 17.29

THOSE WHO FALL THROUGH PRIDE WILL BE RESTORED ONLY THROUGH HUMILITY. AUGUSTINE: Through [Christ] a pattern of life has been given us, that is to say, saver path y
which we may come to Ged. For we when have,
fallen through prife could only return to Ged.
fallen through prife could only return to Ged.
for who was read to the correct creature of our race. Tasts, and you shall be as
Ged. As I was surply, our Sevire has had to be as
Ged. As I was surply, our Sevire has had to be
have no travel on our return to Ged. For "In did
that hamility which is the path over when have no travel on our return to Ged. For "In did
the hamility which is the path over when
have no travel on our return to Ged. For "In did
the hamility which was the path of the period of the period
to the complete fails and the period of the period
to the complete fails of the period of the period
to the complete fails of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period
to the period of the period of the period of the period
to the period of the pe

SURANSING ADMA'S PRAGASSING. EPHRENS THE SYNLANS THE ABERTHE OF cast Before her husband that she might become head over her based, that she might become he one to give command to that one by whom she was to be commanded and that she might be older in divinity than that one who was older than his in humanity. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2.40.5.78

THE SIN OF THE FIRST WOMAN AMELIA-MARIES BY THE GENERAL OF MARY. IRS-MARIES A. HE WE WAS ASSIGNED AND IN A GENERAL OF MARY OF THE ARMOND AND INrebelled against his word, so Mary by the word of an angel received the glad talings that she would bear God by obeying his word. The former was asteduced to disobery God [and so fell], but the latter was persuaded to obey God, so that the Vigns Mary might become the advocate of the vigns live. As the human start was subjected to death through the act of

³⁵TP 1:269. ³⁵LOC 3:318. ³⁶Pbil 2:6-7. ³⁶FC 27:322-23. ³⁶FC

a virgin, so was it saved by a virgin, and thus the disobedience of one virgin was precisely balanced by the obedience of another. Against Heresies 5.10.1.27

PLOAH 25

3:7-8 THE FALL

⁷Then the eyes of both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fio leaves together and made themselves aprons.

"And they heard the sound" of the Loan God walking in the garden" in the cool of the day, and the man" and his wife hid themselves from the presence of the Loan God among the trees of the garden."

*LXX voice *LXX paradise *LXX Adam

Overview The temptation moved from the eyes to disobedience (Curessors). The law of God, which forbade the eating of the fruit, cannot be regarded as the cause of the fall (Curesorson). Even though God foreknew the result, he allowed Adam to be tempted because man would not have deserved great praise if he was good for the simple praise if he was good for the simple reason that he was never tempted to be wicked (Adaustrane).

After the sin the eyes of sense were opened, while the yes of the mind, through which Adam and Eve had beheld God, were closed (Oxuors). Now they saw the difference between the good they had lost and the evil into which they had fallen (Acoustrass). And their soal lost mastery over the body (Acoustrass), Engleaves may symbolize the pleasure of jing (Acoustrass), the engleaves of ping (IRBANESS).

God endowed his silent footsteps with sound so that Adam and Eve might be prepared to make supplication before him
[EPRIMEN]. The cool of day (the vening) in
which God seeks out Adam signifies that the
first man had aiready lost the sunlight of his
innocence (Jisonsi). So Adam and Eve hid
themselves in the garden, because they had
lost the light of the truth (Chensoston,
Anoustrand).

3:7 The Eyes of Both Were Opened

DISORDIENCE PRIOR TO EATING. CHRY-SOSTON: It wasn't the eating from the tree that opened their eyes: they could see even before eating. Instead the eating from this tree was the symptom of their disobedience and the breaking of the command given by God; and through their guilt they consequently divested themselves of the glory surrounding them, readering themselves surveity of size up wouldn'ill entern. Hence Scripture takes up the point in its customary way with the woods. They both sac. Their eyes were opened, and they realized they were rasked, Section of the fill they were rapited of grace from above, and they feit the sense of their obvious nakedness so that through the shame that overcame them they might know precisely what perfit they had been led into by breaking the Lord's command. Homilies on Gressis 16:44.

THE LAW CANNOT BE CONSIDERED THE Cause of the East Curveoston: I know that some at this point might accuse the Lawgiver and assert that the law is the cause of the fall. We absolutely must oppose that areument. We must plainly argue and demonstrate that God gave the law not because he hated humanity or wanted to mark our nature with shame but because he loved us and cared for us. In order that you learn that the law was given as a means to help, listen to the words of Isaiah: "He gave the law in our support."2 One who pursues hatred does not give help. Again the prophet declares. Your word is the lamp guiding my steps and the light for my paths." But one who pursues hatred does not dispel the darkness with his lamp, nor does he provide light to one who is wandering. Solomon says, "The command of the law is the lamp, the light, the life, the reproach and the rule." So the law is not only a help, not only a lamp but also light and life. Therefore these things are not for those who pursue hatred, not for those who will to be lost, but for those who hold out and lift up their hand. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 8.5

WHY DID GOD ALLOW ADAM TO BE

TEMPTED? AUGUSTINE: If someone asks. therefore, why God allowed man to be tempted when he foreknew that man would yield to the tempter. I cannot sound the depths of divine wisdom, and I confess that the solution is far beyond my powers. There may be a hidden reason, made known only to those who are better and holier than I. not because of their merits but simply by the grace of God. But insofar as God gives me the ability to understand or allows me to speak, I do not think that a man would deserve great praise if he had been able to live a good life for the simple reason that nobody tempted him to live a bad one. For by nature he would have it in his power to will not to yield to the tempter, with the help of him, of course,"who resists the proud and gives his grace to the humble." Why, then, would God not allow a man to be tempted, although he foreknew he would yield? For the man would do the deed by his own free will and thus incur guilt, and he would have to undergo punishment according to God's justice to be restored to right order. Thus God would make known his will to a proud soul for the instruction of the saints in ages to come. For wisely he uses even bad wills of souls when they perversely abuse their nature, which is good. ON THE LITERAL INTERPRETATION OF GENESIS II.4.6.

AFTER THE SIN THE EYES OF SENSE ARE
OPENNED. OBJOINT: The eyes of sense were
then opened, which they had done well to
keep shut, that they might not be distracted
and hindered from seeing with the eyes of the
mind. It was those eyes of the mind which in

^{*}PG 53:131: PC 74:216. *Lis 8:20. *Pr 118:105. *Prew 6:23. *PG

consequence of sin, as I magnin, were then closed. To that time they had enjoyed the delight of beholding God and hip paradise. This verofield kind of vision in us was from the coor account to our Servior, who said. For judgment I have to our Servior, who said. For judgment I have come into this verd, that those who see might be made hilled. The same high vision is the said to the contract of th

ADMA MAN DEV SEE THE EVEL INFO WHECH
THEN HAVE PLAIM. ADMINISTRE IN THE HAVE PLAIM. ADMINISTRE IN THE HAVE
THEN HAVE PLAIM. ADMINISTRE IN THE HAVE PLAIM.
THEN HAVE PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM. THE HAVE PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM PLAIM. THE HAVE PLAIM PL

THEIR SOUL LOSSE ITS MACTERY OVER THE BOOK AUGUSTINE. AS soon as our first parents had disobeyed Gols commandered Gols commandered Gols commandered their stakedness. They covered themselves with fig leaves. They covered themselves with fig leaves which perhaps were the first thing noticed by their troubled pair. The parts covered remainder unchanged except that previously they eccasioned no shame. They fell for the first time a movement of disobedience in their field, as though the punishment were meant to fit the terms of their own disobedience to Gold. The

fact is that the soul, which had taken perverse delight in its own liberty and disdained the service of God, was now deprived of its original mastery over the body. Because it had deliberately deserted the Lord who was over it, it no longer bent to its will the servant below it, being unable to hold the flesh completely in subjection as would always have been the case, if only the soul had remained subject to God. From this moment on, then, the flesh began to lust against the spirit. With this rebellion we are born, just as we are doomed to die and because of the first sin to hear in our members and viriated nature either the battle with or defeat by the flesh. CITY OF GOD 11.11.11

SYMBOLISM OF THE FIG LEAVES. AUGUS-TINE: Then they saw that they were naked by perverted eyes. Their original simplicity, signified by the term nakedness, now seemed to be something to be ashamed of. And so that they might no longer be simple, they made aprons for themselves from the leaves of the fig tree. as if to cover their private parts, that is, to cover their simplicity, of which that cunning pride was ashamed. The leaves of the fie tree signify a certain itching, if this is correctly said in the case of incorporeal things, which the mind suffers in wondrous ways from the desire and pleasure of lying. As a result those who love to joke are even called "salty" in Latin. For in jokes pretense plays a primary role. Two Books on Genesis Against the MANICHAEANS 2 16 22 12

THE TENDENCY TOWARD SIN. BEDE: Since

"jn 9:39. "ANF 4:626". "FC 14:390. "FC 14:316. "FC 84:119.

our first parents, shamed by guilt for their transgression, made aprons for themselves from fig leaves, the fig tree can fittingly designate the tendency toward sin. Sin appears wrongfully to be filled with sweetness for the human race. Homilies on the Gospels

TREEN CONTINUE. IRENSAUES. New "the fear of the Lord is the beginning of wisdoms," of the Lord is the beginning for wisdoms, of the Lord is the beginning for wisdoms, but a state of the large state of th

3:8 Hiding from the Lord's Presence

THE SOUND OF GOD'S POOTSTEPS. EPHERS IN EVENTS, IT WE STAIN, It was not only by the patience he exhibited that God wished to help them; he also wished to benefit them by the sound of his feet. God endowed his silent footsteps with sound so that Adam and Eve might be prepared, at that sound, to make supplication before him who made the sound. Commentary of Gods and the Adam and Eve might be a controlled to the controlled to

Doss God Have Feer? Charsostom: What are you saving.—God strolls? Are we assigning feet to him? Have we no exalted conception of him? No, God doesn't stroll—perish the thought. How could he, present as he is everywhere and filling everything with his

presence? Can he for whom heaven is throne and earth a footstool be confined to the garden? What right-minded person could say this?

So what is the meaning of this statement,
They heard the sound of the Lord God as he
strelled in the garden in the evening!" He
wanted to provide them with such an experince as would induce in them a start of anguish,
which in fact happened: they had so striking
an experience that they tried to hide from the
presence of God. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 1733-

God Walks IN THE GOOL OF THE DAY. JEROMET: We read in Genesis that when Adam transgressed, when he paid heed to the serpent rather than to God, when he hid himself from the face of God, then God came into the garden and was walking about in the cool of all yNew listen to what the Scripture says. God sought out Adam, nor at midday but in the evening. Adam had already lort the sunlight, for his high noon was over. HOMILIES

The Pensissment or Deart Meacureur, Delarans, Custroom See the Lord Loy-Delarans, Custroom See the Lord Loying kindness and the surpassing degree of his long-sufficing. I mean, though being in a position to rebuilt of the pensissment he right of reply and rather than to cossign them at conce to the pushismen he had determined in anticipation of their transpression, he shows partience and withfolds action. He asks a question, receives a reply and questions them further as all finishing them to excess them-

[&]quot;HOG 1:173. "Pt 110:10. "LQAH 2:128. "FC 91:115. "PG 53-135 FC 24-221. "FC 48-12

selves so that he might seize the opportunity to display his characteristic love in regard to the sinners, even despite their fall. Homilies on Genesis 17.11.19

Assa Assa Fev Have Lett Tin Lleitr or Tin Tarth. Accoustive: Toward evening God was wilking in paradise, their is, the was coming to judge them. He was still wilking in paradise before their punishment, that is, the presence of God still moved among them, when they no longer stood firm in his command. It is fitting that he comes toward evening, that is, when the instrain light to the truth was been the sum was already setting for them, that is, when the instrain light to the truth was been than 100 miles in his sight. Who like from the sight of God but he who has abandoned him and is now beginning to lowwith is list off-the type now ever clothed with a lie, and he who speaks a lie speaks from what is his own. This is why they are said to hide near to the tree that was in the middle of paradise, that is, near themselves who were set in the middle rank of things beneath God and above bodies. Hence they became hidden to themselves so that they might be troubled by their wretched errors after they had left the light of truth that they were not. For the human soul can be a partaker in the truth, but the truth is the immutable God above it. Hence whoever turns away from that truth and toward himself, rejoicing not in God who rules and enlightens him but rather in his own seemingly free movements, becomes dark by reason of the lie. Two Books on Genesis AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 2.16.24.21

"PG 53:138: FC 74:228. "FC 84:119-20.

3:9-13 GOD QUESTIONS ADAM AND EVE

"But the Loan God called to the man," and said to him. "Where are you?" "I And he said, "I beard the sound" of thee in the garden," and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself." "I he said. "Who told you that you were naked? Have you caten of the tree" of which I commanded you not to eat?" "The man" said. "The woman whom thou gaves to be will me, she gave me fruit of the tree, and I ate." "Then the Loan God said to the woman. "What is this that you have done." The woman said. "The serpent beguide me, and I ate."

"LXX Adam, "LXX Adam, where are you! "LXX voice "LXX paradise "LXX add the only one

Overview: God's words "Where are you?" mean that there was nowhere Adam could be, once God was not in him as a consequence of his sin (Augustine). The question itself was a reproof (Ambrose). It was not asked as though God did not know the answer (ChrySOSTOM). They also emphasize the foolishness of Adam and Eve's transgression (EPHAREM) but at the same time predict the salvation of humankind in Christ (Nova-TIAN).

Adam's answer to God's question is a wretched error. It also reveals that Adam thinks that his transgression is merely venial (Augustine). God is patient with Adam, to whom he gives the opportunity to reply. But Adam refuses to confess his sin and puts the blame on Eye (SYMBON THE NEW THEOLO-GIAN). By saying that he sinned because of the woman given to him by God, Adam tries to attribute his sinning to God (Augus-TINE). Eye also fails to confess her sin and says that the serpent beguiled her (Symbon THE NEW THEOLOGIAN). These evasions and attempts at self-justification show an unwillingness to repent (EPHREM, DOROTHEUS OF GAZA)

3:9 God Calls to the Man

THE QUESTION. AMBIONE: What then does to mean by "Adam, where art hous?" Does he not mean in what circumstance" are you not. in what place? It is therefore not a question but a reproof. From what condition of good-ness, beatritude and grace, he means to say, have you fallen into this state of misery? You have forsaken ternal life. You have monombed yourself in the ways of sin and death. Para-1918 14-70:

God Deserts Adam's Soul. Augustine: insofar as a rebellion of the flesh against the rebellious soul prompted our parents to cover their shame, they experienced one kind of death—God's desertion of the soul. It was this death that was intimated when God asked Adam, who was beside himself with fear and in hiding." Where are you?" This was not asked, of course, because God did not know the answer. Rather, it was asked in order to scold Adam by reminding him that there really was nowhere that he could be, 6,2 once God was not in him. CITY or GoD 11,45.

GOD'S WORDS CONDEMN HUMAN FOOLISM.

NESS. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: "Where are you. Adam?" Are you trapped in the imagined godlikeness that the serpent falsely promised you? Or are you prepared for the death that I. the Lord, decreed for you? Would that you had considered the fruits! Suppose. Adam. that instead of a serpent who might be the most despicable creature of all, an angel or a god had come to you? Would you have despised the commandment of him who gave you all these things, heeding instead the counsel of one who had not yet done you any good! Would you then have considered evil the very One who formed you out of nothing! Would you despise the One who made you a second god over creation? Would you dare instead to consider good the very fallen one who gave you only a verbal promise of some good? If another god were to come to you in power, should you not have rejected his advice? How much more then in the case of a serpent who came to you with no power, with no wondrous deeds but with only the empty word that it spoke to you? Commentary on Genesis 2.26.1.2.3

God's SEARCH DOES NOT IMPLY IGNO-RANCE. NOVATIAN: The fact that God searches for [Adam] does not proceed from

PC 43-346, PC 14-316", PC 91-116",

any ignorance on the part of God, but it manifests man's hope of a future discovery and salvation in Christ. On the Trinity 1.12.

WHY DID GOD ASK? CHRYSOSTOM: You see, since he was not unaware of the truth when he asked them bur rather knew, and knew very well, he shows consideration for their limitations so as to demonstrate his own loving kindness, and he invites them to make admission of their faults. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 17.24.7

3:10-12 Fearing and Hiding from God

THE ATTEMPT AT SELF-JUSTIFICATION. EPHERN THE SYRIAN: Instead of confessing what he had done, which would have helped him, he related what had been done to him, which did not help him at all. . . . Adam again failed to confess his folly and blamed the woman. COMMETTARY ON GENESIS. 3.731.65

GOD IS NOT OFFENDED AT HUMAN NAKED-NESS, AUGUSTINE: When Adam heard God's voice, he answered that he hid because he was naked. His answer was a wretched error, as if a man naked, as God had made him, could be displeasing to him. It is a distinguishing mark of error that whatever anyone finds personally displeasing he imagines is displeasing to God as well. We should understand in a lofty sense the words of the Lord." Who told you that you were naked, unless because you have eaten from that tree about which I told you that from it alone you should not ear?" Refore he was naked of any dissimulation and clothed with the divine light. From this light he turned away and turned toward himself. This is the meaning of his having eaten from that tree. He saw his nakedness, and it was displeasing to himself because he did not have

anything of his own. Two Books on Genesis Against the Manichaeans 2.16.24.7

THE LACK OF REPRINTANCE, EPHERM THE SYMBAN, IF AGE and The bad sought to repent after they had transgressed the commandment, even though they would not have regained that which they had possessed before their transgression of the commandment, they would have escaped from the curses that were decreed on the earth and upon them. COMMENTANY ORSHESS 1-2.11.

ADAM HID HIS DECEPTION. SYMBOW THI NEW THEOLOGIAN: Do you see, dear friend, how patient God is! For when he said, "Adam, where are you!" and when Adam did not at once confess his sin but said, "I heard your voice, O Lord, and realized that I am naked and hid myself!" God was not angered, nor did he immediately turn aww. Rather, he

[&]quot;FC 67:25. "PG 53:140; FC 17:233. "FC 91:117. "FC 84:120-21

gave him the opportunity of a second reply and said, "Who told you that you are naked? Unless you are of the tree of which I commanded you not to eat." Consider how profound are the words of God's wisdom. He says,"Why do you say that you are naked but hide your sin? Do you really think that I see only your body but do not see your heart and your thoughts?" Since Adam was deceived he hoped that God would not know his sin. He said something like this to himself, "If I say that I am naked, God in his ignorance will say, 'Why are you naked?' Then I shall have to deny and say, 'I do not know,' and so I shall not be caught by him and he will give me back the garment that I had at first. If not, as long as he does not cast me out, he will not exile me!" While he was thinking these thoughts . . . God, unwilling to multiply his guilt, says, "How did you realize that you are naked? Unless you are of the tree of which I commanded you not to eat." It is as though he said. "Do you really think that you can hide from me? Do you imagine that I do not know what you have done? Will you not say, 'I have sinned?' Say, O scoundrel, 'Yes, it is true. Master, I have transcressed your command. I have fallen by listening to the woman's counsel. I am greatly at fault for doing what she said and disobeying your word. Have mercy on me!" But he does not humble himself, he does not bend. The neck of his heart is like a sinew of iron! For had he said this he might have stayed in paradise. By this one word he might have spared himself that whole cycle of evils without number that he endured by his expulsion and in spending so many centuries in hell. Dis-COURSES 4.4.10

ADAM TRIES TO ATTRIBUTE HIS SINNING

TO GOD, AUGUSTINE: Then, as is quite com. mon in cases of pride, he does not accuse himself of having consented to the woman bur pushes the fault off upon the woman. Thus, as if out of a cleverness the poor fellow had conceived, he cunningly tried to attribute his sinning to God himself. For he did not just say, "the woman gave to me," but added on, "the woman you gave to me." Nothing is as characteristic of sinners as to want to attribute to God everything for which they are accused. This arises from that vein of pride. For man sinned in wishing to be like God, that is, to be free from his dominion, as God is free from all dominion, since he is the Lord of all. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE

Manichaeans 2.17.25.11 3:13 God Questions the Woman

EVA'S EVALONS ENGINENTH SYRLEN'S BIGG.
Adam did not wish to confess his folly. God
came down to question. Eve and said to her,
"What is this that you have done." Eve too,
instead of making supplication with her tears
and bearing the fault herself so that mercy
might take hold of both her and her husband,
everyonded by saying not "The serpent counseled or seduced me" but "The serpent
deceived me and I asc."

When the two of them had been questioned and were both found to be wanting in remorse or trac contrition. God went down to the serpent not to make inquiry but to render punishment. For where there is opportunity for repensance, it would be right to inquire, but to one who is a stranger to repentance, judgment is fitting. COMMENTARY ON GENERIS 1,243-95.

"SNTD 95-96. "FC 84:121. "FC 91:118.

EVE ALSO REFUSES TO CONFESS HER SIN. SYMBON THE NEW THEOLOGIAN: When God had left Adam, he came to Eve. He wanted to show her that she too would be cast out, if she was unwilling to repent. So he said. "What is this that you have done?" so that she at least might be able to say, "I have sinned." Why else did God need to speak these words to her. unless indeed to enable her to say,"In my folly, O Master, I. a lowly wretch, have done this. and have disobeved you. Have mercy on me!" But she did not say this. What did she say? "The serpent beguiled me." How senseless! So you have spoken with the serpent, who speaks against your Master? Him you have preferred to God who made you. You have valued his advice more highly and held it to be truer than the commandment of your Master! So, when Eve too was unable to say, "I have sinned," both were cast out from the place of enjoyment. They were banished from paradise and from God. Discourses 5.6.13

THE UNVILLIMGNESS TO REPENT. DOB-OTHEUS OF GAZA: Again, after Adam had done wrong God gave him a chance to repent and be forgiven, and yet he kept on being stiffnecked and unrepentant. For God came to him and said, 'Adam, where are you?' instead of saying. 'From what glory are you come to this!' Are you not ashamed! Why did you sin! Why did you go astray?"-as if urging him sharply to say, "Forgive me!" But there was no sign of humility. There was no change of heart but rather the contrary. He replied. "The wife that you gave me"-mark you, not "my wife"-"deceived me."" The wife that you gave me," as if to say, "this disaster you placed on my head." So it is, my brethren, when a man has not the guts to accuse himself, he does not scruple to accuse God himself. Then God came to Eve and said to her," Why did you not keep the command I gave you?" as if saving. "If you would only say, Forgive me, to humble your soul and be forgiven." And again, not a word! No "forgive me." She only answered. "The serpent deceived me!"-as if to say, if the serpent did wrong, what concern is that to me? What are you doing, you wretches? Kneel in repentance, acknowledge your fault, take pity on your nakedness. But neither the one nor the other stooped to self-accusation, no trace of humility was found in either of them.

And now look and consider how this was only an anticipation of our own state! See how many and great the evils it has brought on us—this self-justification, this holding fast to our own will, this obstinacy in being our own guide. SPURITUAL INSTRUCTION 1.14

15NTD 97. HCS 33:82-83.

3:14-15 THE PUNISHMENT OF THE SERPENT

14The LORD God said to the serpent, "Because you have done this, cursed are you above all cattle, and above all wild animals, upon" your belly you shall go, and dust' you shall eat

all the days of your life.

¹⁵I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your seed and her seed; he⁴ shall bruise⁵ your head.

and you shall bruise his heel."

 $^{\circ}LXX$ olds your chest and $^{\circ}LXX$ earth. $^{\circ}Valgetr size ~^{\circ}LXX$ guard or watch for

OVERVIEW: God punishes the serpent without inquiring because it is a stranger to repentance. God curses the serpent above all besers because it deceived Adam and Eve who ruled all beasts (EPHREM). Its punishment represents the punishment of the devil (AMBROSE, AUGUSTINE), "Dust you shall eat" means that the devil will look for and destroy those men who are earthly minded and put all their hope in the earth (CAE-SARIUS OF ARLES). God puts enmity between the serpent and the woman because we cannot be tempted by the devil except through that animal aspect that reveals the image or exemplification of the woman in the one whole man (Augustine). The serpent is not destroyed by God in order to warn men against the danger of sin (AMBROSE). God's words,"I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your seed and her seed," can be read as a prefiguring of the victory of Christ over the devil (IRENAEUS).

3:14 God Curses the Serpent

THE SERPENT IS A STRANGER TO REPEN-TANCE. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: When Adam and Evel had been questioned and were both found to be wanting in remorse or true contrition. God went down to the serpent, not to make inquiry but to render punishment. For where there is opportunity for repentance, it would be right to inquire, but to one who is a stranger to repentance, judgment is fitting. It is so that you might know that the serpent is not capable of repentance, that when God said to it. "Because you have done this, cursed are you above every beast," the serpent did not say. "I did not do it," because it was afraid to lie, nor did it say. "I did it," because it was a stranger to repentance. Commentary on GENESIS 2.20.1.1

FC 91-118

God Crusses IT Above Att. Brasts.

EMPRIENT ITS STRIAN: "Cursed are you above every beast." because you deceived those who rule over all the beasts. Instead of being more clever than all the beasts and "on your belly hall you go, because you brought brith pangs upon the race of women. And "dust you shall art all days of your life." because you would rear all days of your life." because you deprived Adam and Ever from eating of the tree of life.

COMMENSARY OF GRUSSESS. ALS.

THE ORDER OF CONDEMNATION, AMBROSE: The serpent is a type of the pleasures of the body. The woman stands for our senses and the man for our minds Pleasure stirs the senses, which in turn have their effect on the mind. Pleasure, therefore, is the primary source of sin. For this reason, do not wonder at the fact that by God's judgment the serpent was first condemned, then the woman and finally the man. The order of condemnation, too, corresponded to that of the crimes committed, for pleasure usually captivates the senses, and the senses captivate the mind. To convince you that the serpent is the type of pleasure, take note of his condemnation. "On your breast and on your belly shall you crawl," we read. Only those who live for the pleasures of the stomach can be said to walk on their bellies, "whose god is their belly and their clory is their shame," who eat of what is earthy and who, weighed down with food, are bent over toward what is of earth. The serpent is well called the symbol of pleasure in that, intent on food, he seems to feed on the earth: "On your breast and on your beliv shall you crawl, dust shall you eat all the days of your life." PARADISE 15-73-74.4

THE PUNISHMENT OF THE SERPENT IS THE

PRINTERMENT OF THE DEVIL AUGUSTINE The serpent is not now questioned but received punishment first, because he cannot confess his sin. One who cannot confess sin has no ground at all for excusing himself. There is no mention now of that condemnation of the devil that is reserved for the last judgment, of which the Lord speaks when he says, "Depart into the eternal fire, which has been prepared for the devil and his angels."5 Rather it mentions that punishment of his against which we must be on guard. For his punishment is that he has in his power those who despise the command of God. The words by which sentence is pronounced against him make this clear. The punishment is the greater because he rejoices over this unhappy power, whereas before his fall he was accustomed to rejoice in the sublime truth, in which he did not remain. Hence even the cattle are set ahead of him, not in power but in the preservation of their nature. For cattle did not lose a beavenly happiness that they never had but live their life in the nature that they received. Hence God said to him. "You will creep upon your chest and belly." We can see this in the snake as well, and the expression is transferred from that visible animal to this invisible enemy of ours. For the term chest signifies "pride" because the strong drives of the soul rule there. The term belly signifies 'carnal desire" because that part of the body is recognized as softer Since by these means be creeps up on those whom he wants to deceive. God said. "You will creep upon your chest and beliv." Two Books on Genesis Against the MANICHAEANS 2.17.26

PC 92:118-19. PMI 3:19. PC 42:351-52. PM: 25:41. PC 84:121-22.

THE DEVIL DESTROYS THOSE WHO ARE EARTHLY MINDED, CAESARIUS OF ARLES: God said to the devil: "Dust you shall eat." Is it the earth that we tread underfoot that the devil eats, brethren? No, it is people who are earthly minded, sensual and proud, who love the earth and place all their hopes in it. They labor entirely for carnal advantages, rather for such pleasures, and think little or nothing of the salvation of their souls. People like these, then, the devil seeks. He seems to do so justly. for they were assigned to him at the beginning of the world when it was said to him "Dust you shall eat." Therefore let each one look to his own conscience. If he sees that he has greater care for his body than for his soul, let him fear that he will become the food of the serpent. SERMONS 136.

3:15 Enmity Between the Serpent and the Woman

Symbolic Meaning of the Ennity. AUGUSTINE: Enmities are not set between the serpent and the man but between the serpent and the woman. This is surely not because he fails to deceive and tempt men, is it? On the contrary, it is clear that he does deceive them. Or is it because he did not deceive Adam but his woman? But is the serpent then not the enemy of the man to whom that deception came through his woman, especially since"I will place enmity between you and the woman" is stated in the future? If the reason is that he did not thereafter deceive Adam, it is also true that he did not thereafter deceive Eve. Hence, why does Scripture put it this way? To show clearly that we cannot be tempted by the devil except through that animal part, which reveals, so to speak, the image or exemplification of the woman in the one

whole man. Two Books on Genesis Against the Manichaeans 2.18.28.

GOD DOES NOT DESTROY THE SERPENT. AMBROSE: God judged that evil was to be held in check for a time rather than to be destroyed, so that he says to the serpent."I will put enmity between you and the woman. and between your seed and the seed of the woman. She shall watch for your head and you for her heel." Where enmities remain. there remains discord and the desire to do harm. Where there is the desire to do harm there evil is established. Therefore there is discord between the serpent and the woman. Evil is at the base of discord; thus evil has not been taken away. Indeed, it has been reserved for the serpent, that he might watch for the woman's heel and the heel of her seed, so as to do harm and infuse his poison. Therefore let us not walk in earthly things, and the serpent will not be able to harm us. Let us put on sandals of the gospel that shut out the serpent's poison and blunt his bites that we may be provided with covering on our feet by the gospel. FLIGHT FROM THE WORLD 7.41.

ENNITY A PREFIGURATION OF THE VICTORS OF CHRIST OVER THE DEVIL. IRENALDS: Christ completely renewed all things, both taking up the battle against our enemy and crashing him who at the beginning had led us captive in Adam, trampling on his head, as you find in Genesis that God said to the serpent, "I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your seed and the seed of the woman. He will be on the watch for your head, and you will be on the watch for

PC 47/268, *PC 84/121, *PC 65/313-14.

his hed." From them on it was proclaimed that he who was to be born of a virgin, after the likeness of Adam, would be on the water his the seprent's head. This is the seed of which the apostle says in the letter to the Galatzian. "The law of works was extablished until the seed should come to whom the promise was made." He shows this still more clearly in the same epittle when he says. But when the fullness of time was come. God sent his Son, made of a woman." The enemy would not have been justly conjuered unless is had been a man made of womans who conquered him. a man and of womans who conquered him.

man from the beginning, setting himself up in opposition to man. Because of this the Lord also declares himself to be the Son of Man, so renewing in himself to hat primal man from whomen the formation of man by woman began, that as our race went down to death by a man who overcame, and as death won the palm of victory over us by a man, so we might by a man receive the palm of victory over death. Adantsty Thissassis 5-211. "

"Gal 3:19. "Gal 4:4. "LQAH 2:381.

3:16-19 THE PUNISHMENT OF ADAM AND EVE

16 To the woman he said,

"I will greatly multiply your pain in childbearing: in pain you shall bring forth children,

yet your desire shall be for your husband, and he shall rule over you."

17 And to Adam he said.

"Because you have listened to the voice of your wife,

and have eaten of the tree of which I commanded you,

'You shall not eat of it,'

cursed is the ground [because of you];"
in toil you shall eat of it all the days of your life;

thorns and thistles it shall bring forth to you; and you shall eat the plants of the field.

16 In the sweat of your face you shall eat bread

till you return to the ground,

for out of it you were taken; you are dust,† and to dust† you shall return."

*LXX in your works *LXX earth

Ovascurse The stanks is pussible first in order to give Fine and Adam the possibility to repent (Estrata). The pains imposed on Eve in brigging forth children symbolized the pains that human beings suffer in giving birth to temperate in their good (Accustras, Cassisottos), Marriage in develop in order that the human race might be preserved by the generator of children (pores or Dasacson). If Adam human race might be preserved by the generator of children (pores or Dasacson). If Adam resouth was then place in prendix without institution of the properties without the properties with the properties without the properties without the properties with t

Adam's headship was turned upside down by Evés action (Lexissorsons). The irony of Adam's curse is that a life of contentment had been promised under set boundaries, but the life he chose apart from those boundaries was thorns, thistles and labor (Cyrat. or Alexasman, Curssorsons). The whole creation is destined to share in the curse of man and women (Macaniary The Grace?).

woman (MACARUS THE GRAZY).
The labors and sorrow imposed on Adam and all human progeny are viewed by the Fathers as both piptical (Acoustrosia) and physical (Claustrosia) and physical (Claustrosia). The thorns that grown on earth symbolize the sins of humankind removed by Christ (Terretulus). Death was not imposed by Gold on Adam and Fore but was a consequence of their deliberate sin our more than the consequence of their deliberate sin our mean that human flesh persishes completely but that it is transformed in order to be exaurated by the Creator. Christ: wanting to resource that which "had gone into dust." took an arathly body (Orastra).

3:16 The Woman's Punishment

MENTARY ON GENESIS 2.30.1.

AFTER THE SERBENT'S PUNISHMENT, ADAM AND EVE DO NOT REPENT, EPHREM THE SYRIAN: The punishment decreed against the serpent was justly decreed. Why? Because it was fitting that punishment return to the place where folly begins. The entire reason God began with this impious creature was so that, when justice appeased its anger on this creature, Adam and Eve should grow afraid and repent so that there might be a possibility for grace to preserve them from the curses of justice. But when the serpent had been cursed and Adam and Eve had still made no supplication. God came to them with punishment. He came to Eve first, because it was through her that the sin was handed on to Adam. Com-

Tax Wonas's Crass. Cursosrous for the Lord's poodness, how much neitings how much middless the employs despite such a serrific fall. "I will greatly aggress the pas and the fall of the large state of the pass of the service fall." I will have a life for or to the service fall of the service fall all pain and grief, filled with every pleasure and with no sears of bodily needs despite your boddly conditions. But since you missaed such and with no sears of bodily needs despite your boddly conditions. But since you missaed such midgleness, and the abundance of good things led you into such inspactings, accordingly:

term as a sec

ther running riot, and I sentence you to painful labor."I will greatly aggravate the pain of your labor; in pain you will bear children."

SYMBOLIC MEANING OF THE PUNISHMENT IMPOSED ON EVE. AUGUSTINE: There is no question about the punishment of the woman. For she clearly has her pains and sighs multiplied in the woes of this life. Although her bearing her children in pain is fulfilled in this visible woman, our considerarion should nevertheless be recalled to that more hidden woman. For even in animals the females bear offspring with pain, and this is in their case the condition of mortality rather than the punishment of sin. Hence, it is possible that this be the condition of morral bodies even in the females of humans. But this is the great punishment: they have come to the present bodily mortality from their former immortality. Still there is a great mystery in this sentence, because there is no restraint from carnal desire, which does not have pain in the beginning, until habit has been bent toward improvement. When this has come about, it is as though a child is born, that is, the good habit disposes our

intentions toward the good deed. In order that this habit might be born, there was a painfail struggie with bad habit. Scripture adds after the birth. You will turn to your man, and he will rule over you." What can this mean except that when that part of the soul held by carnal joys has, in willing to conquer a bad habit, suffered difficulty and pain and in this way brought forth a good habit, it now more carefully and diligently beey reason as it is tunband? And caught by its pains, it turns to reason and willingly to some harmful habit. Two Books so GENS-180 AGENTS THE AMERICAN AMERICANS ALL STATES AGENTS THE AMERICANS ALL STATES AMERICANS ALL STATES AGENTS THE AMERICANS ALL STATES AGENTS AMERICANS ALL STATES AMERICANS ALL STATES AGENTS AMERICANS ALL STATES AMERICANS ALL STATES AGENTS AMERICANS ALL STATES AMERICANS AGENTS AMERICANS ALL STATES A

MARRIAGE DEVISED FOR THE PRESERVA-TION OF HUMANITY, JOHN OF DAMASCUS! Virginity was practiced in paradise. Indeed, sacred Scripture says that "they were naked to wit. Adam and Eve, and were not ashamed." However, once they had fallen, they knew that they were naked, and being ashamed they sewed together aprons for themselves. After the fall, when Adam heard "Dust thou art, and unto dust you shall return," and death entered into the world through transgression, then Adam knew his wife, who conceived and brought forth. And so to keep the race from dwindling and being destroyed by death, marriage was devised, so that by the begetting of children the race of men might be preserved. ORTHODOX FAITH 4.24.4

PROCREATION WOULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE IN PARADISE. AUGUSTINE: Why, therefore, may we not assume that the first couple before they sinned could have given a command to

PC 74:238.240. PC 84:123-24. PC 37:394.

their genital organs for the purpose of procreation as they did to the other members that the soul is accustomed to move to perform various tasks without any trouble and without any craving for pleasure? For the almighty Creator, worthy of praise beyond all words, who is great even in the least of his works, has given to the bees the power of reproducing their young just as they produce wax and honey. Why, then, should it seem beyond belief that he made the bodies of the first human beings in such a way that, if they had not sinned and had not immediately thereupon contracted a disease that would brine death. they would move the members by which offspring are generated in the same way that one commands his feet when he walks, so that conception would take place without disordered passions and birth without pain? But as it is, by disobeying God's command they deserved to experience in their members. where death now reigned, the movement of a law at war with the law of the mind. This is a movement that marriage regulates and continence controls and constrains, so that where punishment has followed sin, there correction may follow punishment. ON THE LITERAL

Interpretation of Genesis 9.10.18.6 3:17-18 The Man's Punishment

ADAM'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR EVE DEFAULTED. CHRYSOSTOM: After all, you are head of your wife, and she has been created for your sake; but you have inverted the proper order not only have you failed to keep her on the straight and narrow but you have been dragged down with her, and whereas the rest of the body should follow the head, the contrary has in fact occurred, the head following the rest of the body, turning things upside

down. Homilies on Genesis 17.18.7

SHIRTHAN ASPECT OF THE PUNISHMENT IMPOSED ON THE MAN. AUGUSTINE: What shall we say about the judgment pronounced against the man? Are we perhaps to think that the rich, for whom the necessities of life come easily and who do not labor on the earth, have escaped this punishment? It says, "The earth will be cursed for you in all your works, and you shall eat from it in sadness and groaning all the days of your life. It will bring forth thorns and thistles for you, and you will eat the grain of your field. In the sweat of your brow you will eat your bread until you return to the earth from which you were taken, for you are earth, and you will return to the earth." It is certainly clear that no one escapes this sentence. For anyone born in this life has difficulty in discovering the truth because of the corruptible body. For as Solomon says, "The body that is corrupted weighs down the soul, and the earthly habitation presses down the mind that thinks many thoughts." These are the labors and sorrows that man has from the earth. The thorns and thisties are the prickings of torturous questions or thoughts concerned with providing for this life. Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MAN-ICHAEANS 2-20-30.

THE PHYSICAL ASPECT OF THE PUNISH-MENT OF MAN. CHRYSOSTOM: Since man had shown great disobedience, God cast him forth from his life in paradise. God curbed man's spirit for the future, so that he might not leap farther away. He condemned him to a life of

*Ci. passages cited on pp. 37-39. *ACW 42:81-82. *FC 74-231. *Win 9-15. *FC 84-125.

toil and labor, speaking to him in some such fathion as this "The beas and security that were yours in abundance led you to this great disobedience. They made you forger in commandments. You had nothing to do. That ird you to think thoughts too haughty for your own nature... Therefore, I condemn you to toil and labor, so that while tilling the earth you may never feety your disobedience and the videness of your nature. "BAPTEMMA! ISSTRUCTION 4-12.

Symbolism of THE THORNE TEXTULISM: TO what kind of a crown, I sak you, did Chris Jeaus submit for the alvation of both sexet He who is the head of man and the plary of woman and the husband of the church—what kind of crown! It was made from thorns and thistles. They snood as a symbol of the ains that the soil of the flesh through triffs for us but that the power of the cross removed, blustning every sting of death since the head of the Lord bower is pain. And beside the symbol, we are removed, and the videous committed also of the scortful abuse, the degradation and the videous (1). The correlation of the videous committed also of the scortful abuse, the degradation and the videous (4).

Maanise of THE CURREN. CHRISTOTION: Behold the reminders of the current thems it will bring forth, he says, and thisties so as to will bring jure rise to great labor and disconfort, and I will ensure you pass the whole time with pain to so that this experience may prove a brake on your getting ideas above your station, and you may instead have a thought to your own makeup and never again bear to be deceived in these matters.

"You are to eat of the grass of the field. In the sweat of your brow may you eat yourbread." See how after his disobedience everything is imposed on him in an opposite way to his former life style: My intention in bringing you into the world, he is saving, was that you should live your life without pain or toil, difficulty or sweat, and that you should be in a state of enjoyment and prosperity, and not be subject to the needs of the body but be free from all such and have the good fortune to experience complete freedom. Since, however such indulgence was of no benefit to you, accordingly I curse the ground so that it will not in future yield its harvest as before without tilling and ploughing. Instead I invest you with great labor, toil and difficulty, and with unremitting pain and despair, and I am ensuring that everything you do is achieved only by sweat so that under pressure from these you may have continual guidance in keeping to limits and recognizing your own makeup. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 17 40-41.12

PURDOR OF THE CREATURE. PSUSDOM
MACARIEN Adm was created pure by God
for his service. All these creatures were given
to him to serve him. He was destant on the bin of serve him.
He was destant on the bin of serve him him and conversed with
him, he first received it through an external
hearing. Then I presented this him, he first received it through an external
hearing. Then I presented not his him, he first received it through an external
hearing. Then I present and him him searing. When him was captured with him. FIFTY
SPRITTAN I PORUMES IS A.¹⁷
SPRITTAN I PORUME IS A.¹⁷
SP

3:19 "To Dust You Shall Return"

MIXING LOVE WITH PUNISHMENT. THE-

"ACW 31:44-45. "FC 40:265. "PG 53:146; FC 74:245-44. "PMFSH 92. ononto of Crit. Because the deril was envision and the woman was pallible, humanism was immediately cast our of paradise. It was made to walk the very earth from which Adam had just been created, inheriting aweat, toil and hard labor. Along with Adam, the earth and all living things that followed were subjected to evil; being restrained like a horse that is bridded. For since Adam did not use good budgement entiring the age of paradise—an age which was free from sorrow and parameters which was free from sorrow and parameters which was free from sorrow and parameters and parameters which was free from sorrow and the sorrow

By posithing as with death, the lawgive con of the spread of sin. And yet through that very punishment he also demonstrated his very punishment he also demonstrated his very posither and the special properties when he gave the law, placing the sinner under punishment of death. And yet he ordered things in such a way that the punishment might in itself street the goal of alwation. For death brings about separation from this life and brings very lower to one red. It sees to from labors, were and pain, and ends the suffering of the body. Thus the hugde mission love for us with punishment. On true locate.

God Doss Nor Issuess Drazt now Anasman Eva. Assussor: Still another problem arises. "From what source did death come to Adam! Was it from the nature of a tree of this sort or actually from God!" If we accribe this to the nature of the tree, then the fruit of this tree seems to be superior: to the vivilying power of the breath of God, since inst fruit would have dearn into death's toils him on whom the drivine breath had bectowed life. If we maintain that God is the responsible we maintain that God is the responsible we maintain that God is the responsible cause of death, then we can be held to accuse him of inconsistency. We seem to accuse him of being so devoid of beneficence as to be unwilling to pardon when he had the power to do so or of being powerless if he was unable to foreive. Let us see, therefore, how this question can be resolved. The solution, unless I am mistaken, lies in the fact that since disobedience was the cause of death. for that very reason not God but man himself was the agent of his own death. If, for example, a physician were to prescribe to a patient what he thought should be avoided. and if the patient felt that these prohibitions were unnecessary, the physician is not responsible for the patient's death. Surely in that case the patient is guilty of causing his own death. Hence God as a good physician forbade Adam to eat what would be injurious to him. PARADISE 7.35.15

HUMAN FLERN DOES NOT PRESENT CON-BLETELY, ORIGEN: Our flesh indeed is considered by the uneducated and by unbelievers to perish so completely after death that nothing whatever of its substance is left. We, however, who believe in its resurrection, know that death only causes a change in it and that its substance certainly persists and is restored to life again at a definite time by the will of its Creator and once more undergoes a transformation. What was at first flesh "from the earth, a man of dust."16 and was then dissolved through death and again made dust and ashes-for "dust you are," it is written, "and unto dust shall you return"—is raised again from the earth. Afterwards, as the merits of the indwelling soul shall demand, the person

PPG 75-1424 PPC 42-313, MCE 1 Cor 15-47

advances to the glory of a spiritual body. ¹⁷ On First Principles 3.6.5. ¹⁸

CHRIST RESURRECTS THAT WHICH HAD GONE INTO DEVEL OBSIGNES. Scripture says, "A consecrated lines near will well be yet a contract that the flat thread that comes from the earth. Imagine that the flat thread become a sanctified lines tunic that Christ, the true high priest, puts on when he takes up the nature of an earthly body. Remember that it is add about the body that "It is earth and it will go into the earth." Therefore, my Lord and Very Saylor Sayl

gone into the earth, took an earthly body that he might carry it raised up from earth to heaven. And the assertion in the law that the high prices is clothed "with a lines usair." Contracted must not be heard as superfluous. For the "unit," that was the field of Christ was "consecrated," for it was not conceived from the seed of man but begotten of the Holy Seitle. However, the seed of the Holy

"Cf. 1 Cer 15:44. "OFP 251. "Lev 16:4. "FC 83:178-79.

3:20-21 GOD CLOTHES ADAM AND EVE WITH GARMENTS OF SKIN

²⁰The man called his wife's name Eve, ^{fo} because she was the mother of all living, ²¹And the Lond God made for Adam and for his wife garments of skins, and clothed them.

f The name in Hebrew resembles the word for "living". "LUX result Zöö ("life") here, though in later chapters it result Eva.

Ownerwer Thanks to the knowledge with which Adam was endowed by God, he was able to give names first to all the animals and ten no Ere (Emrass). For who initiated transgression, was called "Life" because the was given responsibility for the succession of those who came to brirth (CLRMST or ALXES-ANDRAL). The grammens of skin were probabily created by God, and no animal was killed in the presence of Adam and Fer in order to previde them with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with the control of the presence of Adam and Fer in order to green with the control of the presence of Adam and Eve in order to green with the control of the presence of Adam and Eve in order to green with the control of the presence of Adam and Eve in order to green with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with clothes (Ebrussa). Adam and Eve in order to green with the control of the control o

innocence and immortality, and due to their pride they received garments of skin (Augus-Tuns). These garments of skin are a symbol of the mortality that Adam received because of his skin and of his frailty, which came from the corruption of the flesh (ORIGIN, GREGORY OF NYSSA).

3:20 The Mother of All Living

Adam Free to Pursue Knowledge. Ephren the Syrian: With that manifest knowledge which God gave to Adam, whereby he gave names to Eve and to the animals, God did not reveal the discoveries of things that were concealed: but in the case of that hidden knowledge from the stars downward, Adam was able to pursue enquiry into all that is within this universe. Hyways on Paangus 12 146.

EVE IS CALLED LIFE. CLEMENT OF ALEXAN-DRIA: The woman who initiated transgression was called "Life," because the war seponsible for the succession of those who came to birth and sinned. She thus became mother of the righteous and unrighteous alike. Each one of us shows himself to be just or willfully renders himself disobedient. Stroawarts 16-51.

3:21 God Clothes Adam and Eve

THE GARMENTS OF SKIN CREATED BY GOD. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Were these garments from the skins of animals? Or were they created like the thistles and thorns that were creared after the other works of creation had been completed? Because it was said that the "Lord made . . . and clothed them," it seems most likely that when their hands were placed over their leaves they found themselves clothed in garments of skin. Why would beasts have been killed in their presence? Perhaps this happened so that by the animal's flesh Adam and Eve might nourish their own bodies and that with the skins they might cover their nakedness, but also that by the death of the animals Adam and Eve might see the death of their own bodies. Commentary

GARMENTS OF SKIN AS A PUNISHMENT OF PRIDE. AUGUSTINE: [Adam and Eve], who were stripped of their first garment [of innocence], deserved by their mortality garments of skin. For the true honor of man is to be the image and the likeness of God that is preserved only in relation to him by whom it is impressed. Hence, he clings to God so much the more, the less he loves what is his own. But through the desire of proving his own power, man by his own will falls down into himself as into a sort of [substitute] center. Since he, therefore, wishes to be like God. hence under no one, then as a nunishment he is also driven from the center, which he himself is, down into the depths, that is, into those things wherein the beasts delight. Thus, since the likeness to God is his honor, the likeness to the beasts is his disgrace. ON THE TRIMITY 12.11.16

THE GAMMSTH OF SEIN A STANDA OF MOREATH, TO MORE IT IS SHE AND A STANDA OF MOREATH, TO MORE IT IS SHE AND A SHE AND

"HOP 166. "FC 85/296. "FC 91:121-22. "FC 45:356.

will dress you with a garment of incorruptibility so that "your shame may never appear" and "that what is mortal may be swallowed up by life." HOMILIES ON LEVITICUS 6.2-7.

DISORDER AND ORDER. GREGORY OF NYRSA. In the same way, when our nature becomes subject to the discquilibrium and paracyars of disordered passions, it encouraters those conditions that necessarily follow the life of the passions. But when it returns again to the bleaschess of an ordered emotive life, it will no longer encounter the consequences of ert. If now whatever was added to human nature from the irrational life was no with all the conditions that one with the conditions that the conditions that appear along with passion. If a man warring a ragged tunit should be demaded of his gar ragged tunit should be demaded of his parater. It was not the conditions that oglinas of what was discarded. Likewise, where we have per off that dead and uply garment that was made for us from irrational distinction where the second of the dead and uply garment that was made for us from irrational distinction with disorders with a second on form our association with disordersician with disordersician with disordersician distinction with the parametr. These are the disruptions of harmony that we have received from the jarment. These are the disruptions of harmony that we have received from the irrational ideal; sexual intercourse, conception. Childhearing, duri, Leartien, nourishment, evacuation, pradual growth to maturity, the prime of fills of age, distenses and death. On

⁵Ex 20:26. ⁶2 Cer 5r4. ⁷FC 83:120. ⁶PG 46:61-62; NPNF 2

3:22-24 ADAM AND EVE ARE EXILED FROM THE GARDEN OF EDEN

²²Then the Loan God said, "Behold, the man" has become like one of us, knowing good and evil; and now, lest he put forth his hand and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and little for ever — "Dibertoffere the Load God sent him forth from the garden of Eden." to all lite ground from which he was taken. ²⁶(He drove out the man; and at the cast of the garden of Eden he placed)" the cherwhim, and a flaming sword which turned every way, to guard the way to the tree of life.

"LXX Adam "LXX garden of delights "LXX He drose our Adam and sented him opposite the garden of delights, and he placed

Overview: God lampoons Adam when he says, "He has become like one of us, knowing good and evil" (EPHREM). After the sin Adam

conceives knowledge of evil that he did not have, but he does not lose the knowledge of good that he already had (JOHN CASSIAN). Even though the tree of which Adam and Eve eat is called "the tree of the knowledge of good and evil," it gives them no knowledge (CHRY-SOSTOM). God prevents Adam from eating again of the tree of life, in order that he may not live forever (FRHREM). Adam is not excluded against his will but dismissed according to his will (EPHREM), drawn down by the weight of his own sins to a place that suited him (Augustine). The cherubim, whom God places in paradise as the guard of the tree of life, represents the "fullness of knowledge," while the turning sword signifies the "temporal punishment" (Augustine). The cherubim belong to the highest hierarchical order (PSEUDO-DIONYSIUS). Christ removed the sword from the entry to paradise (EPHREM). The flame by which the cherubim blocked the entry into paradise is extinguished by Christ through the water of the bath of rebirth (BEDE). Martyrdom is a way to pass through the cherubim and the flaming sword that guard the access to the tree of life (OBIGEN).

3:22 Knowing Good and Evil

God Lawroons Adam. Errusa Trit Sya.

God asid, "Pediol," Adam has been like one of us, knowing good and erul." Errer though by a strip, "Fe has become like one of us, ho symbolically reveals the Trinity, the point is rather that God was meeding Adam in that Adam had previously been rold." Too will become like God, knowing good and erul." Now even though after they are the fruit. Adam and Erre came to know these two things, before they are the fruit they had perceived, in reality only good, and they heard about cerl only by bearsa. After they are the would only hear a bour god only hear a become they are thought of the strip they are thought of the strip they are thought of the strip they would only hear a bour god by hearts."

whereas in reality they would taste only evil. For the glory with which they had been clothed passed away from them, while pain and disease that had been kept away from them now came to hold sway over them.

COMMENTARY OF GENERAL 3-24-16-3.

Assa Doss Nort Loss His Koovasnes or Goos, Jones Cassasse, And how will that statement of the Lord stand, after the sin of the first man." Behold, Adam is keeme like on of us, knowing good and evil." For he must not to be thought to have been such before the sin that he was wholly ignorant of good. Otherwise, it must be admitted that he was created like an irrational and essendes animal: and this is quite about and foreign to the Catholic faith. No, rather, according to the

pronouncement of the most wise Solomon, "God made man right," othat is, to enjoy continually the knowledge of good alone. But they sought many thoughts. So they were made, as it was said, "knowing good and evil." After the fall, therefore, Adam conceived a knowledge of evil. which he did not have. But he did not lose the knowledge of good, which he did not lose CONPERMINE LIAIL 'a'.

FC 91:122. *Eccles 7:30. *ACW 57. *Gen 3d.

can you maintain, you ask me, that it did not provide him with the knowledge of part evilt Who said, in fact, that it provided him with this knowledge? The devil, you will answer. So do you put forward the testimony of the enemy and the compirator! The devil said. Two will be goods. Did they really become goods: They did not receive the knowledge of good and evil either. For the devil is liar and never speaks the truth. In fact the Goopel says, "He never stays in the truth."

GOD PREVENTS ADAM FROM EATING OF THE TREE OF LIFE. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: If Adam had rashly eaten from the tree of knowledge he was commanded not to eat, how much faster would be basten to the tree of life about which he had not been so commanded? But it was now decreed that they should live in toil, in sweat, in pains and in panes. Therefore, lest Adam and Eve, after having eaten of this tree live forever and remain in exernal lives of suffering, God forbade them to eat, after they were clothed with a curse, that which he had been prepared to give them before they incurred the curse and when they were still clothed with glory. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 2-15-1.7

3:23 God Sends Adam and Eve from the

GOD BANISHED ADAM FROM THE GARDEN. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: When Adam sinned God cast him forth from paradise, but in his grace he granted him

the low ground beyond it, settling him in the valley below the foothills of paradise; but when mankind even there continued to sin they were blotted out, and because they were unworthy to be neighbors of paradise, God commanded the ark to cast them out on Mount Qardu. HYMSOS OF PARADISE LIG.⁵

ADAM IS DISMISSED FROM PARADISE. Augustine: "And then lest Adam stretch forth his hand to the tree of life and live forever, God dismissed him from paradise." It is well put, "he dismissed," and not "he excluded," so that he might seem to be drawn down by the weight of his own sins to a place that suits him. A bad man generally experiences this when he begins to live among good men, if he is unwilling to change for the berter. He is driven from the company of good men by the weight of his bad habit, and they do not exclude him against his will hur dismiss him in accordance with his will Two BOOKS ON GENESIS AGAINST THE MAN-ICHAEANS 2-22-14.

3:24 The Cherubim and a Flaming Sword

THE CHERDENM AND THE SWORD. ACCUSTORS: "God placed the rubin and a flaming sword that moves"—this could be said in the one word sweeke—"to guard the ways to the tree of life." Those who translate the Febrew words in Scripture say that "cherubin" means in Lamin "the fulloss of knowledge." The flaming, movable sword means temporal punishments, because times move in their continual

5n 844. "PG 54610. "FC 91:121. "HOP 81. "FC 84:129-30.

variety. It is called flaming because every tribulation burns somehow or other. Bur ir is one thing to be burned until consumed, another to be burned until purified. Two Books on GENESIS AGAINST THE MANICHAEANS 2.23.35.10

THE POSITION OF THE CHERGRIM IN THE CELESTIAL HIERARCHY, PSEUDO-DIONYstus: The name cherubim means "fullness of knowledge" or "outpouring of wisdom." This first of the hierarchies (including seraphim and cherubim) is hierarchically ordered by truly superior beings, for this hierarchy possesses the highest order as God's immediate neighbor, being grounded directly around God and receiving the primal theophanies and perfections. Hence the description is "carrier of warmth" for the seraphim, and the title is "outpouring of wisdom" for the cherubim. These names indicate their similarity to what God is. . . . The name cherubim signifies the nower to know and to see God, to receive the greatest gifts of his light, to contemplate the divine splendor in primordial power, to be filled with the gifts that bring wisdom and to share these generously with subordinates as a part of the beneficent outpouring of wisdom.

Currer Live Demover were Example SWORD, EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Blessed is be who was pierced and so removed the sword from the entry to paradise. Hymns on Para-DIST 2.1. 12

CELESTIAL HIERARCHIES 7,205B-205C.

CHRIST HAS EXTINGUISHED ITS FLAME. BEDE: The second Adam, lesus Christ, points

out that through the water of the bath of rebirth, the flickering flame-by which the cherubim guardian blocked the entry into paradise when the first Adam was expelledwould be extinguished. Where the one went out with his wife, having been conquered by his enemy, there the other might return with his spouse (namely, the church of the saints), as a conqueror over his enemy. HOMILIES ON THE GOSPELS 1.12.13

MARTYRDOM AS A WAY THROUGH THE CHERUBIM AND THE SWORD, ORIGEN:

Throughout martyrdom Jesus is with you to show you the way to the paradise of God and how you may pass through the cherubim and the flaming sword that turns every way and guards the way to the tree of life. For both, even if they guard the way to pass through to the tree of life, guard it so that no one unworthy may turn that way to pass through to the tree of life. The flaming sword will hold fast those who have built upon the foundation that is laid, Jesus Christ, with wood, hay or straw,34 and the wood of denial, if I may call it that, which catches fire very easily and burns all the more But the charubim will receive those who by nature cannot be held by the flaming sword, because they have built with nothing that can catch fire. They will excert them to the tree of life and to all the trees God planted in the east and made to grow out of the ground. Exhortation to Martyrdom 26.25

^{*}PC 84-131. **PSD 161-62*. **PHOP 85. **PHOC 1-116-17. "1 Cer 3:11:12. "OSW 67:68.

4:1-7 CAIN AND ABEL BRING OFFERINGS TO THE LORD

Now Adam knew Eve his wife, and she conceived and bore Cain, saying, "I have getter' a ama with the help of the Loan." And again, she hore his brother Ada. Now Abel was a keeper of sheep, and Cain a tiller of the ground. In the course of time Cain brought to the Loan an offering of the fruit of the ground. and Abel brought of the firstlings of his slack and of their sign septement. And the Loan had regard for Abel and his offering, but so for and of their sign septement. And the Loan had regard Abel and his offering, but and the Loan said to Cain. Why are you sungery, and why had your countenance faller? If you do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, will you not be accepted? And when the well is not it couching at the door; its doiry to have you was marker it."

g Hrb qunah, get

Ownersee While Chrysostom argues that there was no talk in Scripture of coissus satil after the banishment from paradise, Augustine argues in practice place and paradise, Augustine argues in practice for sufficient in paradise (see In 1292. The brief of Cain is a consequence of Adam's fall, which led him to lose his sprint rule vision and to capture a world from the conjunction of the conference of the co

Sacrifice is an ancient custom that dates from the age of Adam, but God does not need sacrifices (Aouzzirsa). Abel was discerning in his choice of offerings, whereas Cain showed no such discernment (Estessas). God does not consider Cain's offerings because he knows that his hear is wicked (Douszes). Cain's countenance fell when his offering was rejected and his brother's was accepted (Custroscross). The first is not Cain is eney for his

brother Abel. Cain refuses God's suggestion to do better with a new offering (Chrysostom, Ephrem).

4:1-2 The Births of Cain and Abel

Adam Knew Eve. Chrysostom: After his disobedience, after their loss of the garden, then it was that the practice of intercourse had its beginning. You see, before their disobedience they followed a life like that of angels, and there was not mention of intercourse. Homilies on Ginness 18.12.

CAIN WAS CONCEIVED BECAUSE ADAM
TURNED HIS LOVE TO VISIBLE OBJECTS.
SYMEON THE NEW TIMEDOGIAN: Since Adam
had been blinded in the eyes of his soul and
had fallen from the life imperishable, he began
to look with his physical eyes. He turned the

PG 53:153: FC 82:10.

vision of his eyes on visible objects with affectionate desire and knew Eve his wife, and she conceived and bore Cain." Such knowledge is in reality ignorance of all goodness, for had he not first fallen from the knowledge and contemplation of God he would not have been brought down to this knowledge. DIS-COURSES 15.1.2

MEANING OF THE NAME CAIN. AUGUSTINE: Note that the name Cain means "ownership," which explains what was said at the time of his birth by his father or mother: "I have come into possession of a man through God." CITY OF GOD 15.12

AREL SYMBOLIZES THE CITY OF GOD. AUGUSTINE: Cain was followed by Abel, who was killed by his brother and served as the first prophetic symbol of the City of God. He was like an alien on earth, destined to suffer cruel persecutions at the hands of the wicked men who can properly be called natives of earth because they love this world as their home and find their happiness in the worldly felicity of the earthly city. CITY OF GOD 10.10

4:3-5 The Offerings of Cain and Abel

GOD DOES NOT NEED SACRIFICES, AUGUS-TINE: [The pagans say, "The Christians] censure the ceremonies of sacrifice, the victims, incomes and the rest which are used in temple worship. Yet the same ceremonies of sacrifice were originated by themselves or by the god they worship, in primitive times, when a god was assumed to need their offerings of first fruits." This question is evidently derived from that passage in our Scriptures that tells of Cain making an offering to God

of the fruits of the earth and Abel of the first. lings of his flocks. We answer that the conclusion to be drawn from it is that sacrifice is a very ancient custom, because our true and sacred Books warn us that it is not to be offered except to the one true God. But God does not need sacrifices, as is most clearly expressed in the same sacred Books: "I said to the Lord, thou art my God, for thou hast no need of my goods,"5 because in accepting or refusing or receiving them he is looking only to man's good. God does not derive any benefit from our worship, but we do, LET-TERS 102-2.

ABEL CHOOSES HIS OFFERINGS WITH CARE, EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Abel was very discerning in his choice of offerings, whereas Cain showed no such discernment. Abel selected and offered the choicest of his first. born and of his fat ones, while Cain either offered young grains or certain fruits that are found at the same time as the young grains. Even if his offering had been smaller than that of his brother, it would have been as acceptable as the offering of his brother, had he not brought it with such carelessness. They made their offerings alternately; one offered a lamb of his flock, the other the fruits of the earth. But because Cain had taken such little regard for the first offering that he offered. God refused to accept it in order to teach Cain how

he was to make an offering. COMMENTARY ON GOD KNOWS THAT CAIN'S HEART IS WICKER ORIGIN: In the case of Cain his

GENESIS 3.2.1.

SNTD 193, "PC 14-455, "PC 14-447-45", "Pc 15-2, "PC 18:159-60, "PC-91-124".

wickedness did not begin when he killed his brother. For even before that God, who knows the heart, had no regard for Cain and his sacrifice. But his baseness was made evident when he killed Abel. On PRAYER 20.18.4

CAIN'S COUNTENANCE FELL. CHRYSOSTOM: There were two reasons for his annoyance: nor just that he alone had been rejected but also that his brother's gift had been accepted. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 18-21.7

Can's Angea. EPREARY TER STREAM: Call was angry because the offering of his boother had been accepted. Cain became angry on account of the fire that had come down and distinguished between the offerings. His face became gloomy because there was laughter in the eyes of his parents and his sisters while offering was rejected. They had seen that Cain offering had been placed in the midst of the fire and yet the fire did not souch it. Cosssaturates of the control of the control of the substrate of Section 5.5.2.1.

4:6-7 Sin Is Couching at the Door

Ir You DO WELL WILL You Nor Ba
ACCEPTED CHRISTOPTOM: God wishes to
definite the wild frenzy and remove the anger
by means of his words. You see, he observed
the stages of Calis withinking and realized the
savager of his deadly intentions to be intended
to the stages of Calis withinking and realized the
savagery of his deadly intentions to be intended
to the stages of Calis Wilderic Stages
to Stages of the Stages
to Stages of the Stages
to Sta

nothing from the experience. Such was the degree of difference in their attitudes and the excess of evil intent. Homilies on Genesis 18.24. 11

CAIN REFUSES TO MAKE A BETTER OFFER-ING. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: God said to Cain. "Why are you angry, and why is your face gloomy?" Instead of being filled with anger, you ought to be filled with distress. Instead of your face being gloomy, tears ought to be flowing from your eyes. "If you do well. I will accept it." Notice then that it was not because of the small size of Cain's offering that it was rejected. It was not accepted because of his spitefulness and his lack of good will. "If you do well. I will accept it," even though I did not accept it before, and it will be accepted along with the chosen offering of your brother even though it was not accepted before. "But if you do not do well, sin is couching at the first door." Abel will listen to you through his obedience, for he will go with you to the plain,12 There you will be ruled over by sin, that is, you shall be completely filled with it. But instead of doing well so that the offering that had been rejected might be credited to Cain as acceptable, he then made an offering of mur-

*05W 161. *PG 53:156: FC 82:16. **FC 91:125. **I*PG 53:15758: FC 82:18-19. **The field or plain outside of Eden where Abel

der to that One to whom he had already made

an offering of negligence. COMMENTARY ON

GENESIS 1.4.1-1.11

4:8-15 CAIN KILLS HIS BROTHER ABEL

Cain said to Ahel his brother. "Let us go out to the field." And when they were in the field. Cain row up against his brother Ahel. and killed him. "Then the LODD said to Cain." Where is Ahel your brother?" He said. "I do not know, am I my brother's keeper?" "And the LODD said. "When you do not revoke of your brother's blood is crying to me from the ground. "And now you are curself from the ground, which has upened its mouth to receive your brother's blood from your hand. "When you till the ground, it shall no longer yield to you its renegthy, you know a fugitive and a wanderer on the carrit." "Cain said to the LODD. "My pantihment is greater than I can hear." "Behold, thus hast driven me this day away from the ground; and from thy face I shall be bidden; and I shall be a fugitive and a wanderer on the carrit, and whoever find me will laig me." "Then the LODD said to him." "Not so! If any one slays Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him sevenfold." And the LODD put a mark no Cain, let any who came upon him should kill him.

Is Sam Git Syr Compare Vg. Heb lacks Let us go out to the field. i Git Syr Vg. Heb Therefore

OVERVIEW: Cain becomes a murderer by his OWN evil will (SYMBON THE NEW THEOLOGIAN). The murderer of Abel can be understood as a prefiguring of the passion of Jesus (BEDE). After the murder God questions Cain with solicitude so that he might repent, but Cain shows no repentance (EPHREM). He imagines that he may hide his crime from God (SALVIAN THE PRESBYTER). The innocent blood spilled by Cain cries out not by words but by its very existence (MAXIMUS OF TURIN). This innocent blood also symbolizes the blood of the martyrs (ORIGEN). The punishment imposed on Cain reveals God's great forbearance (CHRYSOSTOM) and mercy for the murderer (Cyril of IERUSALEM). Cain receives a mark so that no one might kill him. This is to indicate that evil is not destroyed from the earth. Like Cain, who receives a mark and is shattered by fear, the sinner is a slave to fear, to desire, to guilt and to anger (AMBROSE).

Cain, like the serpent, is cursed from the ground (Сняуковтом).

4:8 Cain Kills Abel

CAIN'S EVIL WILL. SYMEON THE NEW THEO-LOGIAN: Why did Cain become a fratricide! Was it not by his evil will! He preferred himself to his Creator and followed after evil thoughts and so became abandoned to envy and committed murder. DISCOURSES 4.2......!

How the Killing of Abel Prefigures
THE PASSION OF CHRIST. Bene: Some
understand the murderer Cain as the Jews' lack
of faith, the killing of Abel as the passion of the
Lord and Savior, and the earth that opened its
mouth and received Abel's blood from Cain's

*SNTD 72.

hand as the church (which received, in the mystery of its renewal, the blood of Christ poured out by the Jews). Undoubtedly those who have this understanding find water turned into wine, for they have a more sacred understanding of the saying of the sacred law: Hom-ILES on THE GOSPELS 1.14.

4:9-10 Abel's Blood Cries from the Ground

GOD GIVES CAIN THE POSSIBILITY TO REPRINT. EPHRAIM THE SYRIANS GO dappeared to Cain with kindness, so that if he repented, the sin of murder that his fingers had committed might be effaced by the compunction on his lips. If he did not repent, however, there would be decreed on him a birter unninherant.

in proportion to his evil folly. Commentary on Genesis 3.6.1.3

CAIN REPURSE TO REPENT. EPHERSE THE SYRIANS BUT Cain was filled with warch instead of companion. To him who knows all, who asked him about his brother in order to we him back. Cain restreet angriffs was asid. "I do not know, an I my brother's keeper?" ... What her would you say, Cain? Should Justice take verageance for the blood known or the state of the story of the district part of the state of the story of the district part of the state of the story on the story of the story on as if it did not know, so that you might confess? What it and to you did not produced the story out and to that show which it had been you, so you came to that sho to which it had warred one beforehand not to come. Con-

CAIN THINKS HE MAY COVER HIS CRIME. SALVIAN THE PRESBYTER: Cain was at once the most wicked and foolish of men in believing that for committing the greatest of crimes

MENTARY ON GENESIS 3.6.1: 3.7.1.4

it would be sufficient if he avoided other human witnesses. In fact God was the primary witness to his fratricide. Because of this, I think he then shared the opinion held by many today: that God pays no attention to earthly affairs; neither does he see those done by wicked men. There is no doubt that Cain. when summoned by the word of God after his misdeed, answered that he knew nothing of his brother's murder. He believed God was so ignorant of what had been done that he thought this most deadly crime could be covered by a lie. But it turned out otherwise than he thought. When God condemned him, he realized that God, whom he thought had not seen his crime of murder, had seen him. Gov-ERNANCE OF GOD 1.6.5

INNOCENT BLOOD CRIES OUT BY ITS VERY EXISTENCE, MAXIMUS OF TURIN: The divine Scripture always cries out and speaks; hence God also says to Cain, "The voice of your brother's blood cries out to me." Blood, to be sure, has no voice, but innocent blood that has been spilled is said to cry out not by words but by its very existence. [It makes] demands of the Lord not with eloquent discourse but with anger over the crime committed. It does not accuse the wrongdoer with words so much as bind him by the accusation of his own conscience. The evil deed may seem to be excused when it is explained away with words. But it cannot be excused if it is made present to the conscience. For in silence and without contradiction the wrongdoer's conscience always convicts and judges him. SERMONS 88.1.

*HOG 1:139-40. *FC 91:127. *FC 91:127. *FC 3:39. *ACW 50:206.

THE BLOOD OF ABEL SYMBOLIZED THE

BLOOD OF THE MARTERS. ORIGIN: We also know that what was aided folds, when he was also if not some state of the source of the so

4:11-15 A Fugitive and a Wanderer

GOD'S SOLICITUDE FOR CAIN, CHRYSOS-TOM: The punishment of which God spoke seems to be excessively harsh, but rightly understood it gives us a glimpse of his great solicitude. God wanted men of later times to exercise self-control. Therefore, he designed the kind of punishment that was capable of setting Cain free from his sin. If God had immediately destroyed him. Cain would have disappeared, his sin would have stayed concealed, and he would have remained unknown to men of later times. But as it is. God let him live a long time with that bodily tremor of his. The sight of Cain's palsied limbs was a lesson for all he mer. It served to teach all men and exhort them never to dare do what he had done, so that they might not suffer the same punishment. And Cain himself became a better man again. His trembling, his fear, the mental torment that never left him, his physical paralysis kept him, as it were, shackled. They kept him from leaping again to any other like deed of bold folly. They constantly reminded him of his former crime. Through them he achieved greater self-control in his soul. Against Judaizing Christians 8.2.10.8

Goo's SENTENCE IS LIGHT. CTRI OF JISLAND. ASSETTING IS LIGHT OF THE CARLEST LIGHT OF THE CARL

The MARK of CAN. Assesses Indeed, it was not without reason that the mark was are upon Cain, that no one might kill him. Thus it was indicated that evil is not destroyed or removed from the earth. Cain was afraid that he might be killed, because he did not know to file. For exit is augmented and amassed by the practice of evil, and it exists without moderation or inlint, fight without moderation or inlint. fight without moderation and by the blood of the slain, even a Cain also was revealed. FLIGHT PRON THE WORLD 750.

EVERY SENNER IS LIKE CAIN. AMBROSTI: Like a slave. Cain received a mark and he could not escape death. Thus is the sinner a slave to fear, a slave to desire, a slave to greed, a slave to lust, a slave to sin, a slave to anger. Though such a man appears to himself free, he is more a slave than if he were under crytants. LETTERS TO PRIESTS 4,4.11

⁵OSW 78-79. ⁸FC 68:212-13. ⁸Gen 4:12 LXX. ¹⁸FC 61:100. ¹⁸FC 62-310.11. ¹⁸FC 97-787.

CAIL LER THE SERENT. CHRISTOTION IN SERsee, since Cain prepriented practically the same evil as the serpent, which like an instrument served the devil's purposes, and as serpent introduced mertality by means of decretic. In like manner Cain decreted his brother; led him out into open country, raised in hand in armed assair against him and the hand in armed assair against him and the serpent. Curred are you beyond all the wild animals of the earth, so to Cain too when committed the same evil as the serpent. Hosetutes of Gresses span.¹⁰ CAIN CONFESSES TOO LATE. CHRYSOSTOM Someone may say. Behold be has confessed, and confessed with great precision—but all to no avail, dearly beloved: the confession comes too late. You see, he should have done this at the right time when he was in a position to find mercy from the judge. HOMILIES ON GENESSES 19-3. 19

"PG 53:162: FC 82:27. "PG 53:163: FC 82:29.

4:16-22 CAIN AND HIS DESCENDANTS

¹⁶Then Cain went away from the presence of the LORD, and dwelt in the land of Nod.¹⁶ east¹ of Eden.

¹⁷Cani hnew his wife, and she conceived and hore Enochs and he built a city, and called the dame of the city after the name of his son. Enoch. ¹⁷De Enoch was born Iradi' and Iradi' was the father of Me-thusheel, and Me-thusheel' the father of Me-thusheel, and Me-thusheel' the father of Lamech. ¹⁸And Lamech took two wives the name of the one was Adah, and the name of the other Callahe. ¹⁸And Lamech took two wives the name of the other Callahe. ¹⁸And Lamech took two wives the hame of the other Callahe. ¹⁸And Lamech took two wives the hame of the other cluster who dwell in tents and have cattle. ¹⁸His brother's name was Jubal; he was the father of all those who play the tyre and pipe. ¹⁸Dellahe* bort Tubal-ciain! he was the forger of all instruments of prontes and tires. The sister of Tubal-ciail* was Namanh;

j Test v Wardering "LXX Nod "LXX opposes "LXX Guidal "LXX Maid "LXX Mathewasis ""LXX Sella "LXX fobel ""LXX pashery and citrara "LXX Thobel ""LXX Norms

OVERVIEW: Cain leaves the presence of God because of his wickedness (Athanasius). He separates himself from his kin, because he sees that they would not intermarry with him (EPHREM). The place where he goes and dwells is called Nod, which means "wandering"
(EPHREM) or "disturbance" (CLEMENT OF ALEX-ANDRIA).

It is not possible to ascertain that Cair.

ates Enoch as his first son (Augustyns). Cain builds a city, but Abel build none, since the true city of the saints is in hearen (Agustyns). Cain survives through seven generations of descendants (Epistessa). They represent the earthly city that is propagated through physical births (Adoustyns). After Cain the family became divided (Epistessa).

4:16 Cain Leaves the Presence of the Lord

CAIN LEAVES BECAUSE OF HIS CRIME.
ATHANASIUS By means of righteroansess we come into God's presence, as Moses did when he entered the thick cloud where God was.¹
On the other hand, by the practice of evil a person leaves the presence of the Lord. For example, Cain, when he killed his brother, left the Lord's presence as far as his will was concerned. FISTAL LETTERS E.²

CAIN AISO LEAVES HIS KIN. EPHEREN THE SYRAIN. (After Cain received the punishment and the sign had been added to it... Moses said that 'Cain went away from the presence of the Lord and dwelt in the land of Nod, east of Eden. 'Cain, therefore, separated himself from his parents and his kin because he saw that they would not intermarry with him. COMMENTARY OR GENESS JAIL.

THE NAME NOD MEANS "WANDERING."
EPHEMS THE SYELAN: The land of Nod is so
called because twas the land in which Cain
wandered about in fear and trembling. But the
land also received a second curse when God
said, "When you till the earth it shall no
longer yield to you its strength." COMMENTARY or GENERS 1.11.1."

A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION OF THE

NAME NOD. CLEMENT OF ALEXADRIA: The Scripture makes good sense: "Cain left God, persence and went to live in the land of Nod, opposite Eden." Nod means 'disturbance." Eden." the good life. 'The good life from which the transpressor was expelled consistent in faith, knowledge, peace. Those wise in their own yets... are happy to transfer to the disturbance of a sonsing sea. They drop from the knowledge of the One who knows no britten and earlier to the real most peace and the peace that the propinions are constantly changing. STRO-MATERS 24.14-4."

APTRE CAIN THE FAMILY BEAMS DIVIDED.

FERRISHEN THE SYMPLET.

There the families

There the families

Cain went off by himself

a place lower still

than the off Seth and Enosh:

but those who lived on higher ground,

who were called

the there was region and came down

to take wires

from the daughters of Cain down below.

HYMNOS ON PARAINSE SLI^{*}.

4:17 Cain Built a City

Is ENOCH CAIN'S FIRST SON! AUGUSTINE: Consider now the text: "And Cain knew his wife, and she conceived, and brought forth Enoch: and he built a city and called the name thereof by the name of his son Enoch." It does

¹Ex 19:20. ²ARL 134. ¹FC 91:130. ⁴FC 91:130. ¹FC 85:193. ⁴HOP 81:83.

not at all follow from these words that we must believe Cain's first not was Enoch, as though Cain knew his wife' must refer to their first intercourse. Noo have the same expression used of the first father, Adam, but not only in reference to the conception of Cain, who seems to have been his firstheren, since a little later Scripture records, 'Adam knew his wife, and the conceived and brought forth a son and called his name Seth." CTY of GD 14.8. 1

CARD BULLOW ACTIVE WHILL AREA BULLY NOWA. AUGUSTINES NOW, It is recorded of Carn that he built is city, while Abel, as though he wester received gright and the control of the control of

4:18-22 The Descendants of Cain

THE SEVEN GENERATIONS OF CAIN.
EPHREM THE STRIAN: That Cain remained
alive until the seventh generation is clear.
First,...it had been so decreed concerning
him. Second, the length of the lives of those
first generations also testifies to it. For if his
father Adam remained alive until the ninth

generation, that of Lamech, and was gathered from the world in the fifty-sixth year of Lamech, it is no great thing that Cain should remain until the seventh generation. Com-MENTARY ON GENESIS 1-9-4-10

CAIN'S DESCENDANTS REPRESENT THE EARTHLY CITY, AUGUSTINE: The text runs: "Methushael begot Lamech, who took two wives: the name of the one was Ada, and the name of the other Sella. And Ada brought forth Jobel: who was the father of such as dwell in tents, and of herdsmen. His brother's name was lubal. He was the father of all those who play the psaltery and cittara. Sella bore Tobel: he was the forger of all instruments of bronze and iron. The sister of Tobel was Noema." This is as far as the line of descent from Cain is carried. There are eight generations in all, including Adam. The seventh is that of Lamech, who was the husband of two wives; the eighth is that of his children. amone whom is the woman who is mentioned by name. What is here delicately intimated is that to the very end of its existence the earthly city will be propagated by physical births proceeding from the union of the sexes. This is why we are given the proper names of the wives of the last man mentioned as begetting children-a practice unheard of before the flood, except in the case of Eye, City or Gon 16.12.11

"Gen 425. "FC 14431. "FC 14415. "FC 91:129-30. "FC 14465".

4:23-24 LAMECH COMMITS MURDER

33 Lamceh said to his wives: "Adah and Zillah," hear my voice: you wives of Lamech, hearken to what I say: I have slain a man for wounding me, a young man for striking me. "If Cain is avenged sevenfold,"

LXX Sella

OVERVIEW: Lamech kills a man and a young man in order to propagate further the generations of Cain. According to a different interpretation, Lamech does not kill two unknown persons but Cain himself (ЕРНЯЕМ). The murder of Cain by the hand of Lamech is a legend without foundation. Lamech is a murderer who does not consider the example of the punishment of Cain and is therefore punished more severely (BASIL). Chrysostom opposes Basil's point of view and believes that Lamech considers the example of Cain and through it is brought to a spontaneous confession that enables him to limit his punishment (CHRYSOS-TOM). Theodoret, however, sees parallelism here and argues that Lamech is confessing to killing one young man (THEODORET).

4:23-24 Lamech's Vengeance

THE PUNISHMENT OF LAMER'S MURDER. EPHRIM THE STRIAN: Some, because they think that Cain was avenged for seven generations, say that Lamech was evil, because God had said, 'All flesh has corrupted its path.' and also because the wives of Lamech saw that the line of their generation would be cut off. They were giving birth not to males but to females only, for Moses said that it was "when men multiplied on the earth and daughters were born to them."2 When these wives saw the plight of their generation, they became fearful and knew that the judgment decreed against Cain and his seven generations had come upon their generation. Lamech, then, in his cleverness, comforted them, saving, "I have killed a man for wounding me and a youth for striking me, lust as God caused Cain to remain so that seven generations would perish with him, so God will cause me to remain, because I have killed two, so that seventy-seven generations should die with me. Before the seventy-seven generations come, however, we will die, and through the cup of death that we taste we will escape from that punishment which, because of me, will extend to seventy-seven generations," Com-MENTARY ON GENESIS 4.2.2-3.

Gen 6:12. Gen 6:1. FC 91:131-32

LAMECH MIGHT BE THE MURDERER OF CAIN. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: Still others say that Lamech, who was cunning and crafty, saw the plight of his generation: that the Sethites refused to interminale with them because of the reproach of their father Cain. who was still alive, and that the lands would become uncultivated from the lack of plowmen and their generation would thus come to an end. Lamech, therefore, moved by zeal. killed Cain together with his one son whom he had begotten and who resembled him, lest through this one son who resembled him the memory of his shame continue through their generations. When he killed Cain, who had been like a wall between the two tribes to keep them from tyrannizing each other, Lamech said to his wives as if in secret. "A man and a youth have been killed, but take and adorn your daughters for the sons of Seth. Because of the murders that I have committed and because of the adornment and beauty of your daughters, those who refused to be married to us in the past six generations might now consent to marry with us in our generation." COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 4.1.1-2.5

THE HYPOTHESIS THAT LANGER MOS-DERIG CAN MOST BE REJECTED. BASET THE GRACT'S Some think that Calis was destroyed by Lamech on the grounds that he lived until that time to pay the longer penalty. But this is not true. For Lamech seems to have perpetrated von nurders from what he tells as: I have killed am and aly youth'—the man for wounding and they routh for brusings. Now, a wound is not thing and a brusia mother. For Lama is none thing and a brusia mother and a man is one thing and a youth another. See Lam shall be averaged severifield. Let Lamech seventy times sevenfold! The is right for me to undergo from hundred and natery changed. ments, if truly God's judgment against Cain is just, that he should undergo seven punish ments. In fact, as he did not learn to murder from another, so he did not see the murdere mudergoing the penalty. But I, having before my eyes the man groaning and trembling and also the greatness of the amper of God, was not brought to my senses by the example. Therefore I deserve to pay four hundred and nintery penalties. LUTTURS 200.⁵

THROUGH CONFESSION LAMECH LIMITS HIS PUNISHMENT, CHRYSOSTOM: "Lamech said," the text in fact goes on, "to his wives Ada and Sella,7 Listen to my voice, wives of Lamech, hearken to my words: I killed a man for wounding me, and a young man for striking me. On Cain fell sevenfold vengeance, but on Lamech seventy times sevenfold." Apply your attention to the utmost. I beseech you, put aside all worldly thoughts and let us study these words with precision so that nothing may escape us, but rather we should proceed to their deepest meaning and be able to light upon the treasure concealed in these brief phrases. "Lamech said to his wives Ada and Sella," the text says, "Listen to my voice, wives of Lamech, hearken to my words." Consider at once, I ask you, from the outset how much benefit this man gained from the punishment inflicted on Cain. Not only does he not await accusation from someone else to the effect that he has been guilty of this sin or some worse one, but without anyone's accusing him or censuring him he confesses his own guilt. admits his crimes and outlines to his wives the magnitude of his sin, as to fulfill the proverb

^{*}The generation of Seth: Gen 4:25. *FC 91:132. *FC 28:228-20. *Zallah.

of the inspired writer. "He who accuses himself at the beginning of the speech is in the right." You see, confession is of the greatest efficacy for correction of faults. Thus the denial of guilt after the committing of sin proves worse than the sins themselves. This was the condition of that man who killed his brother and who when questioned by the loving God did not merely decline to confess his crime but even dared to lie to God and thus caused his life to be lengthened. Accordingly Lamech, when he fell into the same sins. arrived at the conclusion that denial would only lead to his receiving a severer punishment and so he summoned his wives without anyone's accusing or charging him, and made a personal confession of his sins to them in his own words. By comparing what he had done

to the crimes committed by Cain, he limited the punishment coming to him. Homilies on Genesis 20.6-7.9

LAMECH KILER ONE YOUTH. THEODORET OF CYRE. Some interpreters understand this not of two men or, so others fantasize, of Cain, but of one and the same young man: 'a man la have killed for wounding me and a young man for bruining me.' That is, a young man appreaching maturity. He escapes vengeance through confession of sin. and pronouncing judgment on hisself, the prevents during judgment. QUESTIONS ON GENESIS 4.10

*Prov 18:17. *FC 82:38-39. **QO 43.

4:25-26 ADAM AND EVE BEGET SETH

²³ And Adam knew his wife again, and she bore a son and called his name Seth, for the said, "God has appointed for me another child instead of [Abel, for Cain slew him]," ²² To Sait, as on was born, and he called his name Enosh. At that time [men began to call]" upon the name of the Loux.

*LXX Abel, whom Cain slew *LXX he hoped to call

OVENUEW: The conception of Seth by Adam and Eve signifies the harmony of responsible marriage (CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRA). The generations originating from Seth represent the heavenly city of God (AUGUSTINE). Since Seth separates himself from the house of Cain, his family is called "the just people of the Lord" (EPRISEAS). After his birth the Hold

Spirit comes upon Enosh and endows him with the gift of prophecy (CYRIL OF JERUSA-LEM).

4:25 The Birth of Seth

THE CONCEPTION OF SETE. CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA: We agree that weakness of will and sexual immorality are passions inspired by the devil. But the harmony of responsible marriage occupies a middle position. When there is self-counts, it leads to prayer; when there is reverent bridd union, to childbearing, At any rate, there is a proper time for the breeding of children, and Scripture calls is knowledge, in the words "Adam knee his wife Ewe, and the conceived and bore a son, and called him by the name of Seth, five Guidled him by the name of Seth, five Guidled him Seth spires, 384-45-1

IT IS NOT CRETAIN THAT SETH WAS BOAN NEXT AFTER CAIN. ADOUSTINE It is quite possible that when Adam was divinely inspired to say, after Seth was born. 'God has given me another seed, for Ade whom Cain slew,' there is no implication here that Seth was the next born in the order of time but only that he was destined to be a fit heir in the order of holiness. CITY or GOO 1545.2"

4:26 Sethites Call upon God

THE SETHITES REPRESENT THE CITY OF Gon. AUGUSTINE: We have two lines of succession, one descending from Cain and the other from the son who was born to Adam in order to be the heir of Abel who was killed and to whom Adam gave the name of Seth. He is referred to in the words "God has given me another seed, for Abel whom Cain slew." Thus it is that the two series of generations that are kept so distinct, the one from Seth and the other from Cain, symbolize the two cities with which I am dealing in this work. the heavenly city in exile on earth and the earthly city, whose only search and satisfaction are for and in the joys of earth. CITY OF Gon 15.15.3

THE SETHITES ARE THE JUST PROPLE OF GOOD. EPHREM THE STRIAN: After Seth begon to call on the name of the Lord." Because Seth had separated himself from the house of Cain, the Sethites were called by the name of the Lord, that is, the just people of the Lord. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 5.1.2."

THE HOLY SPIRIT EXHOWS ENOSH WITH THE GIFT OF PROPHECY. CYRIL OF JERUSA-LEM: The Holy Spirit came upon all the righteous men and prophets, such as Enosh. Enoch, Nosh and so on, to Abraham, Jisaac and Jacob. CATECHETICAL LECTURES 16-22.6

MEANING OF THE NAMES ADAM, SETH AND ENOSH, AUGUSTINE: Seth means resurrection," and the name of his son Enosh means "man." The name Adam also means "man." but in Hebrew it can be used for any human person, either male of female; as one can see from the text: "He created them male and female: and blessed them and called their name Adam."7 This text leaves no doubt that Eve was given her proper name, whereas the common noun "adam," or "human being," applied to both Adam and Eve." It was different with the name Enosh. This means "man." Hebrew scholars tell us, in the sense of a man as distinquiched from a woman. Thus Enosh was a "son" of "resurrection." CITY OF GOD 15.12."

"PC 85:306. "PC 14:449. "FC 14:448. "Gen 4:26 LNX. "FC 91:133. "PC 64:92. "Gen 5:1-2. "Note that the generic name Adam in Hebewa applies to both Adam and Eve, in the light of the previous explanation that Adam had Eve within himself before the distinction of the sexes. "FC 14:455.

5:1-8 SETH AND HIS SON ENOSH

This is the book of the generations of Adam." When God created man, he made him in the likeness of God. 2 Male and female he created them, and he blessed them and named them Man' when they were created. 3When Adam had lived a hundred and thirty years, he became the father of a son in his own likeness, after his image, and named him Seth. 4The days of Adam after he became the father of Seth were eight hundred vears; and he had other sons and daughters. 5 Thus all the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty years; and he died.

"When Seth had lived a hundred" and five years, he became the father of Enosh, "Seth lived after the birth of Enosh eight hundred and seven years, and had other sons and daughters. 8 Thus all the days of Seth were nine hundred and twelve years; and he died.

OVERVIEW: The beginning of world chronology is not reckoned from the earthly city, not from the generation of Cain. The heavenly city is symbolized in the one "man" (Enosh) horn of the "resurrection" (Seth) of the man who was slain (Abel), symbolizing the unity of the whole heavenly city. This is the proper way to present the narrative of world history as symbolized by the two cities (Augustine). The likeness between Adam and his son Seth is a reflection of the unity between the Father and the Son (Out-GEN). It is likely that Seth's descendants built cities and inhabited them, even though there is no mention of these events in the Scriptures (AUGUSTINE).

"LXX men. "LXX Adam. "LXX two hundred. "LXX seven hundred

5:1-2 The Generations of Adam

WHY DOES THE NARRATIVE OF GENESIS Go BACK TO ADAM? AUGUSTINE: The reason for this break in the narrative (in the description of the genealogies to the flood]

was, I take it, that the writer, as though bidden by God, was unwilling to have the beginning of world chronology reckoned from the earthly city (that is, from the generation of Cain), and so he deliberately went back to Adam for a new beginning. If we ask why this return to recapitulate was made immediately after mentioning Seth's son,1 the man who hoped to call upon the name of the Lord God, the answer must be that this was the proper way to present the two cities. The one begins and ends with a murderer, for Lamech too as he admitted to his two wives was a murderer.2 The other city begins with the man who hoped to call upon the name of the Lord God, for the invocation of God is the whole and the highest preoccupation of the city of God during its pilgrimage in this world. It is symbolized in the one "man" (Enosh) born of the "resurrection" (Seth) of

the man who was slain (Abel). That one man in fact is a symbol of the unity of the whole heavenly city, which is not yet in the fullness that it is destined to reach and which is adumbrated in this prophetic figure. Cety or Goot 5-2.1.

5:3-5 Adam's Son Seth in His Likeness

THE UNITY OF THE FATHER AND THE SON IS PREFERENCE IN A DAM AND STEEL OFFICENTS IN CHIEF IS the Invisible image of the invisible God, just as according to the Scripture nature we say that the image of Adam was his son Seth. Is it written thus: "And Adam was begot Seth after his own image and after his own kind." This image preserves the unity own kind." This image preserves the unity natural and ubstract common to a farther and as son. For "whatever the Father does and the Son does illustrae." In this very fact—that the Son does illustrae." In this very fact—that the Son does illustrae." In this very fact—that the Son does illustrae illustrae is represented in the son does all things just as the Pather does—the Statute is mage it represented the son does all things just as the Pather does—the Statute is mage it represented the son does all things just as the Pather does—the Statute is mage it represented the son does all things just as the Pather does—the Statute is mage it represented the son does all things just as the Pather does—the Statute is mage it is represented to the son does not be sometimes that the son does not be sometimes the son does not be sometimes that the son does not be son the son does not be sometimes that the son does not be sometime

Father is as it were an act of his will proceeding from the mind. On First Princi-PLES 1.2.6.5

5:6-8 Seth and His Descendants

DID THE DESCENDANTS OF SETH BULLD
GTTHEAT ACCUSATION. Now necicie that when
the majoried writes see forth the length of the
lives of the men he mentions, the narrative
above sends with the formula and he bego
soon and daughtern, and all the time thate
above sends with the crime thate
considering that these couns and daughtern are
not named and remembering how long people
used in that first privated of own history, can
anyone refuse to believe that so great a multitated of men was born as to have been able, in
groups, to build a great number of cities!

CITY or Go Dist. 2CT, you considered.

FC 14:466. 5n 5:19. OFP 19. FC 14:430.

5:9-14 ENOSH AND HIS SON KENAN

"When Enosh had lived ninety" years, he became the father of Kenan." ¹⁸Enosh lived after the birth of Kenan eight hundred and fifteen years, and had other sons and daughters. ¹¹Thus all the days of Enosh were nine hundred and five years; and he died.

12 When Kenan' had lived seventy years, he became the father of Ma-halalel. 13 Kenan' lived after the birth of Ma-halalel eight hundred and forty years, and had other sons and daughters. 14 Thus all the days of Kenan' were nine hundred and ten years; and he died.

"LXX a hundred and nanery "LXX Kainan. "LXX seven hundred. "LXX a hundred and seveney." LXX Maleleel.

Overview: The name Enosh means "man" in the language of the Chaldeans as well as in Hebrew (Ambrose).² pleasures, elevate his soul and draw away from the body. This is to know oneself a man—bomo in Latin but Enosb in the language of the Chaldeans, Isaac, or THE SOULL!

5:9-11 Enosh and His Descendants

MEANING OF THE NAME ENOSH. AMBROSE: For a wise man should remove himself from fleshy of the Chaldeans. ISAAC, OR THE SOUL 1.1.2

*Cf. comment on Gen 4:26. *FC 65:11.

5:15-20 JARED BECOMES THE FATHER OF ENOCH

¹¹When Ma-balalel* bad lived sixty-five* years, he became the father of Jared. ¹⁸Ma-balalel* lived after the birth of Jared eight bundred* and thirty years, and had other soons and daugheters. ¹⁷Dus all the days of Ma-balalel* were eight bundred and ninety-five years; and he died.

¹⁸When Jared had lived a hundred and sixty-two years he became the father of Enoch.
¹⁸Jared lived after the birth of Enoch eight hundred years, and had other sons and daughters.
²⁰Thus all the days of Jared were nine hundred and sixty-two years; and he died.

*LXX Maleisel *LXX a hundred and sixry-five *LXX seven hundred

Overview: Enoch, who is the seventh in the line of descent from Adam, prefigures the sevenfold gifts of the Spirit that would come to rest in Christ (BEDE).

5:18-20 Jared the Father of Enoch

THE CONCEPTION OF ENOUGH PREFIGURES
THAT OF CHRIST. BEDE: Enoch, in that he
was engendered seventh in the line of descent
from Adam, prefigured that the Lord would
be conceived and born not in the usual way of

mortal nature but by the power of the Holy Spirit. He prefigured that the full grace of the Holy Spirit, which is described by the prophet as sevenfold, 'would come to rest upon Christ in a special way when he was about to be born. And he would baptize in the Holy Spirit and give the gifts of the Spirit to those who believe in him. HowILES ON THE GORPES 5.16.

% 11:2-1, 3HOG 2:144

5:21-27 ENOCH IS TAKEN BY GOD AND BROUGHT TO HEAVEN

²¹When Enoch had lived sixty-five" years, he became the father of Methuselah. ²²Enoch walked with God after the birth of Methuselah three hundred years, and had other sons and daughters. ²²Thus all the days of Enoch were three hundred and sixty-five years. ²⁴Enoch walked with God: and he was not, for God took him. ²

²⁶When Methuselah' had lived a hundred and eighty-seven** years, he became the father of Lamech. "Methuselah' lived after the birth of Lamech seven hundred and eighty-two" years, and had other sons and daughter. "Thus all the days of Methuselah' were nine hundred and sixty-nine years; and he died.

*LXX a hundred and stray fine *LXX Mathematic *LXX was pleasing to *LXX two hundred *LXX Enoch was pleasing to God, and was not found, for God transferred him. **LXX a hundred and stary seven. **LXX eight hundred and two

OVERVIEW: Enoch is snatched up to heaven on the wings of fire of the Holy Spirit (AMBROSE), showing Adam that paradise is the meeting place of the faithful (EPHREM). God takes Enoch and brings him to paradise because he is pleasing in the sight of God (CYPRIAN). It is uncertain whether this is a consequence or a precondition of Enoch's comprehension of God's nature (GREGORY OF NAZIANZUS). Enoch's life demonstrates that human flesh does not prevent humans from becoming saints (CHRYSOSTOM) and that one who hopes in God does not dwell on earth but is transported and cleaves to God (AMBROSE). Enoch does not experience death but is transported to immortality by God (Augustine). Enoch is not endowed with eternal life, but his death is only postponed (TERTULLIAN). Enoch was no longer found amid the vanity of the world (JOHN CASSIAN).

Methuselah, the son of Enoch, dies in the year when the flood begins. The discrepancies in the figures mentioned above are discussed by Jerome, who solves the apparent anomaly of Methuselah's dying after the flood by recourse to the Hebrew (JEROME). The discrepancies between the Hebrew and the Septuagint are also discussed by Augustine.

5:21-24 Enoch Walked with God

ENOCH IS TAKEN TO HEAVEN BY THE HOLY SPREET. AMBROSE THE HOLY SPITE also came down "and filled the whole house, where very many were string, and there appeared parted tongues as of fire." Good are the wings of love, the true wings that flew about through the mouths of the apostles, and the wings of fire that spoke the pure word. On these wings. Enoch flew when he was marched up to heaven. Isakco. Or THE SOUL 6.7."

Acts 22-3. PC 6561.

ADAM WITTERSEN THE FUENT. EVENDS "TO SYNAN: Some as yith with Adam was looking [at Enoch] God transported him to paradiate last Adam think that Enoch was killed as
was Abel and so be grieved. This was not
Adam might also be comforted by this just
son of hia and that he might know that for all
who were like this one, whether before death
or after the resurrection, paradise would be
their meeting place. COMMENTARY ON GENESTS. 5.1.1.

BROOF PLASSES GOD. CFFEALS WE also find that Broch, who pleased God, was transported, at derine Scrippurs testifies in Genesis and any, "And Enoch pleased God and was and sup," And Enoch pleased God and was a pleased for the support of the support support for the support support for the support support for the support support

HOPNO TO INVOKE THE LORD. GREGORY OF NAZIANZUE. BINCH' hoped to invoke the Lord. He is accomplishment consisted not in hoping for invocation of the Lord. Enoch was "transferred"—yes, but it is quite unclear whether this was consequence or a precondition of his comprehending God's nature. THEOLOGICAL ORATIONS SAIR. If

HUMAN FLESH DOES NOT PREVENT ENOCE FROM BECOMING A SAINT. CHRYSOSTOM: Well, then, do not say," I am impeded by the flesh, so I cannot win out or take on myself efforts to acquire virtue." Do not thus accuse your Creator. For if the flesh makes it impossible to possess virtue, the fault is not ours. However, the company of the saints has shown that in reality it does not make this impossible. The nature of the flesh did not prevent Paul, for instance, from becoming such a saint as he became or Peter from receiving the keys of heaven. Further, Emoch, though possessed of the flesh, was taken by God and seen no more. HOMILES ON JOINT 5-5*

THOSE WHO HOPE IN GOD DO NOT DWELL ON EARTH. AMBROSE: Enoch called upon God in hope and so is thought to have been transported. And so only that man whe puts his hope in God seems to be "man." Moreover, the clear and truthful sense of the passage is that one who puts his hope in God does not dwell on earth but is transported. So to speak, and cleaves to God. ISAAC, OR THE SOULL. I."

ENOCH TRANSCINDED THE VANITY OF THE WORLD. JOHN CASSIAN: The mind is so caught up in this way that the hearing no longer takes in the voices outside and images of the passerby no longer come to sight and the eye no longer sees the mounds confronting it or the gigantic objects rising up against it.

No one will passess the raysh and the

power of all this unless he has direct experience to teach him. The Lord will have turned the eyes of his heart away from everything of the here and now, and he will think of these as not transitory so much as already gone, smoke scattered into nothine. He walks with God.

PC 91:133-34. "Wis 4:11. "PC 36:217-18. "Gen 4:26 LXX." PGFR 234. "PC 41:313-14. "Le., seems thus far to be truly "man." Exody translates "man." "PC 65:11.

like Enoch. He is gone from a human way of itif, from human concerns. He is no longer to be found amid the vanity of this present world. The text of Genesis relates that this actually happened to Enoch in the body:

"Enoch walked with God and was not to be found because God had taken him away."

The apostle says. Because of his faith, Enoch was the number of the found because God had taken him away.

ENOCH DOES NOT EXPERIENCE PRESENT DEATH. AGOUSTINE: Then the Scripture states that after some time had elapsed, there was aman named Enoch, whose justice merited a singular privileger that he should not experience present death but should be transported to immortality from the midst of mortals. This incident shows that one just man is dearer to God than many sinners. CHRISTINA LITE 7.

ENOCH'S DEATH IS ONLY POSTPONED. TEX-TULLIAN: Enoch and Elijah were transported hence without suffering death, which was only postponed. The day will come when they will actually die that they may extinguish Antichrist with their blood. There was a legend that St. John the Evangleits was to live till the second coming, but he died. On the

5:25-27 Methuselah's Age

METHUSELAN DIES IN THE YEAR OF THE FLOOD. JRROME: There is a famous question that has been aired by discussion in all churches: that by a careful reckoning it can be shown that Methuselah lived fourteen years after the flood. It appears that in this case as in many others, in the Septuagint translation

of the Bible there is an error in the numbers. Among the Hebrews and the books of the Samaritans. I have found the text written thus: "Methuselah lived a hundred and eightyseven years and became the father of Lamech. Methuselah lived after the birth of Lamech seven hundred and eighty-two years and had other sons and daughters. Thus all the days of Methuselah were nine hundred and sixty-nine years; and he died. And Lamech lived one hundred and eighty two years and begot Noah." Accordingly, there are 369 years from the day of Methuselah's birth to the day of Noah's birth: to these add Noah's six hundred years, since the flood occurred in the six hundredth year of his life, and so it works out that Methuselah died in the nine hundred sixtyninth year of his life, in the same year when the flood began, HEBREW QUESTIONS ON GENESIS 4.24-20.16

APOLOGIA FOR DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN HERREW AND SERTHAGINT VERSIONS. AUGUSTINE: Moreover the difference in numbers that we find between the Hebrew text and our own17 constitutes no disagreement about this longevity of the ancients. If any discrepancy is such that the two versions cannot both he true, we must seek the authentic account of events in the language from which our text was translated. Though this opportunity is universally available to those who wish to take it, yet, significantly enough, no one has ventured to correct the Septuagint version from the Hebrew text in the very many places where it seems to offer something different The reason is that those differences were no:

¹³Gen 5:24. ¹³Heb 11:5. ¹³JCC 87. ¹⁴FC 16:21. ¹³FC 10:290. ¹⁴HOG 35-36. ¹⁵LXX.

considered falsifications, nor do I think that they should be so regarded in any way. Rather, where no error by the copyist is ascertained and where the sense would be harmonious with the truth and would proclaim the truth, we should believe that they were moved by the Holy Spirit to say something differently. not as part of the service that they did as translators but as exercising the freedom that they enjoyed as prophets. CITY OF GOD 15.14.16

"LCL 491".

5:28-32 LAMECH BEGETS NOAH

²⁸When Lamech had lived a hundred and eighty-two" years, he became the father of a son, vanid called hin name Noah', saying, "Out of the ground which the Loan has curred this one shall bring us relief from our work and from the toil of our hands," "Stance lived after the birth of Noah' five hundred and nintry-five' years, and had other sons and daughters." "Thus all the daw of Lamech were seven hundred and wormty-serve wears' and he died.

³²After Noah" was five hundred years old, Noah" became the father of Shem, Ham,** and Japheth.

*LXX one hundred and eighty-eight: *LXX Not *LXX this one will bring us relief from our work and from the griefs of our hands and from the ground that the Lord has cursed *LXX five hundred and story-five *LXX seven hundred and fifty-shore: **LXX Chain

Overview: Lamech prophesies that Noah, whose name means "relief," will bring relief to humankind (Eshusas). Lamech's prophecy prefigures Christ (Oragen), Noah was considered an example of virtue because he preserved his virginity for five hundred years (ЕРИREM).

5:28-29 Relief from Work and Toil

NOAH WILL BRING RELIEF TO HUMANITY. EPHREN THE SYRIAN: Enoch begot Methuselah, and Methuseiah begot Lamech, and Lamech begot Noah (whose name means "relief" in Hebrew and Syriac). Lamech prophesical about his son and said, "This one shall bring us relief from our work and from the toil of our hands and from the earth which the Lord cursed." His offerings:.. will be pleasing to God who, because of the sin of the earth's inhabitants, will destroy in the waters of wrath the buildings that we have made and the plants over which our hands have toiled.

This Prophect Is More Appropriate for Christ. Origen: By ascending through

PC 91-134

the individual levels of the dwellings (in the ark built by Noah during the flood l. one arrives at Noah himself, whose name means rest or righteous, who is lesus Christ, For what Lamech his father says is not appropriate to the ancient Noah, For "this one," he says, "shall give us rest from the labors and the sorrows of our hands and from the earth that the Lord God cursed." For how shall it be true that the ancient Noah gave rest to that Lamech or to that people who were then contained in the lands? How is there a cessation from the labors and sorrows in the times of Noah? lesus only has given rest to humanity and has freed the earth from the curse with which the Lord God cursed it. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 2.3.2

5:32 Noah the Father of Shem, Ham and Japheth

NOAM IS AN EXAMPLE OF VIRTUE. EPHEMS IN EXPRENDED FOR THE COLORING HE OR EXPRENDED FOR THE OFFICE AND THE COLORING HE OF EXPRENDED FOR THE OFFICE AND THE OF

PC 71-79 Gen 6-12 *FC 91-134

6:1-4 THE SONS OF GOD UNITE WITH THE DAUGHTERS OF MEN

"When men began to multiply on the face of the ground, and daughters were born to them, "the sons of God saw that the daughters of men were fair; and they took to wife such of them as they chose." Then the Loan said. "My spirit shall not abide in man for ever, for is is fleth, but his days shall be a hundred and twenty years." "The Nephilim" were on the careful in those days, and also a feterward, when the sons of God came in too the daughters of men, and they have children to them. These were the mighty men" that were of old, the men of renown.

*LXX stages

Overview: The sons of God are angels who forsake the beauty of God for perishable beauty and unite themselves with women. (CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA). Their desires were set on thines of earth (NEMESTUS OF EMESA).

Others argue that the sons of God are the sons of Seth, who marry the daughters of Cain (EPHREM).

The words "my spirit shall not abide in man" demonstrate that the whole man had been changed into something worse after the Idin of Adam (Accourtsm), but God nevertheless grants to this generation of men 120 years for repentance (Erssau). According to Augustine, who follows the argument of Epstrem. the ginns were generated by the some of the and the daughters of Cain (Accourtmes), whereas Ambrose, who resumes the view expressed by Clement. maintains that they were born from angels uniting to mortal women (Assausos). Both these writers agree that the giants symbolize those persons who are devoted only to earthy district. Strength tends toward arrogance (Dassa). Their timed for repentance was limited (Jasous):

6:1-2 The Sons of God, the Daughters of Men

FALLIN ANGELE ENTICES OF EARTHLY BEATT, CLIMBYOT ALEXANDAL. The mind is fed attray by pleasure, and the virgin center of the mind, if not disciplined by the World, degenerates into licentiousness and reaps dismergration as reward for its transposed on the companies of the foreign and the properticial because and fell as fe as heaven is from the earth. CHRIST THE EDUCATOR 3.34.16.

THEER DESIRES SET ON THINGS OF EARTH.

NEMESUS OF EMESA: Of the incorporeal beings, only angels fell away, and not all of them, but some only, that inclined to things below and set their desire on things of earth, withdrawing themselves from their relations with things above, even from God. On the NATURE OF MAN \$6.7

THE SONS OF GOD ARE THE SONS OF SETE.

EPHRRIM THE STRIAM: [Mones] called the sons of Serth sons of God," those who, like the sons of Serth, had been called the rightness people of God." The beautiful daughters of men whom they saw were the daughters of Cain who adorned themselves and became a mare to yes of the sone of Serth. Then Mones said "they took to wife such of them as they chose," because when "they took" them, they acted very haughtily over those whom they chose. A poor one would exalt himself over the wife of a rich man, and an old man would sin with one who was young. The uglies of all would act arrogantly over the ones to beautiful. ComMINISTRAY ON GENESSES 6.1.1.

6:3 Humans' Lifespan Limited

ADAM'S SIN HAS CHANGED HUMANITY INTO SOMETHING WORSE. AUGUSTINE: BY the justice of God the whole human race was delivered into the power of the devil, the sin of the first man passing originally into all persons of both sexes, who were born through conjugal union, the debt of our first parents binding all their posterity. This delivering was first indicated in Genesis. where, when it was said to the serpent. "Earth shall you eat," it was said to the man, "Earth you are, and into earth shall you return." The death of the body was foretold by "into earth shall you return," because he would not have experienced it if he had remained upright as he had been created. But what he says to the living man, "earth you are," shows that the whole man has been changed into something worse, for 'earth you

FC23c210-11. FCC4+420. FC91:134-35. Gen 3:14. Gen

are" is just the same as saying "My spirit shall not remain in those men, because they are flesh." Hence God showed that he had then delivered man to the devil, to whom he had said, "Earth shall you eat." On the Trinity 11216.6"

TIME GRANTED TO THIS GENERATION FOR REPERTANCE. EPHRENE THE SYRLEN. THE SPREAD THE SYRLEN'S THE

THE TIME FOR REPENTANCE. JEROME: Furthermore, lest [God] may seem to be cruel in that he had not given to sinners a place for repentance, he added, "But their days will be 120 years," that is, they will have 120 years to do repentance. It is not therefore that human life was contracted to 120 years, as many wrongly assert, but that 120 years were given to that generation for repentance, since indeed we find that after the flood Abraham lived 175 years and others more than 200 and 300 years. Since indeed they despised to do repentance. God was unwilling for his decree to await its time, but cutting off the space of twenty years he brought on the flood in the one hundredth year that had been destined for doing repentance. QUESTION ON GENESIS 6.3.8

6:4 The Men of Renown

THE GIANTS OF OLD. FOURTH THE SYRIAN. The house of Cain because the earth had been cursed so as not to give them its strength, produced small harvests, deprived of its strength, just as it is today that some seeds. fruits and grasses give strength and some do not. Because at that time they were cursed and sons of the cursed and were dwelling in the land of curses, they would gather and eat produce that lacked nutrition, and those who ate these were without strength just like the food that they are. As for the Sethites, on the other hand, because they were the descendants of the blessed [Seth] and were dwelling in the land along the boundary of the fence of paradise, their produce was abundant and full of strength. So too were the bodies of those who are that produce strong and powerful. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 6.4.1.5

THE NEPHILIM ARE A RACE OF GIANTS. AUGUSTINE: All that we indubitably know. from the authentic Scripture in the Hebrew and Christian traditions, is the fact that in the period before the flood there were many giants, all of whom belonged to the earthly city in human society, and that there were sons of God descended from Soth who shandoned their holiness and sank down into this city of men. There is nothing surprising in the fact that giants could be born from men like that. In any case, they were not all giants, even though there were more giants before the flood than in all subsequent ages. They served a divine purpose in that they reveal to anyone who is wise that mere bodily magnitude and

*FC45:391, *FC91:135-36, *H0G99, *FC91:136.

might have no more value than bodily beauty. CITY OF GOD 15.23.¹⁰

FROM FALLEN ANGELS UNITING TO MORTAL WOMEN. AMBROSE: "The giants (Nephilim) were on the earth in those days." The author of the divine Scripture does not mean that those giants must be considered, according to the tradition of poets, as sons of the earth 11 but asserts that those whom he defines with such a name because of the extraordinary size of their body were generated by angels and women. And let us see whether by any chance the men who only take care of their body and not of their soul are similar to the Nephilim and at the same time to those giants who were born from the earth according to the tales of the poets and despised the authority of the gods by confiding in the hugeness of their body. Must we really consider as different from giants those men who, even though they are composed of body and soul, despise the most precious good of the soul, that is, the activity of the mind, and show themselves to be imitators of this flesh, as if confirming that they were heirs of their own mother's foolishness. 12 They only struggle in vain when they

believe that they will conquer the heaven with their bold desires and their earthly activities. On the contrary, by choosing a lower way of life and despising the higher life, they are condemned with greater severity since they are unity of youtnary sins, ON NOAM 4.8.13

STRENGTH ELECTS ARROGANCE. BASIL THE GRAZE TERRIGHT of arm, swiftness of foot and concilinates of book,—the spoile of stokens and the plunder of time—also swaken price and the plunder of time—also swaken price and all the plory of man as the flower of the field. The grass is withered and the flower of the time of the plunder of the winter of the plunder of the plu

"FC 16-475. "Ambrone alludes to the pages myth of the gazes, who were generated by the earth. Confiding in their hogs bodies and arreaghs, according to this myth, they tried to climb, buy man in order to derthenee Zean but were destroyed by the thrust-derbots that the god burled at when. "The foolishness of Erc. "PL 16-185", CSEL 32-113. "In 40:6. "Gen 6:4; Wu 14:6. "S sea 17-4.5." "SC 49-47-1.5." "SC 49-47-1.5."

6:5-7 THE LORD DECIDES TO PUNISH HUMAN WICKEDNESS

"The Lond saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually. "And the Lond was sorry that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him to his heart." "So the Lond said." I will blot out man whom. I have created from the face of the ground, man and beast and creeping things and birds of the air, for I am sorry that I have made them."

*LXX and he thought | what to do

Overwaw After the union of the sons of the sons of sective with the daughters of Cain, the wicked-near of humanisting discovers between the condemnation of humanisty God shows be solicitude and severity (Sauvan Yua Pusasara, Yua Pusasara, Yua God anger, expressed by the words "he was sorry that he had made man," implies no incontancy or perturbation in the dismonstance of the continuous properties of the control of the con

6:5 The Wickedness of Humanity Was Great

Consequences of the Union Between THE SONS OF SETH AND THE DAUGHTERS OF CAIN, EDUBEM THE SYRIAN: After Moses snoke about the mighty men who were born into the tribe of Cain, whose women, even though beautiful, were nevertheless smaller than the sons of Seth, he then said, "The Lord saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth and that every inclination of the thoughts of his heart was always evil." for in the years given to them for repentance2 they had increased their sins. "The wickedness of mankind was great in the earth," that is, evil extended and spread throughout both those tribes." The inclination of the thoughts of their hearts was always evil." for their sins were not committed only occasionally, but their sins were incessant. Night and day they

would not desist from their wicked thoughts.

6:6-7 The Lord Grieved by Humanity

God's Care, Sorrow and Severity Toward Heman Sin, Salvian the Preserv.

TER: Let us consider how both the solicitude and severity of the Lord are shown equally in all these words. First, he said, "And God saw that the wickedness of man was great." Second, he said. "He was touched inwardly with sorrow of heart." Third, "I will destroy man whom I have created." In the first statement. wherein it is said that God sees all things, his providential care is shown. In the statement that he has sorrow is shown his solicitude amid the dread of his wrath. The statement about his punishment shows his severity as a judge. Holy Scripture says, "God repented that he had made man on earth." This does not mean that God is affected by emotion or is subject to any passion. Rather, the Divine Word, to impart more fully to us a true understanding of the Scriptures, speaks as if in terms of human emotions. By using the term "repentant God." it shows the force of God's rejection. God's anger is simply the punishment of the sinner. GOVERNANCE OF GOD 1.7.

God's Anger Implies No Perturbation of the Divine Mind. Augustine: God's "anger" implies no perturbation of the divine mind. It is simply the divine judgment passing

*Gen 64. *Gen 6:1. *FC 91:137. *FC 3:41.

sentence on sin. And when God "thinks and then has second thoughts," this merely means that changeable realities come into relation with his immutable reason. For God cannot "repent," as human beings repent, of what he has done, since in regard to everything his judgment is as fixed as his foreknowledge is clear. But it is only by the use of such human expressions that Scripture can make its many kinds of readers whom it wants to help to feel, as it were, at home. Only thus can Scripture frighten the proud and arouse the slothful, provoke inquiries and provide food for the convinced. This is possible only when Scripture gets right down to the level of the lowliest readers. CITY OF GOD 15-36.5

WHY DOES GOD ANNOUNCE THE DEATH OF THE ANIMALS! AUGUSTINE: When God announces the death of all animals on the earth and in the air, the intention is to declare the magnitude of the coming disaster. There is no question here of punishing with death irrational animals as though they were guilty of sin. CITY OF GOD 15.25.6

ANIMALS DESTROYED BECAUSE THEY HAD BEEN CREATED FOR THE SAKE OF HUMAN. ITY. AMBROSE: "I will blot out man and beasts and creeping things and birds of the air." What transgression could the irrational creatures have ever committed? But since they had been created for the sake of man, after that for whom they had been created was wined out, it was logical that they were destroyed too, because there was no one who could profit from them. This is also clear in a deeper sense. Man is a mind endowed with reason. Man is defined as a living, mortal and rational being. When he, who is the principal element. disappears, every aspect of sensible life also disappears. On NOAH 4.10.

FC 14:476-77. FC 14:477. PL 14:386: CSEL 32:419.

6:8-10 NOAH IS A RIGHTEOUS MAN IN

But Noah" found favor in the eyes of the LORD.

These are the generations of Noah." Noah" was a righteous man, blameless in his generation: Noah" walked with God. 10 And Noah" had three sons, Shem, Ham, and Japheth.

"LXX Not "LXX perfect "LXX was pleasing to "LXX Cham-

Overview: Noah's virtue shines through the widespread corruption of his times (Chrysos-

TOM). Noah was perfect as far as citizens of the city of God can be perfect during their pilgrimage in this present earthly life (Augus-Tine). He was pious by his own choice at a time when the obstacles to virtue were many (Chrysostom).

6.8.9 Noah Found Favor with the Lord

NOAH'S VIRTUE IN HIS CORRUPTED TIMES. CHRYSOSTOM: The Scriptures have shown us the gravity of human wickedness and the severity of the punishment that had to be inflicted on it. They then point out to us the one who amid such a multitude had been able to keep a sincere virtue. Virtue in fact is admirable even for itself. If someone cultivates virtue among those who refuse it, he makes it much more worthy of admiration. Therefore the Scriptures, as though in admiration of this just man, point out the contrast: that only one man who was living among those who soon would experience the wrath of God, this Noah, "found favor in the eyes of the Lord God." He "found favor," but "in the eyes of God": not simply "he found favor" but "in the eyes of the Lord God." This is said in order to show us that he had a single purpose, that is, to be praised by that eye that never sleeps or rests. He had no care for human giory or scorp or irreverence. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 23.4.

IN WHAT SENSE PERFECT? AUGUSTINE: Speaking of Noah, our unerring Scrippures rell us that he' was a just and perfect man in his generation," meaning that he was perfect as far as citizens of the city of God can be perfect during the pigirimage of this present life, not, of course, as perfect as they are to be in: that immortal life in which they will be as perfect as the angels of God. CITY OF GOD 15.26.

NOAH PIOUS BY HIS CHOICE, CHRYSOS-TOM: Do you see how the Lord created our nature to enjoy free will? I mean, how did it happen, tell me, that while those people showed enthusiasm for wickedness and rendered themselves liable to punishment, this man opted for virtue, shunned association with them and thus felt no effect of punishment? Is it not crystal clear that each person chose wickedness or virtue of his own volirion? You see if that were not the case and freedom did not have its roots in our nature. those people would not have been punished. nor would others receive reward for their virrue. Since, however, everything has been allowed to remain with our choice owing to grace from on high, punishment duly awaits the sinners, and reward and recompense those who practice virtue. Homilies on Genesis 22.6.

Is Noar's DAYS IT WAS EXTREMED DIFFI-CULT TO BE BLAMMERS. CHESTOSTOM Therefore, in praise of Noah, Scripture not merely called him 'blameles' but added "among the men of his day" to make it clear "among the men of his day" to make it clear that he was so at that time when the obstacles to virtue were many. Besides, other men were illustrious after him, yet he will have no less praise that they, For he was blameless in his own time. Howatts soo ylony 3.7.

"FC8290". "FC14477. "FC8271. "FC41:266.

6:11-16 GOD ORDERS NOAH TO MAKE AN ARK

"Now the earth was corrupt in God's sight, and the earth was filled with violence." And God saw the earth, "And God said to Nood," I have determined to make an end of all flesh for earth, "And God said to Nood," "I have determined to make an end of all flesh for the earth, if slilled with violence through them; behold, I will destroy them with the earth. "Whate yourself an art of gapher wood," make room in the art, and cover it inside and with which. "This is how you are to make it the length of the art three hundred cubits, its breath fifty outher." Make a roof for the art, and finish it as a cubit showe; and set the door of the ark in its side; make it with lower, second, and third dech.

k Or window. *LXX Noc. *LXX The time of all mankind has come before me. *LXX squared timber

Ovasursw: The wives of the sons of Seth, after being absoluted by their hashands, who married the daughters of Cain, lose their modesty, so that "all flish corrupted its path" (EFFREM), Because of this wickedness and corruption food deviced the causes a flood and destroy all men except for Noah and hi family (Acoustrows). The dimensions in the object of the control of the contro

6:11-13 The Earth Corrupt in God's Sight

ALL FLESS CORREPTED. EPHERM THE SYR-LAN: Because the sons of Seth were going into the daughters of Cain, they turned away from their first wives whom they had previously taken. Then these wives, too, disdained their own continence and now, because of their husbands, quickly began to abandon their modests; which up until that time they had preserved for their husbands' sake. It is because of this wantonness that assailed both the men and the women that Scripture says, "All flesh corrupted its path." COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 6.1.1.1

GOD CONDAINS HUMANITT FOR WICKENSA. ACCOUNTED, Sembeddy may a Wag to ma. 'Was Adam, created by God as the first man in the original state of the world, condemned for lack of faith or for sint' It was not increduliny but disobedience that was the cause for his condemnation and the reason why all his posterity are punished. Cain too was condemned, not for lack of faith but because he killed his brother. Why need I seek further proof when I read that this whole world was destroyed not for increduliny but for wickedness. CHRISTIAN LUTE 13."

PC 91-135 PC 16-35

6:14 Instructions for Making the Ark

MYSTICAL MEANING OF THE DIMENSIONS OF THE ARK. ISBOME: We read in Genesis that the ark that Noah built was three hundred cubits long, fifty cubits wide and thirty cubits high. Notice the mystical significance of the numbers.3 In the number fifty, penance is symbolized because the fiftieth psalm of King David is the prayer of his repentance. Three hundred contains the symbol of crucifixion. The letter T is the sign for three hundred, whence Ezekiel says. "Mark THAV on the forehead of those who moan; and do not kill any marked with THAV." No one marked with the sign of the cross on his forehead can be struck by the devil; he is not able to efface this sign, only sin can. We have spoken of the ark, of the number fifty, of the number three hundred. Let us comment on the number thirty because the ark was thirty cubits high and finished above in one cubit. First, we repent in the number fifty; then, through penance, we arrive at the mystery of the cross; we reach the mystery of the cross through the perfect Word that is Christ. As a matter of fact, when lesus was baptized, according to Luke, "he was thirty years of age." These same thirty cubits were finished off one cubit above. Fifty, and three hundred, and thirty were finished above in one cubit,5 that

THE ARK AS A SYMBOL OF CHRIST AND HIS CHURCH. AUGUSTINE: Undoubtedly the ark is a symbol of the city of God on its pilgrimage in history. It is a figure of the church that was aswed by the wood on which there hung the "Mediator between God and men, himself man, jesus Christ." Even the very measure-

is, in one faith of God. HOMILIES 84.

ments of length, height and breadth of the ark are meant to point to the reality of the human body into which he came as it was foretold that he would come. It will be recalled that the length of a normal body from head to foot is six times the breadth from one side to the other and ten times the thickness from back to front. Measure a man who is lying on the ground, either prone or supine. He is six times as long from head to foot as he is wide from left to right or right to left, and he is ten times as long as he is high from the ground up. That is why the ark was made three hundred cubits in length, fifty in breadth and thirty in height. As for the door in the side, that surely, symbolizes the open wound made by the lance in the side of the Crucified-the door by which those who come to him enter in, in the sense that believers enter the church by means of the sacraments that issued from that wound. It was ordered that the ark he made out of squared timbers-a symbol of the foursquare stability of a holy life, which, like a cube, stands firm however it is turned. So it is with every other detail of the ark's construction.10 They are all symbols of something in the church, CITY OF GOD 15.26.11

Vientage of all blocks mombers had reprical agenticance for jerone and for most of the Fathers. The fifty for explain in the 15-berow Balls becomes the fitting hagain in the 1520. "Architology from C. "Times. Thus Journe uses a progression from representation to faths in the cross to the matering of the most proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal times of the set. Allowing in effects for its superior colours their is not at most and the proposal significance of numbers, whos specific noses are common among the set of Certains where the nearest next had no set of the set. Allowing in exercise for the set of the total colours are common among the set of increases where the nearest next had no set of the set of an a premise that every work and number in the secret next had no set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set of set of the set

6:17-22 GOD INSTRUCTS NOAH TO BRING HIS FAMILY AND TWO OF EVERY SORT OF ANIMAL INTO THE ARK

"For behold. I will bring a flood of waters upon the earth, to destroy all flesh in which is the breath of life from under heaven; everything that is on the earth shall line." But I will establish my covenant with you: and you shall come into the ark, you, your sors, your wife, and your son's wives with you. "And of every living thing of all flesh," you shall bring two of every sort into the ark, to keep them alive with you they shall be male and female. "Of the brish alcording to their kinds, and of the animal according to their kind, of every resept with a coording to their kinds, and of the animal according to their kinds, of of the animal according to their kinds, and of every resept given to the ground according to its kind, two of every sort shall come in to you, to keep them alive." ²³ Also take with you every sort of food that is caten, and store it up and it shall serve as food for you and for them. "Noods" did this to the did all that God commanded him.

*LXX And of every animal and any creeping thing and of all the beams and of all finish *LXX add made and female *LXX Noe

OVERVIEW God announce: that he will wash away with water the sins of the world [Jones or Danascue]. The worlds I will establish my covenant with you are addressed to all those what are rightened (Assassa). God instructs Noah to bring into the ark his family. Noah except his sons separated from their wives so that chastity can be preserved throughout the delige [Jones of Danascue]. Noah all we designed from their wives as that chastity can be preserved throughout the createst into the second of the prepriet of the delige (Accountryal) and to keep them alive (Enussa).

6:17 "Everything That Is on the Earth Shall Die"

GOD WASHES AWAT THE POLLUTION OF SINS WITH WATER. JOHN OF DAMASCUS: From the beginning "the spirit of God moved over the waters," and over and again Scripture testifies to the fact that water is purifying. It was with water that God washed away the sin of the world in the time of Noah. ORTHODOS FAITH 4-0."

6:18 The Covenant with Noah

THESE WORDS ADDRESSED TO THE RIGHTROUS. AMBROSE: Every earthly thing dies with the deluge and only the righteous live forever. Thus the words "I will establish my covenant with you" are addressed to the righteous. He is the heir of divine grace, the recipient of the heavenly inheritance, a share of the very holy goods. ON Noath 10.55."

Gen 1:2. PC 37:141. PL 14:196: CSEL 12:411.

NOAM KEEPS HIS SONS SEPARATED FROM THEIR WIVES. JOHN OF DAMASCUS: When Noah was ordered to enter the ark and was entrusted with the safeguarding of the seed of the earth, he was given this command, which reads: "Come into the ark, you, your sons, your wife and your ons' wives." He separated them from their wives, so that with the help of chastity they might escape the occan's depths and that worldwide destruction. CHANGONE EXTRA 4.8"

6:19-21 Two of Every Living Thing

GOD ORDERS NOAH TO BRING COUPLES OF Animals into the Ark. Augustine: A further question asked by the curious concerns those tiny creatures, smaller even than mice and lizards, such as locusts, beetles, flies and even fleas. Were there not more of these in the ark than the number prescribed by God? Those who raise this difficulty must first be reminded that the words "that creep on the earth" imply that there was no need to preserve in the ark animals that live either in the water like fishes or on the water, as certain birds do. Second, the words "male and female" imply that there was no need to have in the ark such animals as are not born in the normal way but populate from putrid or inanimate matter.5 Or if they were in the ark, they could have been there as they are in our houses and

not in any definite number. On the other

hand, if the sacred mystery that was there being enacted demanded down to the last number of nonmarine animals the perfect accord of symbolic figure and historical fact, then God took care of this in his own way and did not leave it to Noah or his family. CITY OF GOD 16.3.76

KEEP THEM ALIVE. EPHENE THE SYRLAN.
On that same day elephants came from the east, apes and peacocks approached from the south, other animals gathered from the west, and still others hastened to come from the north. Lions came from the jungles, and wild beasts arrived from their lairs. Deer and wild asses came from their lains. As of the mountains.

When those of that generation gathered (to see) this not respent but rather to amuse themselves. Then in their very presence the lions began to enter the ark, and the bulls, with no fear, hurried in right on their heels to seek thefter with the lions. The wolves and the lambs entered together, and the back and the hapterow together with the doves and the eagles. Committant of Gentlem 1, 100 to 100

*FC 37:394-95. *Augustine follows the Aristonelian theory according to which insects generate spontaneously from putrid matter. This theory, technically defined as abiogenesis, was confund in the seventeenth century by the Italian scientist and poet Francesco Red. *FC 14:462. *FC 91:139.

7:1-5 SEVEN PAIRS OF ALL CLEAN ANIMALS AND ONE PAIR OF ALL UNCLEAN ANIMALS

Then the Lons said to Noah, "Go into the ark, you and all your boushold, for I have seen that you are righteous before use in this generation." Take with you seen pair of all clean animals, the male and his mate! and a pair of the animals that are not clean, the male and his mate? 'and seven pairs' of the brind of the air also, male and female! to keep their kind alive upon the face of all the earts. For in seven days! will lear drain upon the earth forty days and forty nights; and every living thing' that I have made! I will belt out from the face of the ground. 'Nadh Noosh' did all that the Lons had commanded him.

*LXX Noc *LXX in sevens *LXX snale and female *LXX adds and a pair of the birds that are not clean, male and female *LXX everything that rises up (ex-

OVERVIEW: When God commands Noah to "go into the ark," he symbolically commands the righteous to seek the truth, which, amid the deluge of passions, will direct him to salvation. The numbers seven and two of the pairs of clean and unclean animals received into the ark symbolize the principles of perfection and imperfection (Ambrose). The ark receiving clean and unclean animals prefigures the church, which allows those who are unclean to dwell as tares with the clean ones by reason of tolerance and not because of a total failure of discipline (Augustine). God clearly shows the people of Noah's generation that the deluge is about to come, but they do not repent (EPHREM).

7:1-3 God Tells Noah to Go into the Ark

DEEPER MEANING OF THE WORDS "GO INTO THE ARK." AMBROSE: But a deeper meaning leads us to believe that the strength of the mind in the soul and the soul in the body is what the father of a family is in his house. What the mind is in the soul, the soul is in the body. If the mind is certain, the house is safe: the soul is safe if the soul is uninjured; the flesh also is uninjured. A temperate mind restrains every passion, controls the senses, rules the words. Therefore God justly says to the righteous, "Go into," that is, eo into yourself, into your mind, in the ruling part of your soul. Salvation is there, the rudder is there; outside the deluge rages. outside there is danger. In truth if you have been inside, you are safe outside too, because when the mind is the straightforward guide of the self, the thoughts are righteous, the actions are righteous. If no vice obscures the mind, the thoughts are trustworthy. On NOAH 11.18.1

STMBOLISM OF THE PAIRS OF CLEAN AND UNCLEAN ANIMALS. AMBROSE: Let us see now why it was ordered that seven males and seven females of clean animals and two males and two females of unclean ones were received.

PE 14-197-CSEL 12-416

into the ark, so that the seed all over the earth might be nourished. And, as I believe, it is said that a clean week begins, since pure and holy is number seven. In fact it is united to no number and generated by no number. Therefore it is said to be virgin, because it generates nothing from itself, and we may add that this is said with good reason, since it is lacking and immune from maternal childbirth and from intercourse with female. The number two, on the other hand, is not full because it is divided; and what is not full has some void in irself. But the number seven is full, because the week is like the decade and is similar to that principal number, because the alpha is similar to that One who always exists. From him the virtues that are in every species take their origin, and by him they are moved. On NOAH 12.30.

THE ARK AS THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.

Acoustives Let us recognize that the ark perfigured the church. Let us be the clean serious in it. Fel let us not refuse to allow the unclean one to be carried in it with until the end of the delaye. They are regother in the ark, but they were not equally pleasing to the Leed as savor of sacrifice, for after the delaye. Nosh offired sacrifice to God of the clean, not of the unclean. But the ark was not on that account abandoned before the time by any of the clean because of the unclean. LETTERS 10c⁴.

THE SINNER IS RECEIVED BY REASON OF TOLERANCE. AUGUSTINE: By this prefiguration it is prophesied that in the church there will be the impure by reason of tolerance, not because of corruption of doctrine or dissolution of discipline. Furthermore, the unclean animals did not break their way into the ark through any part of the structure, but because the ark was an integral whole, they entered by the one and only entrance that the architect had wade. Express when they are the structure, and they are the structure, and they are the structure of the struct

7:4-5 Noah Did As the Lord Commanded

GOD SHOWS THAT THE DELUGE IS COMING. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: God granted one hundred years while the ark was being made to that generation, and still they did not repent. God summoned beasts that they had never seen and still they showed no remorse. He established a state of peace between the predatory animals and those who are preved upon, and still they had no awe. God delayed yet seven more days for them, even after Noah and every creature had entered the ark, leaving the gare of the ark open to them. This is a wondrous thing that no lion remembered its jungle and no species of beast or bird visited its customary haunt! Although those of that generation saw all that went on outside and inside the ark, they were still not persuaded to renounce their evil deeds. COMMENTARY ON Gravere 6 10 2

²PL 14:397; CSEL 32:437. ³FC 18:236:37. ⁴FC 27:280-81. ³FC 91:140.

7:6-9 NOAH GETS READY FOR THE COMING DELUGE

"Noah" was six hundred years old when the flood of waters came upon the earth. 'And Noah" and his sons and his unife and his sons' wives with him went into the ark, to escape the waters of the flood. 'Of clean animals, and of animals that are not clean, and of bird, and of verything that creeps on the ground, 'two and two, male and female, went into the ark with Noah.' as God had commanded Noah.'

"LXX Non

OVERVIEW: Noah prefigures Christ and the wood of the ark the cross which carries us to deliverance. The family of Noahs in the ark are prefiguration of the Christian church (AUGUSTINE). In the ark men and women are separated, and the same rule is observed in the church in baptism (CYRIL OF JERUSALEM).

7:7-9 Noah, His Family and the Animals Enter the Ark

Nosa and THE ARK A STRANGES OF CREATE AT THE NATIONS, ANSUSTRIES. CREATE AT THE NATIONS, AND STRANGES. CREATE AT THE NATIONS, AND THE NATIONS,

THE CHURCH IS PREFIGURED IN NOAH AND HIS FAMILY, AUGUSTINE: Was not Noah a holy man, who alone in the whole human race together with his whole house deserved to be delivered from the flood? And is not the church prefigured by Noah and his sons? They escape the flood, with wood (which symbolizes the cross) carrying them. TRACTATES ON THE GOSPEL OF IONN 11.2-2.

Max and Women More? Br. KEPP SERV. ART IN CRUMEN. CPAIL or J JENUALEMN During the actual exoccium, while waiting for the others, he made be with men and women, with women. For now! I need Noah's ark that Jenualem with may have Noah and his sons together, and although the althe also no and the donor was closed, per decorum was observed. So now, though the church doors are hard not offer and with men, women to keep the other way to the church of the server of the other was the server of the server of the other was and the server of the with men, women with women. Let mot the principle of salvation be made a pretext for scriptual license. Accepting close to extend to a principle of salvation be made a pretext for scriptual license. Accepting close to extend to a principle of salvation be made a pretext for scriptual license. Accepting close to extend to a

distance. Catechetical Lectures 14.1

"Gen 124. PC78205. PC7826-17. PC6180-81.regarding

good rule, provided that passion is kept at a

7:10-16 GOD CAUSES RAIN TO FALL FOR FORTY DAYS AND FORTY NIGHTS

¹⁶And after seven days the waters of the flood came upon the earth.

"In the ix bundredth year of Nosh" life, in the second month, on the seventeenth' day of the month, on that day all the fountains of the great deep burst forth, and the windows' of the heavens were opened. "And rain fell upon the earth forty days and forty nights. "On the very same day Nosh" and his sons, Shem and Ham" and Japheth, and Nosh", wife and the three wives of his sons with them entered the art, "they and very posts according to its kind, and all the cattle according to their kinds, and every creeping thing that creeps on the earth according to its kind, every bird of every sorn." They went into the fart with Nosh! "we and two of all flesh in which there was the breath of life." "And they that entered, male and female of all flesh, went in as God bad commanded him; and the Lone, when him is."

"LXX Noe "LXX twenty-severth "LXX cataracts (flood-gates!) "LXX Cham "LXX add from the outs

Overview: The deluge caused by God demonstrates how he may actively intervene in cartily affairs. Gavitavn rup Basseryras, God brings on the deluge for forty days so that some men and women might still repent and somethow escape the ruin (Charsocross). The months and years at the time of the deluge have the same duration as our months and years (Autoustrate).

After everybody has entered the ark, God statust the door from the outside because he does not want. Noah to be distressed by the sight of the disaster (CHENDOTOM). Those who have chosen to be outside the door are than pervented from breaking it down (EPMEMS). Since the family of Noah that enters the ark consists of eight souls, some pasims are entitled for the course 'as a reference to the saved (Hasonta). God cloud the ark 'from without' not by discording physically but as a witness to his almighty power (JUSTIN MARTYR).

7:10-12 The Flood Comes upon the Earth

THE DELOGIP PROVES THAT GOD PUTEL-VESSES IN ELECTRIC APPLIES. SALVIAN THE PERSENTEE. And then what!"All the fountains of the great deep were broken up, and the flood gases of become were opened. And the rain fell upon the earth forry days and forry sights." And similar laters! And all flesh was destroyed that moved upon the earth." And again. And Nosh only remained with and they that were with him in the art." Her and now! whith oak them whe call God indifferent to human affairs whether they believe that at that time he either cared for

Gen 7:21. Gen 7:23.

earthly affairs or intervened in them. Gover-NANCE OF GOD 1.7.3

WHY DOES GOD BRING ON THE DELUGE FOR FORTY DAYS? CHRYSOSTOM: The fact. too, that he brought on the deluge for forty days and nights is a further wonderful sign of his loving kindness. His purpose in his great goodness was that at least some of them might come to their senses and escape that utter ruin, having before their eyes the annihilation of their peers and the destruction about to overwhelm them. I mean, the likelihood is that on the first day some proportion were drowned an additional number on the second day, and likewise on the third day and so on. His reason for extending it for forty days was that he might remove from them any grounds for excuse. You see, had it been his wish and command, he could have submerged everything in one downpour. Instead, out of fidelity to his characteristic love he arranged for a stay of so many days. Homilies on Genesis 24.11.4

DUBATION OF THE MONTHS AND YEARS AT THE TIME OF THE DELUGE, AUGUSTINE: It is now time to examine the evidence that proves convincingly that the biblical years, so far from being only one-tenth as long as ours, were precisely as long as the present solar years. This is true of the years used in giving those extremely lone life spans. It is said, for example, that the flood occurred in the six hundredth year of Noah's life. But notice the full text: "The waters of the flood overflowed the earth in the six hundredth year of the life of Noah, in the second month, in the twentyseventh day of the month." Now those words are inexplicable if a year was so short that it took ten of them to make one of ours. That

would mean that a year had only thirty-six days. For so short a year (if it was actually called a year in action tunge) either had no months at all, or if it had twelve months, then acach month could have had but three days. How, then, (can we) explain the words of the execution of the country of the country of the second month, in the twenty-seventh day of the month, 'anless the months then were the same as they are new' Three is no other way of explaining how the flood could be said to have had a beginning on the twenty-seventh day of the second month. Criv or Goo 15,14.7

7:13-16 The Lord Shut Noah in the Ark

GOD ENSURES NOAH'S WELL-BEING, CHRY-SOSTOM: The text goes on, "The Lord God shut the ark from the outside." Notice in this place too the considerateness in the expression "God shut the ark from the outside," to teach us that he had ensured the good man's complete safety. The reason for adding from the outside" to "he shut" was that the good man might not be in the position of seeing the disaster occur and suffering even greater distress. I mean, if he broaded over that recrible flood and set indelibly in his mind the destruction of the human race, the complete annihilation of all brute beasts and the disappearance, as it were, of people, animals and the earth itself, he would have been disturbed and anguished. HOMILIES ON GENE-

GOD SHUTS THE DOOR TO PREVENT THOSE
OUTSIDE FROM BREAKING IN. EPHREM THE
SYRIAN: "The Lord shut the door before

FC 3-41, *FC 82-131, *FC 14-444, *FC 82-132-31,

S15 24.12.

Noah, "lest those left behind come ar the time of the floods and break down the gaze of the ark. The deluge came and "God blotted out all flesh. Only Noah was left and those that were with him in the ark." The springs of the abyss and the floodgazes of heaven were open forry days and come floodgazes of heaven were open forry days and forty nights, and the 'ark was afloat for one hundred fifty days." Commentary

HOW THE ARK WAS CLOSED FROM WITH-OUT. JUSTIN MARTYR: "God closed the ark of Noah from without." You should not imagine that the unbegotten God himself descended or ascended from any place. For the ineffable Father and Lord of all neither comes to any place, nor walks, nor sleeps, nor arises, but always remains in his place, wherever it may be, acutely seeing and hearing, not with eyes or ears but with a power beyond description. Dialogue with Trypho 127. 30

WHY CRETAIN PRAIMS ARE TITLED "FOR THE OCTAXE", JROME! (We see that] certain psalms are titled "for the octave." This is the day on which the synagogue comes to an end and the church is born." This is the day in the number of which eight souls were preserved in the art of Nosh, and "its counterpart, the church," says Peter, "now saves you." HOMI-LISS OR. 10

⁷Gen 7:24. ⁸FC 91:141. ⁸Gen 7:16. ¹⁸FC 6:345. ¹⁹The day of resurrection, the eighth day. ¹⁰1 Pet 3:21. ¹⁹FC 57:248.

7:17-24 THE DELUGE COVERS THE EARTH

"The fined continued forty days" upon the earth, and the waters increased, and bore up the ark, and it res high above the earth. "The waters prevailed and increased peralty upon the earth, and the ark floated on the face of the waters." "And the waters prevailed on nighting upon the earth that all the high mountains under the whole beaver were covered: "the water prevailed above the mountains, covering them fifteen only is deep." "And all flesh did that moved upon the earth, birds, cattle, beasts, all swarming creatures that swarm upon the earth, and every man: "everything on the dry land in whose nostrili was the breath of life died." "He bisteted out every living thing" that was upon the face of the ground, man and animals and everying things and brids of the six they were bletted out from the carth. Only Noah" was left, and those that were with him in the art. "And the waters prevailed upon the earth a hundred and fifty days.

*LXX able and forty nights *LXX everything that rises up (anastema) *LXX No.

Ovasurum: The deluge covers the entire earth and destroys every creature (Christostrom). Only the summit of paradise is not reached: the flood stops at its foothills (Epistass). The sentence: Everything on the dry land in whose notartils was the breath of life died "refers to every living creature (Audustrum). The deluge symbolizes the judgment of God that those who repent will escape (Dustru Martru).

The forty days prefigures the subsequent rite of baptism during Quadragesima, the forty days of Lent (Maximus or Tusin). That the Lord loves righteousness and hates iniquity is made manifest through the deluge (Marsh.)

7:17-20 The Flood Continues for Forty Days

THE FORTY DAYS PREFIGURES LENT AND BARTISM. MAXIMUS OF TURIN: Bur let us see where this most sacred number of forty days had its beginning. We read first in the Old Testament that in the time of Noah, when criminal wickedness had seized the whole human race, torrents of water poured forth from the opened floodgates of heaven for just as many days. In a kind of mysterious image of Quadragesima, this inundation of the earth refers not so much to a flood as to baptism.2 This was clearly a baptism in which the wickedness of sinners was removed and Noah's righteousness preserved. For this reason, then, the Lord has given us forty days now as well in imitation of that time, so that for this number of days, while the heavens are opened, a celestial rain of mercy might pour upon us and, with the flood, the water of the saving washing might enlighten us3 in baptism and-as was the case then-the wickedness of our sins might be quenched in

us by the streams of water and the righteousness of our virtues preserved. For the very same thing is at issue with regard to Noah and in our own day: baptism is a flood to the sinner and a consecration to the faithful by the Lord's washing, righteousness is preserved and unrighteousness is destroyed. SERMONS 6.0.7

THE DELUGE COVERS THE EARTH, CHRY-SOSTOM: It is not without purpose that Scripture describes all this to us. Instead. its purpose is for us to learn that not only people, cattle, four-footed beasts and reptiles were drowned but also the birds of heaven and whatever inhabited the mountains. namely, animals and other wild creatures. Hence the text says. The flood rose fifteen cubits above the mountains," for you to learn that the execution of the Lord's sentence had been effected. He said, remember, "After seven more days I will bring a deluge upon the earth and I will wipe of the face of the earth all the life I have made. from human beings to cattle, and from reptiles to birds of heaven."5 So Scripture narrates this not simply to teach us the flood level but that we may be able to understand along with this that there was absolutely nothing left standing-no wild beasts, no animals, no cattle-rather, everything was annihilated along with the human race. Since it was for their sake that all these constitute had been consted with the imminent destruction of the human beings it was fitting that these creatures too should

³Cf. Gen 7/7-20. ³Cf. 1 Per 3:20-21. ³The reference to baptism as enlightenment, which is very common, dates at least to the middle of the second contary. ³ACW 50:119. ³Gen 7H. ⁴As at objective fact.

meet their end. Then, after teaching as the great hight reached by the flood waters and the fact that they rose a further fifteen cubic above the mountain peaks, it further adds out of fidelity to its characteristic precision. There perished all flesh that moved on the earth—brids, animals, every reptile that moved on the earth, every human being—everything ond by land all perished. "That was not an idle reference in the world everything on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing on dry land" is intended. "That was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing of the land is a supposed to the proper was not an idle reference in the world' every-hing of the land is a supposed to the land is a sup

ONLY THE SUMMIT OF PARADISE NOT REACHED BY THE FLOOD. EPHREM THE SUBJECT

Sysian:
With the eye of my mind I gazed upon paradise. The summit of every mountain is lower than its summit, the creat of the flood reached only its foothills, these it kissed with reverence before turning back to rise above and auduent the peak of every hill and mountain. The foothill of paradise it kissed, while every summit it buffers, while every summit it buffers.

7:21-22 All Flesh Died

THE BREATH OF LIFE. AUGUSTINE: Then, a little further on in the same book [Genesis], one could just as easily have noticed the verse "Everything on the dry land in whose nostrils was the breath of life died." This means that everything that lived on the earth perished in the flood. Thus we find that Holy Scripture is accussomed to use both phrases—"living soul" and "the breath of life"—in regard even to beasts, and in the verse "All things wherein there is the breath of life" the Greek text does not use the word pneuma but pnoë. CITY OF GOD 13.4.1"

7:23-24 Living Things Blotted Out

THE DELUGE SYMBOLIZES THE JUDGMENT OF GOD. JUSTIN MARTYR: When the sacred text states that the entire earth was inundated, as the water reached a height of twenty-three feet above the highest mountains, it is evident that God was not speaking to your land [that is, Israel] in particular but to all those who are faithful to him, for whom he has arranged a restful haven in Jerusalem. All the signs that accompanied the flood prove my assertion. For by the expression by water and faith and wood"11 it is indicated that those who prepare themselves and repent of their sins shall escape the future indement of God. DIALOGUE WITH TRYPHO 118.12

THE LORD LOVER RESISTENCES, HATES INSURED. THE RESISTENCE AND THE RESISTENCES AND THE RESISTENCES AND THE RESISTENCES AND THE RESISTENCES. THE RESISTENCE AND THE RESISTENCES AND THE RESI

⁷Gen 7:21-22. ⁸FC 82:139-40. ⁸HOP 78-79. ¹⁶FC 14:343*. ¹⁶Win 10:4. ¹⁶JMO 2:452-54. ¹⁶Gen 6:13-19. ¹⁴Ps 45:7 (44:8).

understanding this same text is shown to be full of more sacred mysteries, when the ark is discerned to signify the catholic church:15 the water of the flood, baptism: the clean and unclean animals, 16 those in the church both spiritual and carnal: the wood of the ark

which was smooth and covered with pitch.17 the teachers who are stalwart as a result of their faith. On the Tabernacle 2.7.60.18

No Ber 2/20/21 MGen 2/2 NGen 6/14 MTTH 18/20

8:1-5 THE OCCUPANTS OF THE ARK STAY AROADD FOR A VEAR

But God remembered Noah* and all the beasts and all the cattle that were with him in the ark. And God made a wind blow over the earth, and the waters subsided: 2the fountains of the deep and the windows of the heavens were closed, the rain from the heavens was restrained, and the waters receded from the earth continually. At the end of a hundred and fifty days the waters had abated; and in the seventh month, on the seventeenth day of the month, the ark came to rest upon the mountains of Ararat. And the waters continued to abate until the tenth month; in the tenth month, on the first day of the month, the tops of the mountains were seen.

"LXX Noe "LXX adds and all the birds and all the creeping things "LXX cararacts (flood-gates) "LXX twenty-seventh-

OVERVIEW: The love of God accompanies Noah and all the occupants of the ark throughout the deluge (Chrysostom). The wind sent by God is the Holy Spirit (AMBROSE). The ark remains affoat for 150 days, but its occupants could not leave it before 365 days (EPHREM). 8:1-3 God Remembered Noah

THE LOVE OF GOD ACCOMPANIES THE ARK.

out of his esteem for the human being. As in the case of the destruction of human beings in the flood he destroyed also along with them the whole range of brute beasts, so in this case too, when he intends to show his characteristic love for the good man out of his regard for him, he extends his goodness to the animal kingdom as well, the wild beasts, the birds and the reptiles. "God was mindful of Noah." the text says, "and of all the wild beasts, all the

CHRYSOSTOM: See how God did everything

carle and all the reptiles that were with him in the ark. God earn a wind upon the earth, and the water subsided. Being mindful of Nosh, the text asy, and of those with him in the ark, he directed the fleed of water to halt on the side of the sid

THE WIND SENT BY GOD IS THE HOLY SPIRIT, AMBROSE: "And the Lord sent a breath over the earth and the water subsided "I do not believe that this has been said because under the name of breath we may think of the wind. In fact the wind had no power to dry the deluge. Otherwise the sea, which is moved every day by the winds, would become empty. How would the sea become empty because of the strength of the winds alone? Isn't it true that the strength that overcame the deluge spread all over the earth to the so called Columns of Hercules² and the vast sea boiling over the tops of the highest mountains? There is no doubt. therefore, that that deluge was subsided by the invisible power of the Spirit, not through the wind as such but through divine intervention. On Noah 16.48.

8:3-5 The Waters Abated

DURATION OF THE DELUGE, EDUREM THE SYRIAN: The springs of the abyss and the floodgates of heaven were open forty days and forty nights and "the ark was afloat for one hundred fifty days."4 But after one hundred fifty days the waters began to subside and the ark came to rest on Mt. Qardu.5 In the tenth month the tops of the mountains were seen. In the six hundred and first year, in the first month, the first day of the month, the waters were dried from off the earth. In the second month, that is, Ivor, "on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry." Therefore Noah and those with him had been in the ark three hundred sixty-five days, for from the seventeenth of the second month, that is, Ivor. until the twenty-seventh of the same month the following year, according to the lunar reckoning, there were three hundred sixryfive days. Notice then that even the generation of the house of Noah employed this reckoning of three hundred sixty-five days in a year. Why then should you say that it was the Chaldeans and Egyptians who invented and developed it? COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 6.11.2.6.12.16

*FC 82:151. *Now the Serait of Gibraltar. In ancient times it was considered to be the limit of the world. *PL 14:408; CSEI. 32:453. *Gen 7:24. *Following the Peshitta, Ephrem locates the resting of the ark not on Arazat but on Mr. Qardu, in northers. Iraq. *FC 91:141-42.

8:6-12 END OF THE DELUGE

"At the end of forty days Noah" opened the window of the ark which he had made, "and sent forth a raven," and it went to and fro" until the waters were dried up from the earth. "Then he sent forth a dove from him," to see if the waters had subsided from the face of the ground; "but the dove found no place to set her foot, and she returned to him to the ark, for the waters were still on the face of the whole earth. So he put forth his hand and took her waters were still on the face of the whole earth. So he put forth his hand and took her and brought her into the ark with him. "He waited another seven days, and again he sent forth to do not of the ark," "and the dove came had to him in the revening, and lo, in her mouth a freshly plucked olive leaf; so Noah" knew that the waters had subsided from the carth. "Then he watted another seven days, and sent forth the dove; and she did not return to him any more."

 $^{\circ}$ LXX Noc $^{\circ}$ LXX to see if the water had abased $^{\circ}$ LXX it went out and did not return $^{\circ}$ LXX after it

OVERVIEW: The raven, which is sent forth by Noah, is held captive by gluttony and does not return to the air, (Pausserrus, Cauxinsorrous). The raven symbolizes those Christians who having been baptized have gone astray (Augustrus, Beng). The dove, which Noah sends after the raven, brings an olive branch back to the air. This branch not only reveals

that the deluge has abated but also is a symbol of the promised everlasting peace (Acousting). The dove is a symbol of the Holy Spirit (Amasous, Bors), of the anointing by oil in the institute of the Holy Spirit (Amasous, Bors), and of Christ (Maxanus or Tuxn). The end of the deluge can be compared with the end of the persecutions that those who live in Christ have to suffer in the world (Adoustrial).

8:6-7 Noah Sends a Raven

THE RAVEN DID NOT RETURN. BEDE: Noah wanted to know how things stood on the face

of the earth when the inundation had come a need, and he earth of the 2 are which is accrued to return to the ark', signifying those who, although they have been cleaned by the waters of buptism, nevertheless neglect purious off the very black dreas of their old layers by living more faultessly and lest they be living more faultessly and lest they living more faultessly and lest they living more faultessly and lest they be living to the server by leven earth of the server be to removed by the anonizing of the Holy Spirit, they at once fall away from the limited that the server be the server better the server between the server betwe

THE RAVEN DOES NOT RETURN TO THE ARK. PRUDENTIUS: As a sign that the flood had abated

As a sign that the flood had abated the dove is now bringing Back to the ark in her beak

Gen 8:6-7, 3HOG 122,

the budding green branch of an olive.

For the raven, held captive by gluttony, clung to foul bodies,

While the dove brought back

While the dove brought back the glad tidings of peace that was given. Scenes from Sacred History 3.1

WHY THE RAYEN DID NOT COSE BEAC.
CHRINSTONE BUT for the present we need to explain the reason why the bird (the zeren) did not come back. Perhaps, with the waters subsiding, the bird, being unclean. Nussiding, the story being unclean. Nussiding, the story being unclean. Nussiding, the story being unclean to moratishment to its likings, stayed thereful his would have been something that proved to be no little sign of hope and neouragement for the just man [if the raven had returned].

THE TRESOMS SOUND OF THE CROW.
ACQUISTENT YOU do not know when that last hour is going to come and yet you say. I am reforming. When are you going to reforme feedings are you going to reforme when a you going to reform you was. Pethod, how offen you say. Find, how they you say. Bethod, how offen you say. Find, how they you say. Bethod, how they you say. Bethod how they was the you want to have you say. Bethod how they want you want y

8:8-11 Noah Sends a Dove

THE OLIVE BRANCH SYMBOLIZES EVER-LASTING PEACE. ADUSTINE: It is could to see why everlasting peace is signified by the olive branch that the dove, returning, brought back to the ark. For we know that the smooth surface of oil is not readily hindered by a different liquid. And the olive tree itself is forever in leaf. Christian Instruction 2.16.24.7

THE DOWN AS A SYMBOL OF THE HOLY SPHILT, AMBROSE: The oll is not for the synagogue, since it does not possess the olive and did not understand the dove that brought back the olive branch after the flood. For that dowe descended afterwards, 'when Christ was being baptized and dwelv with him, as John brought witness in the Gospel asying," I saw the Spirit descending from heaven as a dove, and it remained upon him." LETURE 40.2.1."

THE OLIVE BRANCH. BEDE: After [the raven] he sent a dove, and it came to him in the evening, carrying in its mouth an olive branch with creen leaves. 11

You are paying attention, I believe, and with your intellect you anticipate me as I speak. The olive branch with green leaves is the grace of the Holy Spirit, rich in the words of life, the fullness of which rests upon Christ. [as] the psalm says, "God, your God, has anointed you with the oil of gladness above your fellows."12 Concerning this gift given to Christ's fellows, John speaks: "You have the anointing from the holy one, and you know all things."13 And by a most beautiful conjunction the figure is in agreement with the fulfillment-a corporeal dove brought the olive branch to the ark which was washed by the waters of the flood: the Holy Spirit descended in the form of a corporeal dove upon the Lord when he was baptized in the waters of the lordan. Not only the human beings but also the

¹FC 52:179-80. ¹FC 82:153. ¹Latin: crat, crat. ¹FC 38:186. ¹FC 28:3². ¹After the accordancy of the law: ¹Jn 1:32. ¹⁸FC 26:397. ¹⁸Gen 8:8-11. ¹⁸Pa 45:7. ¹⁹J Jn 2:20.

living things which the ark contained, and also the very word from which the ark was also the very word from which the ark was made, perfigure us members of Christ and of of the waters of regeneration. Through the anomining of the sacreed chrism may we be signed with the grace of the 1961 Spirit, and may be deign to keep it invideat in may be deign to keep it invideat in may be deign to keep it invideat in on which words the same than the same that the same th

THE DOW AS A SYMBOL OF CREEKT MASTI-MIN OF TRUNK CHIEF IS dOWN PRESENT AS TO TRUNK CHIEF IS AGENT PRESENT AS TO COMMAND AS TO ASSESS TO ASSESS AS TO ASSESS AS TO PERSON. THE ASSESS AS TO AS TO ASSESS AS TO AS TO ASSESS AS TO AS TO ASSESS dove that once hastened to Noah's ark in the flood now comes to Christ's church in baprism. Summons 64.2.25

8:11-12 The Dove Does Not Return

THE END OF THE DELEGIC CONTRACES WITH.
THE END OF PRESCRIPTION. ACCOUNTING.
The secular powers often and for a long time appare the wicked from copporal punishment and relieve some of them from their harasaments, but the hearts of boly men never any respite until the end of the world from the similar conduct of men. It is thus we have the fulfillment of what the apostle sud, at I cred it, the all who will live godly in Christ suffer persecution. "I Their suffering is more bitter in the properties to its inwardness. This is no until a man," passes over the delayer where the variable of the properties to its reason and the dree, Letters as a set of the delayer where the properties of the properties to its reason and the dree, Letters as a set of the delayer where the properties of the properties to its reason and the dree, Letters as a set of the delay where the properties of the properties to its reason and the dree, Letters as a set of the delay where the properties of the properties of

¹⁶HOG 122-23. ¹³ACW 50:158. ¹⁶2 Tim 3:12. ¹⁷Nosh. ¹⁶FC 32:237.

8:13-19 NOAH, HIS FAMILY AND THE ANIMALS GO OUT OF THE ARK

¹³In the six hundred and first year, in the first month, the first day of the month, the waters were dried from file earth; and Noah* removed the covering of the art, and looked, and kelold, the fact of the graund was dry, *1\$in the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry, *13*Then God! said to Noah,* *16**Gof the from the art, you and your wife, and your sons and your sons wives with you. Bring forth from the art, you and your wife, and your sons and your sons with you. Bring forth with you curry living thing that it with you of all files—brings and animals and every.

creeping thing that creep on the earth—that they may breed abundantly on the earth, and be fruitful and multiply upon the earth. "So Noah" went forth, and his sons and his wife and his sons' wives with him. "And every beast, every creeping thing, and every bird, everything that moves upon the earth, went forth by families out of the ark."

*LXX Nor *LXX the Lord God *LXX onto *LXX And all the beasts and cartie, and every bird and every creeping thing that moves on the earth west out. according to their kind, from the ark.

OVENUES When Noah dissendurks after the delaps, God encourages him in everything (Custosorous). Chaatity was observed in the delaps, God encourages him in everything (Custosorous). Chaatity was observed in the sk, but after the design marriage is permitted again (Jons oo Dawascous). This is made clear earl (Ausascous). In order that they might multiply, Noah brings out two by twe those whom he had brought in one by one (Estratas). The art perfigures deliberance through the church's haptim in preparation for the driver haptime of the church's haptime in preparation for the driver haptime (Marsines or Tustos). Noah stree-claimed a new birth to the world (Custosor or Rous).

8:15-19 Everything Went Out of the Ark

NOAN RECEIVES THE BLESSING OF MULTI-BLICATION THAT ADAM HAD RECEIVED. CHRYSOSTOM: Then all creation was cleansed as if of some blemish, removing all defilement caused in it by human wickedness. Its countenance was made resplendent: God then finally commanded the just man to disembark from the ark, freeing him from that awful prison with these words." Then the Lord God said to Noah, 'Disembark, you and your sons, your wife and your sons' wives with you, as well as all flesh, from birds to cattle: take off with you every reptile that crawis upon the earth, and increase and multiply on the earth." Notice God's goodness, how in everything he encourages the good man. After ordering him to dis-

embark from the ark along with his sons, his wife his sons' wives and all the wild animals. then lest great discouragement should gradually overtake him by this further development and he become anxious at the thought that he would be on his own, dwelling alone in such a vast expanse of earth, with no one else existing. God first said. "Disembark from the ark, and take off everything with you," and then added, "Increase and multiply, and gain dominion over the earth." See how once again this good man receives that former blessing that Adam had received before the fall. The same words were as than heard when he was created: God blessed them in the words "increase and multiply, and gain dominion over the earth."1 So too this man now hears the words "increase and multiply on the earth." In other words, just as the former man became the beginning and root of all creatures before the deluge, so too this just man becomes a kind of leaven, beginning and root of everything after the deluge. From this point on, what is comprised in the make-up of human beings takes its beginning, and the whole of creation recovers its proper order. both the soil reawakening to productivity as well as everything else that had been created for the service of human beings. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 26.16.

¹Gen 1:28. ²FC 82:155-56.

THROUGH THE CHURCH. MAXIMES OF TORNIN FOR AN PORT AT PRESENT AND THE AND THE AND THE OWNER OF AN OWNER OF THE AND THE

MARKAGE IS PREMITTED AGAIN, JOING OD-DAMAGINS When Noah was adreded to enter the ark and was entrusted with the afeparate ing of the seed of the earth, he was given this command, which reads, "Go forth from the ark, you and your wife, and your snow and your sons' wives with you." He had separated them from their wives, so that with the help of chastiry they might escape the deep and that worldwide destruction. However, after the cessation of the flood, the command was "Go forth from the ake, you and your wife, and your sons and your sons' wives with you." Here, see how marring was again permige was again permige for the fast date, you and your wife.

THE OADER OF BOARDING AND LEAVING.
AMBRIORS: NOW the us examine why, at the moment of entering the ark, the order of entry was that Nouls entered first with his sons, then they got out, the order of exit was changed. In fact it is written, "Go forth from the ark; you and your wife, and your sons and your sons' wives with you." According to the internal means when we will have a fact of the control of the con

ing the Scripture wants to emphasize the absrinence from the faculty of generation at the moment of the boarding on the ark and the use of this faculty at the moment of disembarking. At the beginning of the deluge the father entered first with his sons and the sons with the father, secondly his wife and the wives of his sons. There is no mixing of the sexes at the boarding, but there is at the disembarking. In a plain way, through the order of the people boarding, it is being made clear to the righteous that the time when death loomed over everybody was not suitable to concubinage and erotic pleasures.... With good reason, later. after the deluge ended, marriage was again in use and considered for the generation of other men. On NOAH 21 26 H

Noan Baston FORTH THE ATMMAS IN FORMERS. EPISHES THE STREAM THE STREAM THE STREAM TO BE whom he had brought in 'one by one' in order to maintain charity on the art, he now brought out' two by one' to that they might "be fruitful and multiply in creation." Even with respect to the animals that had preserved their charity in the art God said. "Bring forth with you every living thing that is with you of all flesh—birds and animals and every creeping thing that creepes on the earth—that they may breed abundantly on the earth." COM-MINTARY OF GINESIS 6124.1."

New BIRTH TO THE WORLD. CLEMENT OF ROME: Let us fix our gaze on those who have perfectly served his magnificent glory. Let us take Enoch, who was found righteous in obe-

³CE Gen 8:10-11. *CE.1 Per 3:20-21. *CE. Gen 8:10-11. *Jn 16:22. *ACW 50:116. *PC 37:394-95. *Gen 6:18. *PL 14-417: CSEL 32:467. **FC 91:142.

dience and was taken up without there being a trace of his death. ¹² Noah was found faithful by reason of his service; he proclaimed a new birth to the world, and through him the Lord saved the living creatures who entered in harmony into the ark. 13 THE LETTER TO THE CORINTHIANS 9.2-4. 14

¹¹Gen 5:24; Heb 11:5. ¹¹Gen 6:8,7:1; Heb 11:7; 2 Pet 2:5. ¹⁴FC

8:20-22 NOAH OFFERS A SACRIFICE TO GOD

³⁰Then Noah* built an altar to the Loan, and took of every clean animal and of every clean brid, and offered burst offerings on the altar. "And when the Loan smelled the pleasing odor, the Loan said in his beart." Youll rever again curst the ground because of man, for the imagination of man's heart is evil from his youth; neither will I ever again destroy every living creature as I have done. "While the earth remains, seedime and barvest, cold and heart, summer and watter, day and might, shall not example.

*LXX Nor

Ovenview Noah offers actrifices to God of the clean animals, not of the unclean (Asoustrine), "The Lot smelled the pleasing odor" means that he accepted the offerings (Csussorrous), The Lot of does not smell the smell of the flesh of animals or the smoke of wood, but he looks out and sees the simplicity of heart with which Noah offers the sacrifice (Effensian).

The words "I will never again curse the ground because of man" mean that God, after restraining human sinful nature through the fear of his punishment, now wants to change it through his forgiveness (Assaoss). After Noah's sacrifice God restores to the earth the seasonal cycles that had been disturbed during the deluge (Epwans).

8:20 Noah Builds an Altar

NOAM OFFERS SACRIFICES OF THE CLEAN. AUGUSTINE: [The clean and the unclean] were together in the ark, but they were not equally pleasing to the Lord as a savor of sacrifice, for after the deluge Noah offered sacrifice to God of the clean, not of the unclean. Letters 108.

8:21 A Pleasing Sacrifice

GOD ACCEPTS NOAN'S SACRIFICE. CHRVsostons: The Scripture says, "And the Lord smelled a sweet odor," that is, he accepted the offerings. But do not imagine that God has nostrils, since God is invisible spirit. Ye what is carried up from the altar is the odor and smoke from burning bodies, and nothing is

FC 18-237.

more malodorous than such a savor. But that you may learn that God attends to the intention of the one offering the sacrifice and then accepts or rejects it, Scripture calls the odor and smoke a sweet savor. AGAINST JUDAIZ-

SEEING THE SIMPLICITY OF NOAH'S HEART. GOD PRESERVES A REMNANT FRUREN THE Syrian: "The Lord smelled" not the smell of the flesh or the smoke of wood, but rather he looked out and saw the simplicity of heart with which Noah offered the sacrifice from all and on behalf of all. And his Lord spoke to him, as he desired that Noah hear, "Because of your righteousness, a remnant was preserved and did not perish in that flood that took place. And because of your sacrifice that was from all flesh and on behalf of all flesh, I will never again bring a flood upon the earth."5 God thus bound himself beforehand by this promise so that even if mankind were constantly to follow the evil thought of their inclination, he would never again bring a flood upon them. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 6.11.2.

PUNISHMENT AND FORDIVENESS COMPAS-MINITARIA AMBIOSILE of us examine with greater attention the meaning of the words "the Lord said in his heart, I will never again cure the ground because of man, for the imagination of man's heart is veil frem his youth." He will need did has he instead of destroy again, as he had already done, every villing creature for the entire duration of cert villing creature for the entire duration of the Even though the had punished the whole of manifold, be know that the punishment of the law is more suitable to raise fear and to teach the doctrine than to change the nature that can be corrected in some people but not changed in everybody. Therefore God punished so that we might fear and forgave so that we might be preserved. He punished once in order to give an example that would have raised fear, but he forgave for the future, so that the bitterness of sin would have not prevailed. One who is intent upon punishing sins too often is considered to be more obstinate than strict. Therefore God says,"I will never again curse the ground because of man," that is, he punishes a few, forgives many, because he intended to show his mercy for the whole of mankind without the necessity of producing in human hearts a false security mixed

with a kind of neglect. On NOAH 22.80.5
8:22 "Seedtime and Harvest Shall Not Cease"

Goo BRITORIS TAN SEASON TO THE EARTH EPHERS THE STAREN AND the bequire there was neither planning non harvest during the thirty sear and the associal cycles had been disturbed. Good removed so the carts that which had been taken ways in his agers, Good had been taken were said, All the days of the earth, planning and harvest, old and had been standard to have the company of the carts, the day and sight shall not crease from the earth. For throughout the entire year, until earth dried up, winter, with no aummer, had been unon them. Consumers of the starts of the control of

PC 68:27. *Gen 9:11-15. *PC 91:142. *PL 14:418: CSEL 32:470. *PC 91:143.

9:1-7 GOD GIVES FOOD AND DECLARES HIS CONDEMNATION OF MURDER

'And God blessed Noab' and bis sons, and said to them. 'Be fruitful and multiply, and fills the carth.' 'The fare of you and the dread of you shall be upon every beast of the earth, and upon every bird of the air, upon everything that creeps on the ground and all the fish of the seas into your hand they are delivered. 'Every moving thing that lives shall be food for yous and as I gave you the green plants. I give you everything. 'Only you shall not cat glick with its life, that is, its bload.' 'For your lifeblood I will surely require a reckening of every beast! will require it and of man: of every man's brother I will require the life' of man. 'Whoever sheds the blood of man. by man shall his blood be shed!' for God made man in bir our image.' And you, be fruitful and multiply, bring forth abundantly on the earth and multiply in it.'

*LXX Noe *LXX and rule on it *LXX with blood of its life (or soul) *LXX (or soul) *LXX for his blood shall [his blood] be shed

OVERVIEW: God gives human beings every herb for sustenance (JUSTIN MARTYR). He orders that people drain the blood of the beasts that they will eat (EPHREM) because the blood is the beast's soul and must be set aside for him (CHRYSOSTOM). The murder of human beings is condemned by God (Сикузовтом, EPHREM). When God proclaims that he will require the blood of humans at the hand of every beast and person, he means that he compares human wickedness in the act of murder to the wildness of beasts (AMBROSE). By requiring the blood of persons at the hand of every beast. God intends to say that he will resurrect the bodies of those who die (loss) OF DAMASCUS)

9:3 God Gives Noah Plants and Animals for Food

There Is No Unclean Herb or Plant. Justin Martyr: You [Trypho, a Jew] object that Nosh was ordered to make a distrinction between the herbs, because we do not now eat every kind of herb. Such a conclusion is inadmissible. I could easily prove, but we will not spend the time now in doing so, that every vegetable is an herb and may be eaten. Now, if we make a distinction between them and refuse to eat some of them, we do so not because they are common and undean but because they are common and undean but because they are common and undean but because they are bitter, or poisonous or thorns. DiaLocology writh Teyrip 20.3

9:4 Not Eating the Blood of Animals

THE BLOOD OF ANIMALS MUST BE DRAINED. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: God also blessed Noah and his sons that they might be fruitful and multiply and that fear of them should fall upon all flesh both in the sea and

IMO 2454

on dry land. "Only you shall not eat flesh with its life." That means you shall eat no flesh that has not been slaughtered and whose blood, which is its life, has not been drained. Com-MENTARY ON GENESIS 6.14-1.²

ANIMAL'S BLOOD IS ITS SOUL CHRYSOS-TOM: From this the eating of meat takes its beginning, not for the purpose of prompting them to eluttony. But since some of the people were about to offer sacrifices and make thanksgiving to the Lord, he grants them authority over food and obviates any anxiety about foods lest they seem to be abstaining from foods because they were not properly consecrated."I have given you them all," he says, "as I did the green grass." Then, as in the case of Adam when he instructed him to abstain from the one tree while enjoying the others, so in this case too. After permitting the consumption of all foods without hesitation, he says, "except you are not to eat flesh with its lifeblood in it " So what does this statement mean? It means "strangled," for an animal's blood is its soul. So since they were about to offer sacrifices in the form of animals, he is teaching them in these words that as long as the blood has been set aside for me. the flesh is for you. In doing so, however, he is intent upon resisting in advance any impulse toward homicide. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 27.13.

9:5-6 Requiring a Reckoning

THE MURDER OF HUMAN BEINGS IS CON-DIMMED BY GOD. CHRYSOSTOM: "Whoever sheds someone's blood, his own will be shed in payment for that person's blood, because I have made the human person in God's image.' Consider, I ask you, how much fear he struck in them with that remark. He is saying even if you are not restrained from murderous hands by kinship or by a sense of fellowship of nature, and even if you thrust aside all brotherly feeling and become completely committed to a bloody murder, you must think twice. Consider the fact that the person has been created in God's image. Mark the degree of honor accorded him by God! Think on the fact that he has received authority over all creation. Then you will give up your murderous intent. So what does he mean? If someone has committed countless murders and shed so much blood, how can he give adequate satisfaction simply by the shedding of his own blood? Do not have these thoughts, human being that you are. Instead you do well to consider in advance that you will receive an immortal body that will have the capacity to undergo constant and everlasting punishment HOMILIES ON GENESIS 2214

Goo Requests Satisfactions. Emission was discovered by Strains God requires the blood now and in the future. He requires it now in the case of all orath that he decreed for a murderer, and as a toning with which a going holl is to be assended. At the end, at the time of the resurrection, God will require that animals returned to the standard of the

MURDER IS AN ACT OF INHUMAN AND

PECSONAL PECSONAL PECSONAL PECSONAL

BEASTLY CRUELTY. AMBROSE: "For your lifeblood and your souls I will require a reckoning of every beast and of the hand of man." He compared human iniquity to beastly wickedness and considered it to be even more culpable than the wildness of the hearts. For he added, "of every man's brother I will require the life of man." Actually beasts have nothing in common with us, are not united to us by any fraternal bond. If they harm a man, they harm somebody who is stranger, do not transgress the rights of nature, do not obliterate the affection of brotherhood. Therefore one who makes an attempt on his brother's life commits a more serious sin. For this reason the Lord threatened a more severe punishment by saying of the hand of his brother I will require a reckoning of the blood of man." Is not perhaps a brother someone of a rational nature come forth from a certain womb, so that we are united by a generation from the same mother? One single nature is mother of all humanity. Therefore we are all brothers generated by one and the same mother and

united by the same kinship. On Noah 26.94.

THE RESURBECTION OF THE BODY IS TESTI-FIED BY THE WORDS OF THE LORD. JOHN OF DAMASCUS: Moreover, sacred Scripture, too. restifies to the fact that there will be a resurrection of the body. Indeed, God already had said to Noah after the flood. "Even as the green herbs have I delivered them all to you: saving that flesh with blood of its life you shall not eat. And I will require your blood of your lives, at the hand of every beast I will require it. And at the hand of every man I will require the life of his brother. Whosoever shall shed man's blood, for that blood his blood will be shed: for I made man to the image of God." How can he require the blood of men at the hand of every beast, unless he raises the bodies of those who die? For beasts will not die in the place of human beings. ORTHODOX FAITH 4.22

PL 14-425: CSEL 32-480. *FC 37:402*.

9:8-17 GOD MAKES A COVENANT WITH NOAH

"Then God said to Noah" and to his som with him, "Behold. I establish my covenant with you and your descendants after you. "and with every living creature that is with you, the birds, the calls, and every beast of the earth with you, as many as came out of the art." "I establish my covenant with you, that never again shell all fish he cut off by the waters of a flood, and never again shell there be a flood to destroy the earth." "And God" said. "This is the sign of the covenant which I make between me and you and every living creature that

is with you, for all future generation: "If set my bow in the cloud, and it shall be a sign of the covenant between me and the earth. "When I bring clouds over the earth and the bow is seen in the clouds," I will remember my covenant which is between me and you and every living creature of all flesh; and the waters shall never again become a flood to destroy all flesh. "When the bow is in the clouds, I will look upon it and remember the everlating covenant between 60 and every timing creature of all flesh that is upon the earth." "God said to Noah," "This is the sign of the covenant which I bave established between me and all flesh that it upon the the earth."

I Gh: Heb repeats every beaut of the earth "LXX Noe" LXX the Lord God

Ownerwe God maken his covenant with Noah out of love and in order to eliminate all apprehension from his mind (Cauresourou). God promises that he will never again bring a flood upon earth, even if people become constantly habituated to following the evil thoughts of their inclination (Eurasus). This covenant, which God makes with Noah and with all those creatures that come out of the ark with him (Eurasus), will never be broken (Gassouro en Nazaszeu).

9:8-11 Establishine a Covenant

GOD MAKES HIS CONDANT WITH NOAS
OUT OF LOVE, CHENTOFOUS GOD'S purpose,
therefore, was to climinate all apprehension
from Noash's thinking and for him to be quite
assured that this would not happen again. He
assi, remember, Just as I brought on the deluge out of love, so as to put a stop to their
wickedness and pervent their going to further
extremes, so in this case too it is out of my
love that I promise never to do it again, so
that you may live free of all dread and in this
way see your present life to its close. Fence
way see your present life to its close. Fence
that you may live free of all dread and in this
I form an agreener, just as in human affairs.
I form an agreener, just as in human affairs.

Goo Will Niver Bring of New Dation with Conference of the Conferen

PC 82:185-86. PC 91:142.

9:12-15 The Rainbow a Sign of the Covenant

GOD ENTALISMES HIS COVERANT WITH
HUMAINIT AND EVERT LIVING CREATURE.
EPHERS THE STRIAN: After these things God
ands a covernant with Noah and with all those
who came out of the ark with him, saying. All
flesh shall never again persits in the waters of a
flood. I will set my bow in the clouds, and it
shall be a sign of the eternal covernant between
God and all flesh that is on the earth." COMMINITARY ON GREATES 64.5.2.3.

GOO WILL NEVER FORGET HIS COVENAYT.
GREGORY OF NAZIANZUS: WHO Thinds up the
water in the clouds? "The mirace of it when
the sets something whose nazure is to flow, on
clouds, that he fixes it there by his word! Ye the
pours out some of it on the face of the whole
earth, sprinkling it is all lake in due season. He
does not unleash the entire stock of water—the
cleaning of Noahis are was enough, and God
most true does not forget his own covenant.
THEROLOGICAL ORGANISS SLAS."

9:16-17 An Everlasting Covenant

FC 91:143-44. *lob 26:8. *FGFR 241.

9:18-29 THE DRUNKENNESS OF NOAH

¹⁸The sons of Noah" who went forth from the ark were Shem, Ham, and Japheth. Ham! was the father of Canaan. ¹⁸These three were the sons of Noah"; and from these the whole earth was peopled.

³⁸Noah* was the first tiller of the still. He planted a vineyard, ²¹ and he drank of the wine, and became drunk, and lay uncovered in his tent. ²³ And Ham, ²¹ the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brothers outside. ²³ Then Shem and Japhett took a garment, itali it upon both their shoulders, and walked backward and covered the nakedness of their father: their faces were turned away, and they did not see their father nakedness. ⁵⁴ When Noah* awoke from his wine and knew what his youngest son had done to him. ²⁵ he sale.

"Cursed be Canaan:

a slave of slaves shall be be to his brothers."

26 He also said

"Blessed by the Lord my God be Shem:" and let Canaan be his slave.

27 God enlarge Japheth.

and let him dwell in the tents of Shem; and let Canaan be his slave."

²⁸After the flood Noah* lived three hundred and fifty years. ²⁸All the days of Noah* were nine hundred and fifty years; and he died.

Or Bissard be the LORD, the God of Shem "LXX Nos "LXX Cham "LXX Bissard be the Lord God of Shem

OVENUM: Noah's drunkenness was due to hu ignorance (Tistonousir). He got drunk in grorance (Tistonousir). He got drunk dream the great in gitting without drinking any wine (Eistussas). White is not evil in itself its rather in its abso (Cistonousiro). White is not evil in itself its valunicrability symbolites the passion of Christ Victorians, Jistonousirosi, Wine made Noah vulnerable (LANOUSIRO SPULIA). The Land betased those who covered his shame (CLEMENT OF ALEXADINA).
After Ham sees Noah in his drunkenness

and nakedness, his son Canaan is cursed. because Ham, who had been blessed by Noah when entering the ark, could not be cursed now (EPHREM). Canaan is cursed by Noah because the sons are bound by the sins of their parents (Augustine, Justin Martyr). Not only is Canaan subjected to punishment but also his father, and it is likely that Canaan himself committed sin (CHRYSOSTOM. EPHREM). Noah is naked, but he is not ashamed because he is filled with spiritual gladness, while the one who mocks him remains exposed to reproach. Canaan's punishment demonstrates to us above all else what great reverence is due to our parents (AMBROSE). The word slave is first used by Noah in connection with the curse on Canaan's wrongdoing (Augustine), After cursing Canaan, Noah blesses Shem and

NOAM'S DENNESSNESS IS DEE TO INVO BANKS. THEODORIST OF US Mys was Noah now blamed for falling into druskenness? His falling was not due to intemperance but inceprience. For he was the first man in press the first of the vien and was glooman not only of the power of the drink but also of the kind of change is had undergone. Because it would be the second of the company of the power of the second of the company of the power of the second of the company of the power of the drink but also of the kind of change is had undergone. Because it would be the second of the company of the work of the company of the company of the term now some people ideep naked, and fee reven now some people ideep naked, and fee reven now some people ideep naked, after the property of the company of the company of the property of the company of the company of the property of the company of

defense of his nakedness. OUESTIONS ON

GENESIS 26.2

Noan's DEUNEASSNESS IS MAGNITHES BY LOOK ASTRUMENT. EPHRAM THE SYLLAN Noah's drunkenness was not from an excess of wise hus because in had been a long time since he had drunk any wine. In the art he had drunk no wine. Although all flish was agoing to perish. Noah was not permitted to bring say wise onnot the air. Desiring the year of the perish of th

9:18-21 Noah Planted a Vineyard

Japheth (EPHREM).

The first man mentioned in Scripture to make wine. 4QO 53.

and not the time for planting a vineyard. Therefore, seeing that it was in the third year that he planted the vineyard from the grape stones that he brought with him on the ark and that it was three or even four years before they would have become a productive vineyard, there were then at least six years during which the just one had nor tasted any wine. COMMENTARY ON GENESIS "Tall."

Wast Is Now Ever to Incres Consecut TOM: Perhaps, on the other hand, someone might say. "Why was vine dressing, source of such terrible wickedness, introduced into life?" Do not idly blurt our what comes into your head, O man: vine dressing is not wicked nor is wine evil-rather, it is use of them in excess. You see, dreadful sins arise not from wine as such but from intemperate attitudes of human depravity that undermine the benefit that should naturally come from it. The reason that now after the deluge he shows you the use of wine is that you may learn that before using wine the human race had to come to grief from it. Before wine had even appeared, human history gave evidence of the extremity of sinfulness and unbridled licentiousness. This was intended to teach you that when you see the way wine is used, you will not attribute it all to wine as such but to deprayed human intention bent on evil. Consider especially where wine has proved useful. and tremble, O man. For wine is used in good things by which our salvation is made real. Those who have an insight into spiritual realities understand this saying. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 20.10.

WINE MADE NOAH VULNERABLE. LEANDER OF SEVILLE: "Woe to you that demand strong

drink as soon as they rise in the morning, and linger into the high-while wine infinite set them? "Noth drank when and fill into a drunker stuppe and became naked in the more shameful part of his body's so that you may know that the mind of man is so you founded by wine and the reason of the human mind is made so dull that it does not have concern even for itself, much less for God... When Let was sounded with wine, he committed incess with his daughters and did not know his mistake from that passionate union came the Mashites and the Ammonites. This TRANKHON ON NEWS 10.2.

THE LORD BLESSED THOSE WHO COVERED HIS SHAME. CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA: That is why the drunkenness of Noah also has been described, so that we may guard against drunkenness as much as possible, with the picture of such a fall clearly described before our eyes in Scripture. That is why, too, the Lord blessed those who covered the shame of

his drunkenness.

Scripture, summing everything up in one succinct verse, has said, "Wine is sufficient for a man well taught, and upon his bed, he shall rest." CHREST THE EDUCATOR 2-2-14-10

CHASTITY COVERED WHAT DRUNKENNESS HAD EXPOSED. CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA: The chaste son could not endure looking upon the immodest nakedness of a good man; chastity covered over what drunkenness had exposed in a transgression committed in ignorance but manifest to all. Christ The Educa-TOR 2.6.5.1.

FC 91:144. FC 82:205". 'Is 5:11. 'CY. Gen 9:30-38. 'FC 62:213. 'Gen 9:21. 'CY. Ser 31:19. "FC 23:124. "FC 23:138.

Noah's Drunkenness Prefigures the Passion of Christ. Cyprian: When Christ says." I am the true vine." ¹² the blood of

says, "I am the true vine,"12 the blood of Christ is assuredly not water but wine. We are redeemed and made alive by his blood. But in the cup it is not wine as such that redeems but his blood. This is declared by the sacrament and testimony of all the Scriptures. For we find this even in Genesis also, in respect of the sacrament prefigured in Noah. That he drank wine was to them a precursor and figure of the Lord's passion. Noah was made drunk by this wine, was made naked in his household, was lying down with his thighs naked and exposed, and the nakedness of the father was observed by his second son and was told abroad but was covered by two, the eldest and the youngest, and other matters which it is not necessary to follow out. It is enough for us simply to embrace the understanding that Noah set forth a type of the future truth. Noah did not drink water but wine and thus expressed in advance the figure of the passion of the Lord. LETTERS 63.2-3.15

NOAR'S DISHONOR PRESIDENT THE

Caosa, Jasonia After the delage Noah drank and beamed ratin, in his own boase, and the third plant when the light has a consistent of the light has a consis

9:22-25 Noah Curses Canaan

WHY CANAAN WAS CURSED, EDHDEM THE SYRIAN: Noah cursed Canaan, saving, "Cursed be Canaan. A slave of slaves shall be be to his brothers "Bur what sin could Canaan have committed even if he had been right behind his father when Ham observed the nakedness of Noah? Some say that because Ham had been blessed along with those who entered the ark and came out of it. Noah did not curse Ham himself, even though his son, who was cursed, grieved him greatly. Others, however, say from the fact that Scripture says," Noah knew everything that his youngest son had done to him " it is clear that it was not Ham who observed his nakedness, for Ham was the middle son and not the youngest. For this reason they say that Canaan, the youngest, told of the nakedness of the old man. Then Ham went out and jokingly told his brothers. For this reason then, even though it might be thought that Canaan was cursed unjustly in that he did what he did in his youth, still he was cursed justly for he was not cursed in the place of another. Noah knew that Canaan would deserve the curse in his old age, or else he would not have been cursed in his youth.16 COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 7-3-1-2-17

"In 15.1, "ACW 46699, "Net 20.5", "FC 405." Righter on serviced about the general register of Economic height content height content of the history flower filter of Canasas," as the bibliotic pagaset presents agreement. [Johnson makes or aggreement, both derway on robbinic seagens (of Emerichia Robbs 73.11). The content of the first of the first of the first of the content of the content of the content of the content of the first of the first of the first of the content of the content of the content of the first of the fi

THE SINS OF THE PARENTS FALL ON THE CHILDREN. AUGUSTINE: Why did Ham sin and yet vengeance was declared against his son Canaan? Why was the son of Solomon punished by the breaking up of the kingdom?18 Why was the sin of Ahab, king of Israel, visited upon his posterity?29 How do we read in the sacred books, "Returning the iniquity of the fathers into the bosom of their children after them" and "Visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation?"20 The number here can be taken for all the descendants. Are these statements false? Who would say this but the most open enemy of the divine words? Then carnal generation even of the people of God of the Old Testament binds children for the sins of their parents. Against Julian 6,24,82.21

WHY THE CURRE BRAKE WITTERT SON'S SON, IGNITH MARYEN IN the Blessings with which Noah blesses his two sons, he also curses his son's son., he also curses his son's son. For the propheric Spirit would not curse that son himself, since he had already been blessed by God, together with the other sons of Noah. But, since the ponsishment of the sin was to be transmitted down to all the posteries of he son who laughded at his father's anddry, he made the curse begin with the son's son. Dancour with Tarwin 193.¹²

BOTH CANAM AND HAK ARE SUBJECTED TO GOO'S PORTHUM TO GOO'

fathers have begged to endure punishment in place of their children. Seeing their children bearing punishment proves a more grievous form of chastisement for the fathers than being subject to it themselves. Accordingly, this incident occurred so that Ham should endure greater anguish on account of his natural affection, so that God's blessing should continue without impairment and so that his son in being the object of the curse should atone for his own sins. You see, even if in the present instance he bears the curse on account of his father's sin, nevertheless it was likely that he was atonine for his own failings. In other words, it was not only for his father's sin that he bore the curse but perhaps also for the purpose of his suffering a heavier penalty on his own account. After all, for proof that parents are not punished for their children, nor children for their parents, each being liable for the sins he has committed, you can find frequent statements among the inspired authors-as, for instance, when they say, "The teeth of the one eating sour grapes shall be set on edge,"23 "The soul that shall die is the soul that sins,"24 and again, "Parents shall not die for their children, nor children for their parents.*25 Homilies on Genesis 20.21.26

THE GREATEST REVERENCE IS DUE TO OUR PARISTS. AMBROSE: When we read that he was blessed who was blessed by his father and that he was cursed who was cursed by his father, we learn above all else what great reverence to show our parents. And God gave

Kings 12. ³³ I Kings 21:29. ³² Jer 32:18: Ex 20:5. ³² FC 35:394.
 JMO 2454. ³² Jer 38:30 LXX. ³² Enek 18:20. ³² Deut 2:16.
 FC 82:212-13.

this privilege to parents so as to arouse respect in the children. The formation of the children is, then, the prerogative of the parents. Therefore honor your father that he may bless you. PATRIARCHS L.L.27

THE WORD SLAVE USED FOR THE FIRST TIME. AUGUSTINE: When subjection came, it was merely a condition deservedly imposed on sinful man. So, in Scripture, there is no men-

rion of the word slave until holy Noah used it in connection with the curse on his son's wrongdoing.28 CITY OF GOD 19-15-29

9:26-29 Noah Blesses Two Sons

NOAH BLESSES SHEM AND JAPHETH. EPHREM THE SYRIAN: After Ham had been cursed through his one son, Noah blessed Shem and Japheth and said, "May God increase lapheth, and may he dwell in the tent of Shem, and let Canaan be their slave." lapheth increased and became powerful in his inheritance in the north and in the west. And God dwelt in the tent of Ahraham, the descendant of Shem, and Canaan became their slave when in the days of Joshua son of Nun, the Israelites destroyed the dwelling places of Canaan and pressed their leaders into bondage. 30 COMMENTARY ON GENESIS 7.4.1.31

PFC 65:243. **Thus slavery is not natural to the human condition but comes about only under sinful conditions. 26FC 24:222". "losh 17:13. "FC 91:146.

10:1-32 THE DESCENDANTS OF JAPHETH. HAM AND SHEM

These are the generations of the sons of Noah," Shem, Ham, and Japheth; sons were born to them after the flood.

2 The sons of Japheth: Gomer, Magoe, Madai, Javan, Tubal, Meshech, and Tiras. 3 The sons of Gomer: Ashkenaz, Riphath, and Togarmah. "The sons of Javan: Elishah, Tarshish, Kittim, and Dodanim. From these the coastland peoples spread. These are the sons of Japheth" in their lands, each with his own language, by their families, in their nations.

The sons of Ham: Cush, Egypt, Put, and Canaan. The sons of Cush: Seba, Havilah, Sabtah, Raamah, and Sabteca. The sons of Raamah: Sheba and Dedan. 8Cush became the father of Nimrod; he was the first on earth to be a mighty" man. "He was a mighty" hunter before " the Lord: therefore it is said, "Like Nimrod a mighty" hunter before " the Lord." 16 The beginning of his kingdom was Babel, Erech, and Accad, all of them* in the land of Shinar. 11 From that land he went into Assyria, 44 and built Nineveh, Rehoboth-Ir. 55 Calah. and ¹²Resen between Nineveb and Calab; that is the great city. ¹²Egypt⁵ became the father of "Ludim, Anamim, Lehabim, Naph-tubim, ¹⁴Pathrusim, Caslubim (whence came the Phillistines), and Caphtorim.

³³Canasa became the father of Sidon his first-horn, and Heth. ³³and the Jebusties, the Amorites, the Girgashites, ³³the Hivites, the Arkites, the Sinici, ³⁴the Arvadites, the Zemarites, and the Hamathites. Afterward the families of the Canaanites pread-horad. ³⁴And the territory of the Canaanites extended from Sidon, in the direction of Gerar, as far as Gaza, and in the direction of Sodom, Gemerah, Adamb, and Zeboiim, as far as Lusha. ³⁴These are the sons of Ham, y their families, their languages, their lands, and their nations.

³¹To Shem also, the father of all the children of Eher, the elder brother of Japheth,*** children were born. ³¹The 1901 of Shem: Elam, Asibur, Aryachishad, Lud, and Aram,*** ³¹The 1901 of Aram: Uze, Hul, Gether, and Mash, "Aryachishad secame the father of Shelah" ³¹The 1901 of the control of th

53 These are the families of the sons of Noah, according to their genealogies, in their nations; and from these the nations spread abroad on the earth after the flood.

a. Geogram von 28.1. Hild hat Them von in our of joints. The Commun. "Lift seems in play use in the promp Nath 1889-15 hat Commun. The Commun. "Lift seems in play use in the promp Nath 1889-15 hat Commun. "Lift seems in play the Commun. "Lift seems in play the Commun. "Lift seems in the Lift seems in the Commun. "Lift seems in the Lift seems in the

Overview: The narrative of Genesis mentions only those descendants of Japheth, Ham and Shem who were able to form their own families and to spread in different areas of the Middle East (Augustina). One of the descendants of Ham was Nimrod, who chased out the different nations, so that they settled down in various regions that the Lord had assigned to them (Epitagus). Nimrod was the first to seize despotic rule over the people (JEROME) and was a slave of ambition; he wanted to become a ruler and a king (CHRN-SOSTOM). Nimrod was not a servant of God but a tyrant who acted cruelly against his

brothers (PRUDENTIUS).

The passages in Genesis 10.20, 10.93 and 10.32 (see also 10.5); in which the different nations formed by the descendants of Japhert. Ham and Shem are described, refer to the period following the fall of the tower, when these nations already spoke their own languages (AUGUSTRO). One of the descendants of Shem was Eber, from whom the Hebrews originate (Jasous Trans).

10:1 The Generations of Noah's Sons

DESCENDANTS WHO FORMED FAMILIES AND NAMED ADDRESS WE MAKE THESE fore introduce into this work an explanation of the generations of the three sons of Noah, insofar as that may illustrate the progress in time of the two cities. Scripture first mentions the youngest son, who is called Japheth, who had eight sons, and by two of these sons seven grandchildren, three by one son, four by the others in all fifteen descendants blam Noah's middle son, had four sons, and by one of them five grandsons, and by one of these two great-grandsons; in all, eleven. After enumerating these. Scripture returns to the first of the sons and says, "Cush begat Nimrod: he began to be a giant on the earth." He was a giant hunter against the Lord God: hence they say, "Nimrod a mighty hunter before the Lord," And the beginning of his kingdom was Babylon, Erech, Accad and Calneb, in the land of Chinas Out of that land went forth Accus and built Nineveh, Rehoboth-Ir and Calah. and Resen between Nineveh and Calah: this was a great city." Now this Cush, father of the giant Nimrod, is the first-named among the sons of Ham to whom five sons and two grandsons are ascribed. But he either begat this giant after his grandsons were born or.

which is more credible. Scripture speaks of him separately on account of his eminence for mention is also made of his kingdom, which began with that magnificent city Babylon, and the other places, whether cities or districts. mentioned along with it. But what is recorded of the land of Shinar, which belonged to Nimrod's kingdom-that Assur went forth from it and built Nineveh and the other cities mentioned with it-happened long after. But he takes occasion to speak of it here on account of the grandeur of the Assyrian kingdom, which was wonderfully extended by Ninus son of Belus, and founder of the great city Nineveh, which was named after him, Nineveh, from Ninus, But Assur, father of the Assyrians, was not one of the sons of Ham. Noah's son, but is found among the sons of Shem, his eldest son. Whence it appears that among Shem's offspring there arose men who afterwards took possession of that giant's kingdom, and advancing from it, founded other cities the first of which was called Nineveh, from Ninus. From him Scripture returns to Ham's other son, Mizraim, His sons are enumerated, not as seven individuals but as seven parions. And from the sixth as it from the sixth son, the race called the Philistines are said to have sprung, so that there are in all eight. Then it returns again to Canaan. in whose person Ham was cursed, and his eleven sons are named. Then the territories they occupied, and some of the cities, are named. And thus, if we count sons and grandsons, there are thirty-one of Ham's descendants registered.

It remains to mention the sons of Shem, Noah's eidest son, for to him this genealogical narrative gradually ascends from the youngest. But in the commencement of the record of Shem's sons there is an obscurity that calls for explanation, since it is closely connected with the object of our investigation. For we read, "Unto Shem also, the father of all the children of Eher, the brother of lapheth the elder, were children born." This is the order of the words: And to Shem was born Eber, even to himself. that is, to Shem himself was born Eher, and Shem is the father of all his children. We are intended to understand that Shem is the patriarch of all his posterity who were to be mentioned, whether sons, grandsons, greatgrandsons or descendants at any distance. For Shem did not beget Eber, who was indeed in the fifth generation from him. For Shem begat, among other sons, Arpachshad; Arpachshad begat Cainan, Cainan begat Salah, Salah begat Eber. And it was with good reason that he was named first among Shem's offspring, taking precedence even of his sons, though only a grandchild of the fifth generation. For from him, as tradition says, the Hebrews derived their name, though the other etymology that derives the name from Abraham (as if Abrahews) may possibly be correct. But there can be little doubt that the former is the right etymology and that they were called after Eber, Heberews, and then, dropping a letter. Hebrews; and so was their language called Hebrew, which was spoken by none but the people of Israel among whom was the city of God mysteriously prefigured in all the people and truly present in the saints. Six of Shem's sons then are first named, then four grandsons born to one of these sons; then it mentions another son of Shem, who begat a grandson; and his son, again, or Shem's greatgrandson, was Eber. And Eber begat two sons and called the one Peleg, which means dividine." Scripture subjoins the reason of this name, saying, for in his days was the earth divided." What this means will afterwards

appear. Eber's other son gave birth to twelve sons: consequently all Shem's descendants are twenty-seven. The total number of the progenv of the three sons of Noah is seventy-three. fifteen by Japheth, thirty-one by Ham. twenty-seven by Shem. Then Scripture adds. "These are the sons of Shem, after their families, after their tongues, in their lands, after their nations." And so of the whole number "These are the families of the sons of Noah after their generations, in their nations; and by these were the isles of the nations dispersed through the earth after the flood." From which we gather that the seventy-three (or rather, as I shall presently show, seventytwo) were not individuals but nations. For in a former passage, when the sons of Japheth were enumerated, it is said in conclusion, "By these were the isles of the nations divided in their lands, every one after his language, in their

tribes and in their nations."

But nations are expressly mentioned among the sons of Ham, as I showed above. "Mizraim begat those who are called Ludim: and so also of the other seven nations." And after enumerating all of them it concludes, "These are the sons of Ham, in their families, according to their languages, in their territories, and in their nations." The reason, then, why the children of several of them are not mentioned is that they belonged by birth to other nations and did not themselves become nations. Why else is it that though eight sons are reckoned to Japheth, the sons of only two of these are mentioned; and though four are reckoned to Ham, only three are spoken of as having sons; and though six are reckoned to Shem, the descendants of only two of these are traced? Did the rest remain childless? We cannot suppose so: but they did not produce nations so great as to

warrant their being mentioned but were absorbed in the nations to which they belonged by birth. CITY OF GOD 16.3.² THE PASSAGES IN GENESIS REFER TO THE

PERIOD AFTER THE FALL OF THE TOWER AUGUSTINE: In the same book [of Genesis], when the generations of the sons of Noah are recalled to our minds, we read. "These are the children of Ham in their tribes according to their tongues, in their lands and nations." Also, in enumerating the sons of Shem, it is said."These are the children of Shem in their tribes according to their tongues, in their lands and nations."3 And this is added in reference to all of them: "These are the tribes of the sons of Noah, according to their generations and according to their nations. From these were the islands of the nations scattered over the earth after the flood. And the whole earth was one tongue, and there was one speech for all."4 And so, because this sentence was added: "And the earth was one tonesse and there was one speech for all" (that is, one language for them all), it could be inferred that at that time, when human beings had been scattered according to the islands of the nations over the earth, there was one language common to all of them. Without a doubt, this contradicts the words used above "according to their tribes and tongues." For, each single tribe that had formed individual nations would not be said to have had its own tongue when there was a common one for all. So it is by way of recapitulation that there is added: "And the earth was one tongue, and there was one speech for all." The narrative, without mentioning it, goes back to tell how it came about that the one language common to all

men was broken up into many tongues. And

immediately we are told about the building of

the tower, when this punishment for their pride was inflicted upon them by the divine judgment. After this event they were scattered over the earth according to their languages. Christian Instruction 3.16.51.

10:6-14 The Descendants of Ham

NIMROD CHASES OUT THE NATIONS ACCORDING TO GOD'S WILL EPHREM THE

Systams. Concerning Nimord, Mones said.

"He was a mighty hunter before the Lord,"
the was a mighty of the Lord, it
was he who fought with each of these nations
and chased them out from there, so the attach
would go out and settle in the regions that
had been set spart for them by God." Therefore it is said, like Nimord a mighty hunter
before the Lord." One used to bless a chief or
a ruler by saying, "May you be like Nimord, a
mighty hunter who was victorious in the
ties of the Lord." COMMENTARY ON GENESIS
8.1.2."

Nisano I S THE FERS TO RULL OVER THE PROPOLE, JASONE, THA CHAN [Could beggs Nimered. This man began to be powerful in the earth." And after a little white, it, rays, "And the beginning of his kingdom was Babel and Arach and Actada and Chalanne in the land of Senate [Shinar]." Nimrod, son of Chan, was the first to seize despectic rule over the control of the country of the control of the country of the land of Senate [Shinar]. The land of Senate [Shinar]. The land of the country of the land of land land of land land

^{*}NPNF 1 2/311-12. *Gen 10:20. *Gen 10:31. *Gen 10:32—

Then he also reigned in Arach, that is, in Edissa; and in Achad, which is now called Nisibis; and in Chalanne, which was later called Seleucia affer king Seleucus when its name had been changed and which is now in actual fact called Cresiphon. Hebrar Questions on Gibers 10.6-10.

NIMBOD DESIRED TO BECOME A KING. CHRYSOSTOM: Then sacred Scripture goes on from this point to tell of the children born to the sons in these words: "Now Ham became the father of Cush": and further, "Now. Cush became the father of Nebrod (Nimrod), who began to be a giant on earth. He was a giant hunter before the Lord." While some people say the phrase "before the Lord" means being in opposition to God, I on the contrary do not think sacred Scripture is implying this. Rather, it implies that [Nimrod] was strong and brave. But the phrase "before the Lord" means created by him, receiving from him God's blessing. Or it may mean that God was on the point of arousing our wonder through him by creating such a remarkable creature and displaying him before us on the earth. Nimrod too, however, in his turn in imitation of his forebear did not take due advantage of his natural preeminence but hit upon another form of servitude in endeavoring to become ruler and king. You see, there would not ever be a king unless there were people being ruled. But in that case freedom is seen for what it really is, whereas slavery is the most

galling obstacle to conditions of freedom, when all the more power is exercised over free people. See what ambition is guilty of.
Observe bodily strength not keeping to its limits but constantly lusting after more and clutching for glory. You see, the orders [Nim-ord] gaw were not those of a leader. Rather, he even builds otties with a view to ruling over the enous. Houstlass of Sinissia 20.26.

NIMBOD IS A TYRANT WHO FIGHTS
AGAINST HIS BROTHERS. PRUDENTIUS:
A Nimrod, who goes round the world, made

With deep ravines and wooden crags, and strives To waylay some by fraud and secret wiles, To vanquish others by his giant arms

To vanquish others by his giant arms And spread his deadly triumphs far and wide. Origin of Sin 144-48.⁹

10:24-25 Eber and His Sons

THE HEBREWS DESCEND FROM EBER.

JEROME: Eber, from whom the Hebrews
descended, because of a prophery gave his son
the name Peleg, which means 'division,' on
account of the fact that in his days the languages were divided up in Babylon. HEBREW
QUESTIONS ON GENESIS 10.24-25."

¹HQG 40-41. 1FC 82:218. 1FC 52:49. 1HQG 42.

11:1-9 THE TOWER OF BABEL

"Now the whole earth had one language and few words." And at men migrated from the east, they found a plain in the land of Shinar" and settled there. "And they said to one another." Come, let us make brichs, and burn them thoroughly." And they had brick for stone, and bitumen for mortar. "Then they said." Come, let us build surrelves a city, and a tower with its roy in the beavers, and it us make a mone for ourselves, its we be statted abroad upon the face of the whole earth." And the Lous came down to see the city and the tower, which the seun of men had built." And the Lous came down to see the city and the tower, which the seun of men had built." And the Lous said." Behold, they are one people, and they have all one language; and this is only the beginning of what they will des and nothing that they propose to do will now be impossible for them. "Come, let us go down, and there confuse their language, that they may not understand one another's speech." "So the Lous scattered them abroad from there over the face of all the earth, and they left of building the city." "Therefore its name was called Babel." because there the Lous confused the language" of all the earth; and from there the Lous scattered them abroad over the face of all the carth:

p Compare Heb balal, confers "LXX one speech for all "LXX Sensears "LXX And a man said to his neighbor "LXX with fire "LXX able and the tower "LXX confusion (Synchrol)" "LXX languages

Ownersew The Septuagite renders Babel as Balysien and in this passage translates it at Confusion. The men who migrate from the cast in order to from Balysien are fail by ambition and pride (Cauxonorous), Balysies is from the property of the confusion and pride (Cauxonorous), Balysies is fromded by Nimes, as the capital of the foundable of the confusion of the c

When God says, "Come, let us go down and there confuse their language," he is addressing the other persons of the Trinity (ADGUSTERE). The Son is the one who is sent to the earth in order to confuse the language (NONTAN). Since the inhabitants of Babvion use the privi-

lege of having a single language for evil purpose. God confuses their speech so that they are not able to understand each other anymore (Сыяхоотом). God sees that they are able to build the tower because they speak the same language. Therefore he confuses their language in order to prevent them from finishing their building (ComMootan).

When the inhabitants of Babylon lose their language, a war breaks out among them. Nimrod is the one who wins this war and becomes the ruler of Babylon after he has scattered the population of the city throughout the earth (EPHREM). The inhabitants of Babylon are scattered for their welfare (IRBOME). The

*CE Gen 10:10.

doom of the tower of Babylon is a constant warning for those who want to achieve fame by building splendid houses (Chrysostom).

11:1-4 The Tower of Babel

THOSE WHO FOUNDED BARYLON LED BY AMBITION. CHRYSOSTOM: "When they traveled from the east, they found open country in the land of Sennar [Shinar] and settled there." Notice how the human race, instead of managing to keep to its own boundaries, always longs for more and reaches out for greater things. This is what the human race has lost in particular, not being prepared to recognize the limitations of its own condition but always lusting after more, entertaining ambitions beyond its capacity. In this regard, too, when people who chase after the things of the world acquire for themselves much wealth and status, they lose sight of their own nature, as it were, and aspire to such heights that they topple into the very depths. You could see this happening every day without others being any the wiser from the sight of it. Instead, they pause for a while but immediately lose all recollection of it and take the same road as the others and fall over the same precipice. This is exactly what you can see happening to these people in the present instance: "When they traveled from the east, they found open country in the land of Sennar [Shinar] and settled there." See how in gradual stages it teaches us the instability of their attitude. When they saw the open country (the text says), they packed up and left their previous dwelling and settled down there. HOMILIES ON GENESIS 30.5.2

NIMROD IS THE FOUNDER OF BABYLON.
AUGUSTINE: This city named "Confusion"

was none other than Babylon, to whose marvelous construction pagan history brings testimonies. For Babylon means "confusion." It would seem that the founder of the city was the giant Nimrod, as was noticed above,3 In mentioning him, the Scripture tells us that Babylon was the head of his kingdom, meaning at the head of all the other cities, the canital where the government of the kingdom had its seat. However, the city never reached the kind of completion that the pride of impious men had dreamed. The actual plan called for an immense height-it was mean: to reach the sky. This perhaps refers to one of its towers, which was to be higher than all the others, or perhaps the word tower may mean all the towers much as "horse" can mean thousands of horsemen. CITY OF GOD 16.4.4

THE BUILDERS OF THE TOWER DEEY GOD. AUGUSTINE: After the flood, as if striving to fortify themselves against God, as if there could be anything high for God or anything secure for pride, certain proud men built a tower, ostensibly so that they might not be destroyed by a flood if one came later. For they had heard and recalled that all iniquity had been destroyed by the flood. They were unwilling to abstain from iniquity. They sought the height of a tower against a flood: they built a lofty tower. God saw their pride. and he caused this disorder to be sent upon them, that they might speak but not understand one another, and tongues became different through pride. TRACTATES ON THE GOS-PEL OF JOHN 6,10.2.

PC 82/222-23. *See Gen 10:10. *PC 14:495*. *PC 78:135-39.

THE TOWER IS BUILT BY THE GIANTS.
PSEUDO-DIONYSTUS: What about the war of
the giants, described in Genesis? It is said,
God was afraid of those powerful men and
tricked them, even though they were building
their tower not to harm anyone but for their
own preservation. LETTERS 9-11058.

11:4-8 God Confuses Their Language

GOD REFERS TO THE TRINITY WHEN HE Save "Let He Go Down " Anguerme- Ir is conceivable that here there may have been an allusion to the Trinity, if we suppose that the Father said to the Son and the Holy Spirit, "Come, let us descend and confound their tongue." The supposition is sound. But if so, we must rule out the possibility that angels were meant. And surely it is more proper for the angels to come to God unbidden, moved by grace, that is, by the thoughts that make them devoutly submissive to unchanging truth as to the eternal law that rules their heavenly court. The angels are not their own criterion of truth, but, depending on creative truth, they move unbidden toward it as roward a fountain of life from which they must imbibe what they do not have of themselves. And their motion is without change. since they keep coming, never to depart, CITY OF GOD 16.6.

THE SON IS THE ONE WHO DESCENDE TO EARTH AND CONFUSES THE LANGUAGE.

NOVATIAN! Moses represents God as descending to the tower that the sons of men were building, seeking to inspect it and asyring.

"Come, let us go down quickly, and there contact their language, so that they may not understand one another's speech." Who do the heretick think was the God that descended

visit these men? Was he God the Father? In that case, God is enclosed in a place. If so, how then does he embrace all things? Or is in possible that he speaks of an angel descending with other angels and saving. "Come, let us on down quickly, and there confuse their language"? On the contrary, we note in Deuteronomy that it was God who recounted these things and God who spoke, where it is written: "When he scattered abroad the sons of Adam, he set up the boundaries of the people according to the number of the angels of God.*8 Therefore the Father did not descend. nor did an angel command these things, as the narrative clearly indicates. Accordingly, the only remaining conclusion is that he descended of whom the apostle Paul says, "He who descended, he it is who ascended also above all heavens, that he might fill all things."3 that is, the Son of God, the Word of God. ON THE TRINITY 17.7.11

to the tower in this passage and then sought to

WHY DOSS GOD CONTERS THE LANGUAGE OF THE CITYLESS OF BARTLOT CHRISTON-TON: This in fact is the way the Lord is accurated to behave. This is what he did in the beginning in the case of the [first] woman of her, and for that reason he subjected her to her abund. Again, no, in the case of the product of the control of the reason here. And for that reason he subjected her to her hashand, Again, no, in the case of the product of the control of the product o

*PSD 282. *PC 14:498-99". *Deut 32:8. *Eph 4:10. **FC

let the earth yield." So when the people in the present case, when the present case, who had been dignified using a similarity of language, used the privilege given them for evil purposes, he pur a super to impulse of their wickedness through creating early the purposes. The purposes were present to the purpose of their wickedness through creating early early to the suppose the purpose was that, just as similarity of language pose was that, just as similarity of language achieved their involved progress. So different language might cause dispersal among them. HOMILIES OF SORENTS 10.2.11.

GOD PREVENTS THEM PRON FENISHING
THERE TOWNS COMMODIAN: They foolishly
began to build a tower that rouched the stars
and thought they might be able to climb the
skies with it. But God, seeing that their work
proceeded because they spoke the same language, intervened and caused them to speak
different languages. Then he scattered them
by isolating them in the islands of the earth,
so that nations speaking different tongues
arose. Story or Two Propulses inclosed.

11:9 God Scatters the People Throughout

AFTER GOD CONTURES THE LANGUAGE, A WAR BRAKES OTE: FIRMES IT STEELDS IT is likely that they lost their common language when they received these new language. For if their original language than on persished their first deed would not have come to nothing. It was when they lost their original language, which was lost by all the nations, with one exception, that their first building came to nought. In addition, because of their new languages, which made them foreigness to each other and incapable of understanding on another, was troke our same them or

account of the divisions that the languages brought among them. Thus was broke our manough those who allow the building that fortified city out of fear of others. And all these who had been keeping themselves away from the city were scattered throughout the entire earth. It was Nimeing themselves away from the city were scattered throughout the entire was also he who seatered them. It was also he who seatered that and became its first ruler. If Nimeine bad not scattered them each to his own place, he would not have been also to take that place where they all hald loved before. COMMENTANY ON GENERIS 8,342.

THE BUILDING AND DISBANDED FOR THEM.

OWN WILKEARS, JOSONE') just as when hely men live together, it is a great grace and blesse, in great great

THE DOM OF THE TOWER MUST BE REAGANDED AS A WARNING. CHRYSOSTOM: There are many people even today who in imitation of them want to be remembered for such achievements, by building splendid homes, baths, porches and avenues. I mean, if you were to sake each of them why they toil and labor and lay our such great expense to no good purpose, you would hear nothing but these very works. They would be seeking to

¹⁰Gm 3:18. ¹⁰FC 83:229. ¹⁰CCL 128:79. ¹⁴FC 91:147-48. ¹⁵FC 48:176.

ensure that their memory survives in perpetuing not loave it said that this is the house belonging to so-and-so. This is the property of so-and-so. This, on the contrary, is worthy not of commemoration but of condemnation. For hard upon those words come other remarks equivalent to countless accusations— "belonging to so-and-so the grapping miser, despoiler of widows and orphans." So such behavior is calculated not to earn remembrance but to measure unremitting accusations.

demnation of the person who acquired these

utation. I will show you the way to succeed as being remembered for every achievement ania, to good with an excellent name, to provide ains, along with an excellent name, to prought yourself with great condisioners in the ground to come. How then will you manage host to be come the recipient of tributes even after passing from one life to the next If you give any these goods of yours into the hands of the pose, listing no of previous stoners, may continue to the process transets, marginers and baths. Hostizes on Greatest and the continue of the process that the continue of the process that the process that the continue of the process that the p

¹⁶FC 82:224

11:10-28 THE NARRATIVE RETURNS TO SHEM AND HIS DESCENDANTS

¹⁰These are the descendants of Shem. When Shem was a hundred years old, he became the father of Arpachshad two years after the flood; ¹¹and Shem lived after the birth of Arpachshad five hundred years, and had other sons and daughters.¹

¹²When Arpachshad* had lived thirty-five years, he became the father of Shelahs ¹³ and Arpachshad lived after the birth of Shelahs four hundred and three years, and had other sons and dauphers. ¹⁷

¹⁸When Shelah had lived thirty** years, he became the father of Eber; ¹⁵and Shelah lived after the birth of Eber four hundred** and three years, and had other sons and daughters.

¹⁶When Eber had lived thirty-four?* years, he became the father of Peleg: ¹⁷and Eber lived after the birth of Peleg four hundred** and thirty years, and had other sons and daughters.

"When Peleg had lived thirry years," he became the father of Reu; "and Peleg lived after the birth of Reu wwo bundred and nine years, and had other sons and daughters."

"When Reu had lived thirry-two!" years, he became the father of Serug; "land Reu lived after the birth of Serug two hundred" and seven years, and had other sons and daughters."

²²When Serug had lived thirty years, he became the father of Nahor; ²³ and Serug lived after the birth of Nahor two hundred years, and had other sons and daughters.⁷

²⁴When Nahor had lived twenty-nine*** years, he became the father of Terah; ²¹and Nahor lived after the birth of Terah a hundred and nineteen*** years, and had other sons and daughters.

²⁶When Terah had lived seventy years, he became the father of Abram, Nahor, and Haran

²⁷Now these are the descendants of Terab. Terab was the father of Abram. Nahor, and Haran: and Haran was the father of Loc. ²⁸Haran died before his father Terah in the land of his hirth. in U^{r46} of the Chaldean.

"LXX serious in spiling within the principe Argonishmid (RXV)-Arphanal (LXXX). Stabilishdad: Prings-Plankir, Rent-Regain, Serieg-Screeck, Nation-Vallation Tennis Thines Hearing-Armonic "LXX also and deal" LXXX is instant and thirties of "LXXX forms" "LXXX also and deal" LXXX is located and driver years and they series of the located and driver years and they series of the located and driver years and they series of the located and driver years and they series of the located and driver years and they series of the located and driver years and the located and driver years. "LXXX is handed and driver years "LXXX is handed and driver years" LXXX is handed and driver years "LXXX is handed and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and the located and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver years. "LXXX is series and driver years." LXXX is series and driver

Ovasvraws After describing the earthy city of Babel, Scripture reserve to the pious partiarth Shem, whose line of descendant leads to Abraham. The years from the flood to Abraham. The years from the flood to Abraham are 1,072. Abraham was born in the land of the Chaldeans, who were deeply innersed unusholsy uperstitions. Only the family of Abraham worshiped the true God (Acoustrus) and therefore was persecuted by Chaldeans, who even account Flaran, the brother of Abraham (Baoswi).

11:10-23 The Descendants of Shem

SCRIPTUR RAVBERT TO SHEM AND HIS DESCRIPTUR RAVBERT TO SHEM AND HIS DESCRIPTUR ALMOSTENS IT IS OBCRESSED, ALMOSTENS IT IS OBCRESSED, THEREFOR, TO PRESERVE THE SERIES OF GENERAL GRADE AND SHEM, for the asker of exhibiting the city of God after the flood. As before exercised persons of the flood it was exhibited in the series of generations descending from Seth, now it is descending from Seth, now it is descending from Shem. And therefore does divine Scripture, after exhibiting the earthly city and the series of generations of generations of the series of generations of the series of generat

patriarch Shem and recapitulate the generations from him to Abraham, specifying the year in which each father gave birth to the son that belonged to this line and how long he lived. And unquestionably it is this that fulfills the promise I made, that it should appear why it is said of the sons of Eher. "The name of the one was Peleg, for in his days the earth was divided."1 For what can we understand by the division of the earth, if not the diversity of languages? And, therefore, omitting the other sons of Shem, who are not concerned in this matter. Scripture gives the genealogy of those by whom the line runs on to Abraham, as before the flood those are given who carried on the line to Noah from Seth. Accordingly this series of generations begins thus: "These are the generations of Shem: Shem was a hundred years old and begat Arpachshad two years after the flood. And Shem lived after he begat Arpachshad five hundred years and

IC-- 10.05

begat sons and daughters." In like manner it registers the rest, naming the year of his life in which each begat the son who belonged to that line that extends to Abraham. It specifies, too, how many years he lived thereafter, begetting sons and daughters, that we may not childishly suppose that the men named were the only men, but that we may understand how the population increased and how regions and kingdoms so vast could be populated by the descendants of Shem. Especially this is true of the kingdom of Assyria, from which Ninus subdued the surrounding nations, reigning with brilliant prosperity and bequeathing to his descendants a yast but thoroughly consolidated empire, which held together for many centuries. CITY OF GOD 16.10.2

11:24-28 The Ancestors of Abram

THE TIME SPAN BETWEEN THE FLOOD AND ABBAHAM. AUGUSTINE: Bur to avoid needless prolixity, we shall mention not the number of years each member of this series lived but only the year of his life in which he gave birth to his heir, that we may thus reckon the number of years from the flood to Abraham and may at the same time leave room to touch briefly and cursorily upon some other matters necessary to our argument. In the second year, then, after the flood, Shem when he was 100 years old begat Arpachshad: Arpachshad when he was 135 years old begat Cainan; Cainan when he was 130 years begat Salah. Salah himself, too, was the same age when he begat Eber. Eber lived 134 years and begat Peleg, in whose days the earth was divided. Peleg himself lived 130 years and begat Reu: and Reu lived 132 years and begat Serug; Serug 130, and begat Nahor; and

Nahor 79, and begat Terah; and Terah 70, and begat Abram, whose name God afterwards changed into Abraham. There are thuj from the flood to Abraham 1,072 years, according to the common or Septungiant versions. In the Hebrew copies far fewer years are given, and for this either no reason or a not very credible one is given. CITY or God 16.10.2.

ABRAHAM WORSHIPED THE TRUE GOD. AUGUSTINE: When, therefore, we look for the city of God in these seventy-two nations, we cannot affirm that while they had but one tongue, that is, one language, the human race had departed from the worship of the true God. Nor can we conclude that genuine godliness had survived only in those generations that descend from Shem through Arpachshad and reach to Abraham. But from the time when they proudly built a tower to heaven, a symbol of godless exaltation, the city or society of the wicked becomes apparent. Whether it was only disguised before or nonexistent, whether both cities remained after the flood-the godly in the two sons of Noah who were blessed and in their posterity, and the ungodly in the cursed son and his descendants, from whom sprang that mighty hunter against the Lord-is not easily determined CITY OF GOD 16.10.4

THE FAMILY OF ABRAHAM WAS PERSE-CUTED FOR ITS PIETT. JEROME: "And Aran [Haran] died before his father in the land in which he was born in the territory of the Chaldeans." In place of what we read [in the LXX] as "in the territory of the Chaldeans," in

"NPNE 1 2/316. "NPNE 1 2/316. "NPNE 1 2/316".

the Hebrew it has "in ur Chesdim," that is, "in the first of the Chaldeans." Moreover the Hebrews, taking the opportunity afforded by this verse, hand on a story of this sort to the effect that Archands mus put into the fire because he refused to worship the fire, which the Chaldeans hoosen, and that he escaped through God's help and fleef from the fire of idularity. What is written [in the LXX] in the following verses, that Thata [Terah] with his following verses, that Thata [Terah] with his following verses, that Thata [Terah] with his Chaldeans," stands in place of what is contained in the Hebrew, "from the fire of the Chaldeans." And they maintain that this refers to what is said in this verse: 'Aran died before the face of Thara in the land of his birth in the fire of the Chaldeans'; that it, because he refused to worship fire, he was consumed by fire. HEBREW QUESTIONS ON GRINESS 11.28."

Gen 11:31. *HOG 43.

11:29-32 ABRAHAM AND HIS FATHER, TERAH, MOVE TO MESOPOTAMIA

²⁶And Abram and Nahor* took wives; the name of Abram's wife was Sarai, and the name of Nahor's wife, Milcah, the daughter of Haran the father of Milcah and Iscah. ²⁶Now Sarai was barren; she had no child.

³¹Terah took Abram his son and Lot the son of Haran, his grandson, and Sarai his daughter-in-law, his son Abram's wife, and they went forth together from Ur' of the Chaldeans to go into the land of Canaan; but when they came to Haran," they settled there.

³²The days of Terah were two bundred and five years; and Terah died in Haran."

*LXX seriants is spelling within this periospin Nather (RSV)=Naither (LXX); Samis-Sam; Militah=Meldha; Haran=Arran; Istah=Iescha; Terah=Thara ¹LXX the land *LLXX Charran

Overview: Abraham and his brother Nahor marry Sarai and Milcha. Sarai is also called Iscah in the biblical narractive (AUGUSTINE, JEROME). Terah, Abraham and his family leave the land of the Chaldeans and move to Mesopotamia (AUGUSTINE). Abraham is the one who leads his father and his family to Mesopotamia after God had appeared to him (Caursogross). Nahot, Abraham's brother, lapses into the superstition of the Chaldeans and later, by reason of his repentance, emigrates into Mesopotamia as Abraham had done before (Augustine). Terah dies in Mesopotamia, but it is not possible to establish the exact number of years that he had spent in that land (Augustine).

11:29-30 Abram and Nahor Take Wives

IBCAH IS THE SAME AS SARAI. AUGUSTINE:
"And Abram and Nahor took them wives: the
name of Abram's wife was Sarai; and the name
of Nahor's wife Milcah, the daughter of
Haran, the father of Milcah, and the father of
Iscah." This Iscah is supposed to be the same
as Sarai, Abraham's wife. Citt or God 16.12."

11:31 Terah Leaves Ur and Settles in Haran

TREMA ABASIAN AND THURS FASTILL LARVY ULA ADDRESS NEVER THE RESEARCH AND THE REGISTER OF THE RESEARCH AND TH

REALTHONSHIPS WITHIN THE EMIGRATING FAMILY, JIROMEY ATAIL (Flarar) was the sen of Thara (Terah), the brother of Abram and Nachor (Nahor), and he fathered two daughters, Meicha (Mikicha) and Saraw who, surnamed jesza (Iscah), had two names. Of these Nachor tools Meicha as wife, and Abram tool. Sarai, because marriages between uncles and brothers' daughters had not yet been forbidbid the sent of den by the law. Even marriages between brothers and sisters were contracted among the first human beings. HEBREW QUESTIONS ON GENESIS II.20.1

ARRAHAM LEADS HIS FAMILY OUT OF THE LAND OF THE CHALDEANS, CHRYSOSTOM: Since, however, I have made mention of the patriarch, let us put before your good selves today's reading, if you do not mind, so as to explain it and thus see the extraordinary degree of the good man's virtue." Thara [Thera]," the text says, "took his sons Abraham and Nachor, his son's son Lot, and his daughter-in-law Sarah, his son Abram's wife. and led them from the land of Chaldea to journey into the land of the Canaanites. He went as far as Charran [Haran] and settled there. Thara lived two hundred and five years in Charran, and died in Charran." Let us attend precisely to the reading. I beseech you. so as to manage to grasp the plain sense of the writings. Note, in fact, right in the beginning there seems to be a question in the words used. This blessed author-Moses, I meansays. Thara took Abraham and Nachor and led them from the land of Chaldea to journey into the land of the Canaanites. He went as far as Charran and settled there." The blessed Stephen would later use the following words in praising the lews: "The God of glory appeared to our father Abraham when he was in Mesopotamia before he settled in Charran ... and after his father died he led him there to settle." So what does that mean? Is sacred Scripture inconsistent with itself? Not at all:

rather, you need to understand from this that

'NPNF 1 2-318. 'NPNF 1 2-318-19. 'HQG 43. 'Noor the variants in the LXX that Chrystotom was reading. 'Acts 7-2.4.

since the son was God-fearing. God appeared to him and called upon him to move there. His father Thara, though he happened to be a heathen, nevertheless for the affection he he heathers have been as the singular of the son agreed to accompany him in his migration. He went to Charran, settled there and thus ended his life. Then it was that the patriarch moved to Canana at God's bidding. Of course, God did not transfer him from there until Thara passed on. HOMILIES ON GROSSIS LIFE.

NAMOR LATER IOINS HIS RELATIVES IN MERODONAMIA AUGUSTINE: But afraguarde when Abraham cent his corvant to take a wife for his son lease we find it thus written: "And the servant took ten camels of the camels of his lord, and of all the goods of his lord, with him: and arose, and went into Mesopotamia. into the city of Nahor." This and other testimonies of this sacred history show that Nahor, Abraham's brother, had also left the region of the Chaldeans and fixed his abode in Mesopotamia, where Abraham dwelt with his father, Why, then, did the Scripture not mention him when Terah with his family went forth out of the Chaldean nation and dwelt in Haran since it mentions that he rook with him not only Abraham his son but also Sarah his daughter-in-law and Lot his grandson? The only reason we can think of is that perhaps he had lapsed from the piety of his father and brother, and adhered to the superstition of the Chaldeans and had afterwards emigrated there, either through penitence or

because he was persecuted as a suspected person. CITY OF GOD 16.13.

11:32 Terah Dies in Haran

THE CONTINUITY OF TIME FROM ADAM TO ARRAHAM, AUGUSTINE: On Terab's death in Mesopotamia, where he is said to have lived two hundred and five years, the promises of God made to Abraham now begin to be clarified. So it is written, "And the days of Terah in Haran were two hundred and five years, and he died in Haran." This is not to be taken as if he had spent all his days there but that he there completed the days of his life, which were two hundred and five years. Otherwise it would not be known how many years Terah lived, since it is not said in what year of his life he came into Haran. And it is absurd to suppose that in this series of generations, where it is carefully recorded how many years each one lived, his age was the only one not put on record. For although some whom the same Scripture mentions do not have their age recorded, they are not in this series, in which the reckoning of time is continuously indicated by the death of the parents and the succession of the children. For this series, which is given in order from Adam to Noah and from him down to Abraham, contains no one without the number of the years of his life. CITY OF GOD 16.14.

"FC 82:242. "Gen 24:10. "NPNF 1 2:319. "NPNF 1 2:319".

APPENDIX

Early Christian Writers and the Documents Cited

The following table lists all the early Christian documents cited in this volume by author. Where available, Cetedoc and TLG digital references are listed.

Ceredoc 0133

Cetedoc 0123

Ceredoc 0128

Cetedoc 0130

Cetedoc 0160

TLG 2035,x01

TLG 2035,002

Cetedoc 0351

Cetedoc 0263

Ambrose

"Flight from the World" (De fuga saeculi)

"Isaac, or the Soul" (De Isaac vel anima)

"Jacob and the Happy Life" (De Jacob et vita beata)

"On the Incarnation" (De incarnatione verbi)

"Against Julian" (Contra Julianum)
"Christian Instruction" (De doctrina christiana)

"Hexaemeron" (Hexaemeron)

"Letters" (Epistulae)

Aphrahat
"Demonstrations"

Athanasius
"Festal Letters" (Epistulae festales)

Augustine

"Letters to Bishops" (Epistulae)	Cetedoc 0160
"Letters to Laymen" (Epistulae)	Cetedoc 0160
"Letters to Priests" (Epistulae)	Cetedoc 0160
"On Belief in the Resurrection" (De excussu fratris Satyri)	Cetedoc 0157
"On Noah" (De Noe)	Ceredoc 0126
"Paradise" (De paradiso)	Cetedoc 0124
"Patriarchs" (De patriarchis)	Cetedoc 0132
Anonymous	
"Letter of Barnabas" (Barnabae epistula)	TLG 1216.001
"Letter to Diognetus" (Enistula ad Diognetum)	TLG 1350.001

17-

"Letters" (Epistulae)

"City of God" (De civitate Dei)

"Confessions" (Confessionum libri tredecim)

"On Faith and the Creed" (De fide et symbolo)

"On Nature and Grace" (De natura et gratia)

"Faith and Works" (De fide et operibus)

"Eighty-three Questions" (De diversis quaestionibus octoginta tribus)

"On the Literal Interpretation of Genesis" (De Genesi ad litteram imperfectus liber)

"On the Literal Interpretation of Genesis" (De Genesi ad litteram libri duodecim)

"On the Trinity" (De Trinitate)	Cetedoc 0329	
"Sermons on the Liturgical Season" (Sermones)	Cetedoc 0284	
"Tractates on the Gospel of John" (In Johannis Evangelium tractatus)	Cetedoc 0278	
"Two Books on Genesis Against the Manichaeans" (De Genesi contra Manichaeos)	Cetedoc 0265	
Basil the Great		
"Hexaemeron" (Homiliae in hexaemeron)	TLG 2040.001	
"Homilies on the Psalms" (Homiliae super Psalmos)	TLG 2040.018	
"Homily 20, Of Humility" (De humilitate)	TLG 2040.036	
"Letters" (Epistulae)	TLG 2040.004	
"On the Holy Spirit" (De spiritu sancto)	TLG 2040.003	
Bede the Venerable		
"Homilies on the Gospels" (Homiliarum evangelii libri ii)	Cetedoc 1367	
"On the Tabernacle" (De tabernaculo et vasis eius ac vestibus sacerdotum libri iii)	Cetedoc 1345	
Caesarius of Arles		
"Sermons" (Sermones)	Cetedoc 1008	
Clement of Alexandria		
"Christ the Educator" (Paedagogus)	TLG 0555.002	
"Exhortation to the Greeks" (Protrepticus)	TLG 0555.001	
"Stromateis" (Stromata)	TLG 0555.004	
Clement of Rome		
"The Letter to the Corinthians" (Epistula i ad Corinthios)	TLG 1271.001	
Commodian		
"Song of Two Peoples" (Carmen de duobus populis)	Cetedoc 1471	
Cyprian		
"Letters" (Epistulae)	Cetedoc 0050	

Cetedoc 0313

Cetedoc 0251

Cetedoc 0289

Cetedoc 0294

Cetedoc 0262

Cetedoc 0250

Cetedoc 0344

Cetedoc 0268

Catedoc 0266

"On Mortality" (De mortalitate)

Ceredor 0044

Cyril of Jerusalem

"Catechetical Lectures" (Procatechesis) "Carechetical Lectures' (Catecheses ad illuminandos 1-18) TLG 2110.001 TLG 2110.003

Diadochus of Photice

"On Spiritual Perfection"

Dorotheus of Gaza "Spiritual Instructions"

Ephrem the Syrian

"Commentary on Genesis" "Hymns on Paradise"

Fulgentius of Ruspe

"To Peter on the Faith" (De fide ad Petrum)

Cetedoc 0826

Gregory of Nazianzus

"About the Lord's New Day" (In novam Dominicam [onat. 44]) "Dogmatic Hymns" (Carmina dogmatica)

TLG 2022.051 TLG 2022.059

"Theological Orations 28" (De theologia [orat. 28]) "Theological Orations 29" (De filio [orat. 29])

"Second Oration on Easter" (In sanctum pascha [orat.45])

TLG 2022,052 TLG 2022.008 TLG 2022,009

Gregory of Nyssa

"Address on Religious Instruction" (Oratio catechetica magna) "On the Creation of Man" (De opificio hominis) "On the Origin of Man" (De creatione hominis sermo primus [Sp.]) "On the Origin of Man" (De creatione hominis sermo alter [St.])

TLG 2017.046 TLG 2017,079 TLG 2017.034* TLG 2017.035* TLG 2017.056

"On Virginity" (De virginitate) Irensens

"Against Heresies" (Adversus haereses)

TLG 2017,043 TLG 1447,008

Isaac of Nineveh "Ascetical Homilies"

*TLG lists this work as spurious. It has also been attributed to Basil the Great.

"On the Soul and the Resurrection" (Dialorus de anima et resurrectione)

Hebrew Questions on Genesis (Liver quaestionum neorustarum in Genesim)	Cereanc 0580
"Homilies"	
Homily 1—On Psalm 1 (Tractatus lix in Psalmos)	Cetedoc 0592
Homily 10—On Psalm 76 (77) (Tractatus lix in Psalmos)	Cetedoc 0592
Homily 21—On Psalm 91 (92) (Tractatus lix in Psalmos)	Cetedoc 0592
Homily 66—On Psalm 88 (89) (Tractatuum in Psalmos series altera)	Cetedoc 0593
Homily 84—On Mark (Tractatus in Marci evangelium)	Cetedoc 0594
Homily 93—On Easter Sunday (In die dominica Paschae)	Cetedoc 0603 & 0604
John Cassian	
"Conferences" (Collationes)	Cetedoc 0512
John Chrysostom	
"Against Judaizing Christians" (Adversus Judaeos)	TLG 2062.021
"Baptismal Instruction" (Catechesis ultima ad baptizandos)	TLG 2062.381
"Catechetical Lectures" (Catecheses ad illuminandos 1-8)	TLG 2062.382
"Homilies on Genesis" (In Genesim [homiliae 1-67])	TLG 2062.112
"Homilies on John" (In Joannem [homiliae 1-88])	TLG 2062.153
"Sermons on Genesis" (In Genesim [sermones 1-9])	TLG 2062.113
John of Damascus	
"Orthodox Faith" (Expositio fidei)	TLG 2934.004
Justin Martyr	
"Dialogue with Trypho" (Dialogus cum Tryphone)	TLG 0645.003

"Hebrew Questions on Genesis" (Liber quaestionum bebraicarum in Genesim)

Leander of Seville
"The Training of Nuns"
Marius Victorinus
"Against Arius" (Adversus Arium)

Maximus of Turin

"Sermons" (Collectio sermonum antiqua)

Maximus the Confessor
"Book of Difficulties" (Ambiguorum liber)

Nemesius of Emesa
"On the Nature of Man" (De natura hominis)

Cetedoc 0219a

Cetedoc 0580

TLG 2892.051 TLG 0743.001 Novarian

"Jewish Foods" (De cibis judaicis)

"On the Trinity" (De Trinitate)

Origen "Against Celsus" (Contra Celsum)

"Commentary on John" (Commentarii in evangelium Joannis) "Exhortation to Martyrdom" (Exhortatio ad martyrium) "Homilies on Genesis" (Homiliae in Genesim) "Homilies on Leviticus" (Homiliae in Leviticum)

"On First Principles" (De principiis) "On Prayer" (De oratione)

Poramins of Lishon "Letter on the Substance"

Prudentius

"Origin of Sin" (Amartigenia) "Poems" (Liber Apotheosis)

"Scenes from Sacred History" (Tituli historiarum siue Dittochaeon)

Pseudo-Dionysius "Celestial Hierarchies" (De caelestine hierarchia) Divine Names" (De divinis nominibus)

"Letters" (Epistulae) Pseudo-Macarius

"Fifty Spiritual Homilies" (Homiliae spirituales 50)

Ouodvultdeus "Book of Promises and Predictions of God" (Liber promissionum et praedictorum Dei)

Sahdona "Book of Perfections"

Salvian the Presbyter

"The Governance of God" (De oubernationes Dei)

Severian of Gabala "On the Creation of the World" Cetedoc 0068 Cetedoc 0071

TLG 2042.001 TLG 2042 005 TLG 2042.007

TLG 2042.022 TLG 2042.024 TLG 2042,002 TI G 2042 008

> Cetedoc 1440 Cetedoc 1439

Cetedoc 1444 TLG 2798.001 TLG 2798,004

> TLG 2798 006 TLG 2109.002

Ceredoc 0413

Cetedoc 0485

Symeon the New Theologian "Discourses"

Terrullian

"Against Marcion" (Adversus Marcionem)
"On the Crown" (De corona)

"On the Soul" (De anima)

Cetedoc 0014 Cetedoc 0021 Cetedoc 0017

Theodoret of Cyr

"Compendium of the Heretical Myths" (Haereticarum fabularum compendium)
"On the Incarnation of the Lord" (De incarnatione domini)

"Questions on Genesis" (Quaestiones in Octateuchum)

TLG 4089.031 TLG 4089.021 TLG 4089.022

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF PERSONS & WRITINGS

The following chronology will assist readers in locating partistic writers, writings and recipients of letters referred to in this partistic commentary. Persons are arranged chronologically according to the terminal date of the years during which they flourished (fil.) or, where that cannot be determined, the date of dath or approximant date of writing or influence. Writings are arranged according to the approximant date of composition. This list is cummulative with respect to volumes of the ACCS released to date.

losephus, Flavius, 37-c. 101 Clement of Rome (pope), regn. 92-101? Ignatius of Antioch, d. c. 110-112 Letter of Barnabas, c. 130 Didache c. 140 Shepherd of Hermas, c. 140/155 Marcion of Sinope, fl. 144, d. c. 154 Second Letter of Clement (so-called), c. 150 Polycarp of Smyrna, c. 69-155 lustin Martyr (of Flavia Neapolis in Palestine), c. 100/110-165, fl. c. 148-161 Montanist Oracles, c. latter half-2nd cent. Theophilus of Antioch, late second century Tatian the Syrian, c. 170 Athenagoras of Athens, c. 177 Irenaeus of Lyons, b. c. 135, fl. 180-199; d. c. 202

Clement of Alexandria, b. c. 150, fl. 190-215 Tertullian of Carthage, c. 155/160-225/250; fl. c. 197-222 Gallistus of Rome (pope), regn. 217-222 Hippolytus of Rome, d. 235 Newtain of Remn. fl. 235-258 Cyprian of Carthage, fl. 246-258 Diomysias the Great of Alexandria, fl. c. 247-269 Gregory Thaumarurgus (the Wonderworker), c. 215-270/275 Commodian, c. third or fifth century Ustrorinus of Petersium (Petras), d. c. 304 Wethodius of Dympsa, d. c. 311 Lactantius (Africia), c. 250-325; fl. c. 304-321 Eusebius of Casera, b. c. 260/305; fl. c.

Minucius Felix of Rome, fl. 218/235

Origen of Alexandria, b. 185, fl. c. 200-254

315.340 Aphrahat (Aphraates), c. 270-c. 345 Pachomius, c. 292.347 Hegemonius (Pseudo-Archelaus), fl. c. 325.350 Cyril of Jerusalem, c. 315-386; fl. c. 348 Eusebius of Emesa, c. 300-c. 359 Marius Victorius, c. 280/285-c. 363; fl. 355-363

Acacius of Caesarea, d. 366 Macedonius of Constantinople, d. c. 362 Hilary of Poitiers, c. 315-367; fl. 350-367 Poramius of Lisbon, fl. c. 350-360

Arbanasius of Alexandria c. 295-373: fl. 325-373

Ephrem the Syrian, b. c. 306; fl. 363-373

Macrina the Younger, c. 327-380 Basil the Great of Caesarea, b. c. 330: fl. 357.379

Gregory of Nazianzus, b. 329/330, fl. 372-389

Macarius of Egypt, c. 300-c. 390 Pseudo-Macarius, fl. c. 390 Gregory of Nyssa, c. 335-394

Amphilochius of Iconium, c. 340/345-post

Paulinus of Nola, 355-431; fl. 389-396 Ambrose of Milan, c. 333-397; fl. 374-397

Didymus the Blind, c. 313-398 Evagrius of Pontus, 345-399; fl. 382-399

Syriac Book of Steps (Liber Graduum), c. 400 Anostolic Constitutions, c. 400

Severian of Gabala, fl. c. 400 Prudentius, c. 348-after 405

John Chrysostom, 344/354-407; fl. 386-407

Jerome, c. 347-420 Maximus of Turin, d. 408/423

Pelagius, c. 350/354-c. 420/425 Sulpicius Severus, c. 360-c. 420

Palladius, c. 365-425; fl. 399-420 Theodore of Mopsuestia, c. 350-428

Honoratus of Arles, fl. 425, d. 429/430 Augustine of Hippo, 354-430; fl. 387-430 John Cassian, c. 360-432

Fastidius, c. fourth-fifth centuries Hesychius of Jerusalem, fl. 412-450

Eucherius of Lyons, fl. 420-449 Valerian of Cimiez, fl. c. 422-439

Sixtus III of Rome (pope), regn. 432-440

Cyril of Alexandria, 375-444; fl. 412-444 Pseudo-Victor of Antioch, fifth century Ammonius, c. fifth century Peter Chrysologus, c. 405-450

Leo the Great of Rome (pope), regn. 440-461 Theodoret of Cvr., 393-466; fl. 447-466

Basil of Selucia, fl. 440-468 Salvian the Presbyter of Marseilles, c. 400-c.

Hilary of Arles, c. 401-449 Euthymius (Palestine), 377-473 Diadochus of Photice, c. 400-474

Gennadius of Constantinople, d. 471: fl. 458-471

Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite, c. 482-c. 532: fl c. 500

Symmachus of Rome (pope), regn. 498-514 Jacob of Sarug, 451-521

Philoxenus of Mabbug, c. 440-523 Fulgentius of Ruspe, c. 467-532

Severus of Antioch, c. 465-538 Caesarius of Arles, 470-542 Dorotheus of Gaza, fl. c. 525

Cyril of Scythopolis, b. 525; fl. c. 550

Paschasius of Dumium, c. 515-c. 580 Leander, c. 545-c. 600

Oecumenius, sixth century

Gregory the Great (pope), 540-604; regn. 590-604

Isidore of Seville, c. 560,636 Sahdona (Martyrius), fl. 635-640 Braulio of Saragossa, c. 585-651

Maximus the Confessor, c. 580-662 Andreas (c. seventh century)

Isaac of Nineveh, d. c. 700 Bede the Venerable, 673-735 John of Damascus, c. 645-c. 749 Isho'dad of Mery, fl. c. 850

Symeon the New Theologian, c. 949-1022 Theophylact of Ohrid, c. 1050-c. 1108

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES & SHORT DESCRIPTIONS OF SELECT ANONYMOUS WORKS

This listing is cumulative, including all the authors and works cited in this series to date.

Alexander of Alexandria (fl. 312-328). Bishop of Alexandria and predecessor of Athanasius, upon whom he asserted considerable theological influence during the rise of Arianism, Alexander excommunicated Arius. whom he had appointed to the parish of Baucalis, in 319. His teaching regarding the eternal generation and divine substantial union of the Son with the Father was eventually confirmed at the Council of Nicea (325). Ambrose of Milan (c. 333-397: fl. 374-397). Bishop of Milan and teacher of Augustine who defended the divinity of the Holy Spirit and the perpetual virginity of Mary. Ambrosiaster (fl. c. 366-384). Name given by Erasmus to the author of a work once thought to have been composed by Ambrose. Ammonius (c. fifth century). An Aristotelian commentator and teacher in Alexandria where he was born and of whose school he became head. Also an exegete of Plato, he enjoved fame among his contemporaries and successors, although modern critics accuse him of pedantry and banality. Andreas (c. seventh century). Monk who col-

lected commentary from earlier writers to form a catena on various biblical books. Aphrahat (c. 270-350 fl. 337-345). "The Persian Sage" and first major Syriac writer whose work survives. He is also known by his Greek name Aphraates.

Apollinarius of Laodicea (310-c. 392). Bishop of Laodicea who was attacked by Gregory of Nazianzus, Gregory of Nyssa and Theodore for denying that Christ had a human mind.

Apastelic Cassitistisses (c. 381-394). Thought to be the work of the Arian bishop Julian of Neapolis. The work is divided into eight books, and is primarily a collection of and expansion on previous works such as the Dislader (c. 140) and the Apastelit Traditions. Book 8 cands with eighty-free cannot from various sources and is elsewhere known as the Apastelic Casses.

Arius (fl. c. 320). Heretic condemned at the Council of Nicaea (325) for refusing to accept that the Son was not a creature but was God by nature like the Father.

Athanasius of Alexandria (c. 295-373; fl.

325-373), Bishop of Alexandria from 328. Hothogo from in eight even bein dausic polemica against the Arians while most of the castern bishops were against him. Activated the start of the castern bishops were against him, whose only attenticated writing. A Field Response of the Composition of the C

topics. He formulated the Western doctrines

of predestination and original sin in his writ-

ings against the Pelagians. Babai the Great (d. 628). Syriac monk who founded a monastery and school in his region of Beth Zabday and later served as third superior at the Great Convent of Mount Izla during a period of crisis in the Nestorian church. Basil the Great (b. c. 330; fl. 357-379). One of the Cappadocian fathers, hishon of Caesarea and champion of the teaching on the Trinity propounded at Nicaea in 325. He was a great administrator and founded a monastic rule. Basilides (fl. second century). Alexandrian heretic of the early second century who is said to have believed that souls migrate from body to body and that we do not sin if we lie to protect the body from martyrdom.

Bede the Venerable (c. 672/673-735). One of the most learned men of his age and author of An Ecclesiastical History of the English People. Born in Northumbria, at the age of seven Bede was put under the care of the Benedictine monks of Saints Peter and Paul at Jarrow and given a broad classical education in the monastic tradition.

Book of Steps (c. 400). Anonymous Syriac work consisting of thirty homilies or dis-

courses and which specifically deal with the more advanced stages of growth in the spiritual life. Benedict of Nursia (c. 480-547). Considered

the most important figure in the history of Western measurism. Benedier founded many monasteries, the most needle found at Montecassino, but his lasting influence lay in his famous Rule. The Rule outlines the theological and insparisonal foundation of the monastric ideal while also legislating the shape and organization of the concebite life. Braulio of Saragossa (c. 585-651), Bithop of Saragossa (c. 586-651), Rule of Saragossa (c. 586-651),

ral preaching.

Cassian, John (360-432). Author of a compilation of ascetic sayings highly influential in the development of Western monasticism. Cassiodorus (c. 485-c. 540). Founder of Western monasticism whose writings include valuable histories and less valuable commen-

Chromatius (fl. 400). Friend of Rufinus and lerome and author of tracts and sermons Clement of Alexandria (c. 150-215). A highly educated Christian convert from paganism, head of the catecherical school in Alexandria and pioneer of Christian scholarship. His major works. Protrepticus, Paedagogus and the Stromata, bring Christian doctrine face to face with the ideas and achievements of his time Clement of Rome (fl. c. 92-101). Pope whose Epistle to the Corinthians is one of the most important documents of subapostolic times. Commodian (c. third or fifth century). Poet of unknown origin (possibly Syrian?) whose two surviving works focus on the Apocalypse and Christian apologetics.

Cyprian of Carthage (fl. 248-258). Martyred bishop of Carthage who maintained that those baptized by schismatics and heretics had no share in the blessings of the church. Cyril of Alexandria (375-444; fl. 412-444). Patriarch of Alexandria whose strong espousal of the unity of Christ led to the condemnation of Nestorius in 431.

Oryil of Jerusalem (c. 315-386; fl. c. 348). Bishop of Jerusalem after 350 and author of Catechetical Homilies.

Diadochus of Photice (c. 400-474). Antimonophysite bishop of Epirus Verus whose work Discourse on the Ascension of Our Lerd Jesus Christ exerted influence in both the East and West through its Chaledonian Christology. He is also the subject of the mystical Vision of St. Diadochus highes of Bhastic is Emirus.

St. Diadochus hishop of Phoeiter in Epirus.

Didache (c. 140). A text of unknown authorship, that intertwines Jewish ethics with Christian liturgical practice to form a whole discourse on the "way of life." It exerted an enormous amount of influence in the patristic period and was especially used in the training of catechumen.

of catectumen.

Didymus the Blind (c. 313-398). Alexandrian
exegete who was much influenced by Origen
and admired by Ierome.

Dionysius the Areopagite. The name long given to the author of four mystical writings, probably from the late fifth century, which were the foundation of the apophatic school of mysticism in their denial that anything can be truly predicated of God.

Dorotheus of Gaza (fl. c. 525). Member of abbot Serido's monastery and later leader of a monastery where he wrote Spiritual Instructions. He also wrote a work on traditions of Palestinian monasticism.

Epiphanius of Salamis (c. 315-403). Bishop of Salamis in Cyprus, author of a refutation of eighty heresies (the Panarion) and instrumental in the condemnation of Origen.
Ephrem the Syrian (b. c. 306; fl. 363-373).
Syrian writer of commentaries and devotional
hymns which are sometimes regarded as the
greatest specimens of Christian poetry prior
to Dante.

Eucherius of Lyons (fl. 420-449). Bishop of Lyons c. 435-449. Born into an aristocratic family, he, along with his wife and sons, joined the monastery at Lérins soon after its founding.

Eunomius (d. 393). Bishop of Cyzicyus who was attacked by Basil and Gregory of Nyssa for maintaining that the Father and the Son were of different natures, one ingenerate, one cenerate.

generate.

Eusebius of Caesarea (c. 260/263-340).

Bishop of Caesarea, partisan of the emperor
Constantine and first historian of the
Christian church. He argued that the truth
of the gospel had been foreshadowed in
pagan writings but had to defend his own
doctrine against súspicion of Arian sympathies.

thies.

Eusebius of Emesa (c. 300-c. 359). Bishop of Emesa from c. 339. A biblical exegete and writer on doctrinal subjects, he displays some semi-Arian tendencies of his mentor Eusebius of Caesarea.

Eusebius of Vercelli (fl. c. 360). Bishop of Vercelli who supported the trinitarian teaching of Nicaea (325) when it was being undermined by compromise in the West. Fastidius (c. fourth-fifth centuries). British author of On the Christian Life. He is believed

to have written some works attributed to Pelagius. Faustinus (fl. 380). A priest in Rome and sup-

Faustinus (fl. 380). A priest in Rome and supporter of Lucifer and author of a treatise on the Trinity.

Filastrius (fl. 380). Bishop of Brescia and author of a compilation against all heresies.

Fulgentius of Ruspe (c. 467-532). Bishop of Ruspe and author of many orthodox sermons and tracts under the influence of Augustine. Gaudentius of Brescia (fl. 395). Successor of Filastrius as bishop of Brescia and author of

numerous tracts.

Gennadius of Constantinople (d. 471). Patriarch of Constantinople, author of numerous commentaries and an opponent of the Christopay of Cyril of Alexandria.

Gnostics. Name now given generally to followers of Banildes. Marcion, Valentinus. Mani and others. The characteristic belief is that matter is a prison made for the spirit by an evil or ignorant creator, and that redemption depends on fats, not on free will. Gregory of Elvira (B. 195-385). Bishop of Elvira who wrote allegorical treatises in the style of Origon and defended the Nicione faith

against the Arians.
Gregory of Nazianzus (b. 329/330; fl. 372-389). Bishop of Nazianzus and friend of Basil and Gregory of Nyssa. He is famous for maintaining the humanity of Christ as well as the orthodox doctrine of the Trinity.

Gregory of Nyssa (c. 335-394). Bishop of Nyssa and brother of Basil. He is famous for maintaining the equality in unity of the Father, Son and Holy Spirit.

Gregory the Great (c. 540-604). Pope from 590, the fourth and last of the Latin "Doctors of the Church." He was a prelific author and a powerful unifying force within the Latin Church, initiating the liturgical reform that brought about the Gregorian Sacramentary and Greeorian chant.

Hesychius of Jerusalem (fl. 412-450). Presbyter and exegete, thought to have commented on the whole of Scripture.

Hilary of Arles (c. 401-449). Archbishop of Arles and leader of the Semi-Pelagian party. Hilary incurred the wrath of Pope Leo I when he removed a bishop from his see and appointed a new bishop. Lee demoted Aries from a metropolities see to a bishoph; to assert papal power over the church in Gaul. Hillary of Postisses, in U.55-67), Bishop of Postisses and called the Athanasius of the West-Postasse of the distense (epaster the Arie-Mere Postasses of the distense (epaster the Arie-Mere Postasses of the distense (epaster the Arie-Hippolyrus (II) 222-245), Rec Theyat the Theyat (II) 222-245), Rec Theyat (II) 222-245, Postas the inpersonally familiar with Crieges. Though to its Accord most pile The Refeation of All Herrises, how any primarily a commensator on Scripture (esseculish the Old Textassenet) and other sa-

cred texts.

Ignatius of Antioch (c. 35-107/112). Bishop of Antioch who wrote several letters to local churches while being taken from Antioch to Rome to be martyred. In the letters, which warn against heresy, he stresses orthodox Christology, the centrality of the Eucharist

warn against heresy, he stresses orthodox. Christology, the centrality of the Eucharist and unique role of the bishop in preserving the unity of the church. Irenaeus of Lyon (c. 135-c. 202). Bishop of Lyons who published the most famous and

Lyons who published the most famous and influential refutation of Gnostic thought. Isaac of Ninewik d.c. 7001, Also known as Isaac the Syrian or Isaac Syrus. This monastic writers served for a short while as bishop of Ninewsh before retiring to live a secluded monastic life. His writings on ascertic subjects survive in the form of numerous homilies. Isahedad of Mere (E.c. 850). Nestorian commentator of the ninth century. He wrote especially on lames. I Perer and I Islow

Jerome (c. 347-420). Gifted exegete and exponent of a classical Latin style, now best known as the translator of the Latin Vulgate. He defended the perpetual virginity of Mary, attacked Origen and Pelagius and supported extreme ascetic practices.

John Chrysostom (344/354-407; fl. 386-407).

Bishop of Constantinople who was famous for his orthodoxy, his eloquence and his attacks on Christian laxity in high places.

John of Damascus (c. 650-750). Arab monastic and theologian whose writings enjoyed great influence in both the Eastern and Western Churches. His most famous writing was the Orthodox Faith.

John the Elder (c. eighth century). A Syriac atthor who belonged to monastic circles of the Church of the East and lived in the region of Mount Qardu (north Iraq). His more important writings are twenty-two homilies and a collection of fifty-one short letters in which the describes the mystical life as an anticipatory experience of the resurrection life, the fruit of the searments of baptism and the Eu-

charist. Dasephus. Flavius (c. 37-c. 101), Jewish historian from a distinguished priestly family. Acquainted with the Sames and Saddouces, he himself became a Phariste. He joined the himself became a Phariste. He joined the control of the contr

Justin Martyr (c. 100/110-165, fl. c. 148-161). Palestinian philosopher who was converted to Christianity, 'the only sure and worthy philosophy.' He traveled to Rome where he wrote several apologies against both pagans and Jews, combining Greek philosophy and Christian theology; he was eventually martyred.

Leander (c. 545-c. 600). Latin ecclesiastical writer, of whose works only two survive. He was instrumental is spreading Christianity among the Visigoths, gaining significant historical influence in Spain in his time. Lee the Great (regs. 440-461), Bishop of Rome whose Time Thresh Indped to strike a Rome whose Time Thresh Indped to strike a time of the Council of Chalcedon in 451; times at the Council of Chalcedon in 451; times the Council of Chalcedon in 451; times with a decidedly anti-jewish now included with other New Textment works as with included with other New Textment works as "Catholice guided" a least until Eurobea of Caesarse (c. 260/263-340) questioned its authenticity.

Letter to Diognetus (c. third century). Essentially a refutation of paganism and an exposition of the Christian life and faith. The author of this letter is unknown, and the exact identity of its recipient. Diognetus, continues to elude partistic scholars.

Lucifer (fl. 370). Bishop of Cagliari and fanatical partisan of Athanasius. He and his followers entered into schism after refusing to acknowledge less orthodox bishops appointed by the Emperor Constantius.

Macarius of Egypt (c. 300c. 290). One of the Desert Fathers. Accound of supporting Athanasius, Macarius was exiled c. 774 to an island nia the Nile by Laussius. After an use of Arhanasius. Macarius continued his traching of Arhanasius. Macarius continued his traching of monastic theology until his death.

Macrina the Younger (c. 327-380). The elder sixter of Baul the Gereat and Gregory of Nysus. She is known as "the Younger" to distinguish the From the paternal grandmonther. She had a powerful influence on her younger brochers, especially out Gregory, who called her his seader and editors her teaching the his seader and editors her teaching the his seader and editors her teaching the seaders.

Manichaeans. A religious movement that originated c. 241 in Persia under the leadership of Mani but was apparently of complex Christian origin. It is said to have denied free will and the universal sovereignty of God,

teaching that kingdoms of light and darkness are coeternal and that the redeemed are particles of a spiritual man of light held captive in the darkness of matter (see Gnostics).

Marcion (fl. 144). Heretic of the mid-second century who rejected the Old Testament and much of the New Testament, claiming that the Father of lesus Christ was other than the Creator God (see Gnostics).

Marius Victorinus (b. c. 280/285: fl. c. 355-363). Grammarian who translated works of Platonists and, after his late conversion (c. 355), used them against the Arians.

Mark the Hermit (c. sixth century). Monk who lived near Tarsus and produced works on ascetic practices as well as christological is-

sues. Maximus of Turin (d. 408/423). Bishop of Turin who died during the reigns of Honorius and Theodosius the Younger (408-423). Over one hundred of his sermons survive. Maximus the Confessor (c. 580-662). Greek theologian and ascetic writer. Fleeing the

Arab invasion of lerusalem in 614, he rook refuge in Constantinople and later Africa. He died near the Black Sea after imprisonment and severe suffering. His thought centered on the humanity of Christ. Methodius of Olympus (fl. 290). Bishop of

Olympus who celebrated virginity in a Symposium partly modeled on Plato's dialogue of that name

Montanist Oracles. An apocalyptic and strictly ascetic movement begun in the latter half of the second century by a certain Montanus in Phrygia, who, along with certain of his followers, uttered oracles they claimed were inspired by the Holy Spirit, Little of the authentic oracles remains and most of what is known of Montanism comes from the authors who wrote against the movement. Montanism was formally condemned as a heresy before by

Asiatic synods.

Nemesius of Emesa (fl. late fourth century). Bishop of Emesa in Syria whose most important work, Of the Nature of Man, draws on several theological and philosophical sources and is the first exposition of a Christian anthro-

pology Nestorius (b. 381; fl. 430). Patriarch of Constantinople 428-431 and credited with the foundation of the heresy which says that the divine and human natures were associated.

rather than truly united, in the incarnation of Christ

Nicetas of Remesiana (fl. second half of fourth century). Bishop of Remesiana in Serbia, whose works affirm the consubstantiality of the Son and the deity of the Holy Spirit. Novatian of Rome (fl. 235-258). Roman theologian, otherwise orthodox, who formed a schismatic church after failing to become pope. His treatise on the Trinity states the classic Western doctrine

Occumenius (sixth century), Called the Rhetor or the Philosopher. Occumenius wrote the earliest extant Greek commentary on Revelation. Scholia by Oecumenius on some of John Chrysostom's commentaries on the Pauline Epistles are still extant.

Origen of Alexandria (b. 185; fl. c. 200-254). Influential exegete and systematic theologian. He was condemned (perhaps unfairly) for maintaining the preexistence of souls while denying the resurrection of the body, the literal truth of Scripture and the equality of the Father and the Son in the Trinity.

Pachomius (c. 292-347). Founder of cenobitic monasticism. A gifted group leader and author of a set of rules, he was defended after his death by Athanasius of Alexandria.

Paschasius of Dumium (c. 515-c. 580). Translator of sentences of the Desert Fathers from Greek into Latin while a monk in Dumium

Pelagius (c. 354-c. 420). Christian teacher whose followers were condemned in 418 and 431 for maintaining that a Christian could be perfect and that salvation depended on free will.

Peter Chrysologus (c. 380-450). Latin archbishop of Ravenna whose teachings included arguments for the supremacy of the papacy and the relationship between grace and Chrisrian living.

Philoxenus of Mabbug (c. 440-523). Bishop of Mabbug (Hierapolis) and a leading thinker in the early Syrian Orthodox Church. His extensive writings in Syriac include a set of thirteen Discourse; on the Christian Life, several works on the incarnation and a number of exercical works.

Poemen (c. fifth century). A common title among early Egyptian desert ascetics. Oneseventh of the sayings in the Sayings of the Desert Fathers are attributed to Poemen, which is Greek for shepherd. It is unknown whether all of the sayings come from one person.

Polycarp of Smyrna (c. 69-155). Bishop of Smyrna who vigorously fought heretics such as the Marcionites and Valentinians. He was the leading Christian figure in Roman Asia in the middle of the second century.

Potamius of Lisbon (fl. c. 350-360). Bishop of Lisbon who joined the Arian party in 357, but later returned to the Catholic faith (c. 359!). His works from both periods are concerned with the larger Trinitarian debates of his time.

Prudentius (c. 348-c. 410). Latin poet and hymnwriter who devoted his later life to Christian writing. He wore didactic poems on the theology of the incarnation, against the heretic Marcion and against the resurgence of paganism.

Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite (fl. c. 500). Author who assumed the name of Dionysius

the Areopagite mentioned in Acts 17:34, and who composed the works known as the Cerpu Areopagitisy (or Divisiasm), although the author's true identity remains a suprary writer and acter from Mesoporamia to eastern Asia Minor with keen insight into human ansure and clear articulation of the theology of the Trinity. His work includes some one hundred discourses and homilies.

Quodvultdeus (fl. 430). Carthaginian deacon and friend of Augustine who endeavored to show at length how the New Testament fulfilled the Old Testament

Rufinus of Aquileia (c. 345-411). Orthodox Christian thinker and historian who nonetheless translated Origen and defended him against the strictures of Jerome and Epiphanius.

Sabellius (fl. 200). Allegedly the author of the heresy which maintains that the Father and Son are a single person. The patripassian variant of this heresy states that the Father suffered on the cross.

Sahdona (fl. 635-640). Known in Greek as Martyrius. This Syriac author was bishop of Beth Garmai for a short time. His most important work is the deeply scriptural Book of Perfection, which ranks as one of the masterpieces of Syriac monastic literature.

Salvian the Presbyter of Marseilles (c. 400-c. 480). An important author for the history of his own time. He saw the fall of Roman civilization to the barbarians as a consequence of the reprehensible conduct of Roman Christians.

Second Letter of Clement (c. 150). The earliest surviving Christian sermon probably written by a Corinthian author, though some scholars have assigned it to a Roman or Alexandrian

Severian of Gabala (fl. c. 400). A contempo-

author

rary of John Chrysostom. Severian was a highly regarded preacher in Constantinople, particularly at the imperial court, and ultimately sided with Chrysostom's accusers. His sermons are dominated by antiheretical con-

cerns.

Severus of Antioch (c. 465-538). A monophysite theologian, consecrated bishop of Antioch in 522. Severus believed that Christ's
human nature was an annex to his divine nature and argued that if Christ were both divine and human, he would necessarily have
been two persons.

Shepherd of Hermas (second century). A Christian apocalypse divided into five Visions, twelve Mandates and ten Similiusdes. Written by a former slave and named for the form of the second angel said to have granted him his visions, this work was highly esteemed for its moral value and was used as a textbook for catechumens in the early church.

Sulpicius Severus (c. 380-c. 420). An eclesisatical writer ben of mobile parens. Devalue a satical writer ben of mobile parens. Devalue a himself or monastic retirement, the became a personal friend and embassizati disciple. Marin of Tours, His ordination to the priesthood is wouched for by Gennadius. Not act talk of his priestly activity have reached us. Symeon the New Tenologias (c. 94-94 Tenologias (c. 94-94) constrict rule. He believed that the divine strict rule. He believed that the divine of the strict rule. He believed that the divine of the strict rule. He believed that the divine of the strict rule. He believed that the divine of the strict rule.

Tertullian of Carthage (c. 155/160-225/250; fl. c. 197-222). Brilliant Carthaginian apologist and polemicist who laid the foundations of Christology and trinitarian orthodoxy in the West, though he himself was estranged from the main church by its laxity. Theodore of Mopsuestia (c. 350-428), Bishop of Mopsuestia, founder of the Antiochene, or literalistic, school of exegesis. A great man in his day, he was later condemned.

as a precursor of Nestorius.

Theodoret of Cyr (c. 193-466), Bishop of Cyr (Cyrrhus). He was an opponent of Cyril, whose doctrine of Christ's person was finally vindicated in 513 at the Council of Chaleedon.

Theophylac of Ohrid (c. 1050-c. 1108), Byrantine archibishop of Ohrid (or Achrida) in what is now Bulgaria. Drawing on earlier works, he wrote commentaries on several Old Testament books and all of the New Testament except for Revelation.

ment except for Aevetation. Valentinus (fl. c. 140). Alexandrian heretic of the mid-second century who taught that the material world was created by the transgression of God's Wisdom, or Sophia (see Gnostics).

Valerian of Cimiez (fl. c. 422-439). Bishop of Cimiez. He participated in the councils of Riez (439) and Vaison (422) with a view to strengthening church discipline. He supported Hilary of Arles in quarrels with Pope Leo I.

Vincent of Lérins (d. 435). Monk who exerted considerable influence through his writings on orthodox dogmatic theological method, as contrasted with the theological methods of the heresies.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ambrose of Milan. "De excussu fratris Saryri." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Otto Faller. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 73. Vienna, Austria: Hoelder-Pichler-Temaky, 1955.
- "De fuga saeculi." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 2. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky; Leipzig, Germany: G. Frevrae, 1897.
- "De Iacob et vita beata." In Sascii Ambrosii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 2. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky; Leipzig, Germany: G. Freytag, 1897.
- manyi G. Freytag, 1897.

 "To Baaz vel anima." In Sancti Ambresii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Eclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky; Leipzig, Germanyi G. Freytag, 1896.
- "De Noe." In Santti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky; Leipzig, Germany: G. Freytae. 1896.
- ..., "De paradiso." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky: Leipzig, Germany:
- Ciesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 1. vienna, Austriai F. tempasy; Leipzig, Vermany; G. Freyrag, 1896.

 —, "De patriarchis," In Sancti Ambresii opera, Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 32, pt. 2. Vienna, Austriai F. Tempaky; Leipzig, Germany;
- G. Freytag, 1897.

 ——. "Epistulae." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Otto Faller. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesias-
- ticorum Latinorum, vol. 82, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: Hoelder-Pichler-Tempsky, 1968.

 ——, "Epistulae," in Sancti Ambrosii peera, Edited by Michaela Zelzer, Corpus Scriptorum Ec-
- clesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 82, pt. 2. Vienna, Austria: Hoelder-Pichler-Tempsky, 1990.

 "Epistulae extra collectionem traditae." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Michael Zelzer. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 82, pt. 3. Vienna, Austria: Hoel-
- der Pichler-Tempsky, 1982.

 —— "Exameron." In Sancti Ambrosii opera. Edited by Karl Schenkl. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiastionum Latingrum on 32 pr. 1. Vienna Austria: E. Tempsky: Leinvie, Germany, G. Frey.
- asticorum, vol. 32, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky; Leipzig, Germany: G. Freytag, 1896.

- Aphraat. "Demonstrationes." In Patrologia Syriaca, vol. 1. Edited by R. Graffin. Paris: Firmin-Didot et socii, 1910.
- Athanasius. "De incarnatione verbi." In Sur l'incarnation du verbe. Edited by C. Kannengiesser. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 199. Paris: Cerf, 1973.
- ——. "Epistulae festales." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 26. Paris: Migne, 1865.
- Augustine of Hippo. "Confessionum libri tredecim." In Aurelii Augustini opera. Edited by Lucas Verheijen. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 27. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Fontificii, 1981.
 - ...". "Contra Julianum Pelagianum." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Latina, vol. 44. Paris: Migne, 1865.
- "De civitate Dei." In Aurelii Augustini opera. Edited by Bernardus Dombart and Alphonsus Kalb. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vols. 47, 48. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Bresols Editores Pontificii. 1955.
- "De diversis quaestionibus octoginta tribus." In Awrelii Augustini opera. Edited by Almut Mutzenbecher. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 44a. Turnhout, Belgium: Typouranhi Bernole Editores Pontificii 1907.
- pographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1975.

 "De doctrina christiana." In Aurelii Augustini opera, pp. 1-167. Edited by J. Martin. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina. vol. 32. Turanhout. Beleium: Tvooeraphi Brepols Editores Pon-
- tificii, 1962.

 "De fide et symbolo." In Sancti Aureli Augustini opera. Edited by Joseph Zycha. Corpus
- Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 41. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky, 1900.

 ———, "De Genesi ad litteram imperfectus liber." In Sancti Aureli Augustini opera. Edited by Jo
 - seph Zycha. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 28, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky, 1894.

 "De Genesi ad litteram libri duodecim." In Sancti Aureli Augustini opera. Edited by Joseph
- Zycha. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 28, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky, 1894.

 "De Genesi contra Manichaeos." In Opera emmia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cur
 - sus Completus, Series Latina, vol. 34. Paris: Migne, 1865.

 "De natura et gratia," In Sancti Aureli Augustini opera. Edited by Carl Franz Urba, Corpus
 - Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 60. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky, 1913.

 "De trinitate." In Aurelii Augustini opera. Edited by W. J. Mountain. Corpus Christianorum Series Latina, vol. 50. 50. Turnbour, Belgium, Temperanji, Bengal, Edited program, Pr
 - orum, Series Latina, vols. 50, 50a. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1968. — "Epistulae." In Sencti Aureli Aurustini epera. Edited by A. Goldbacher. Corpus Scriptorum
 - Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 34, pr. 2. Vienna, Austria: F. Tempsky, 1895.

 'In Johannis euangelium tractatus.' In Awrelii Augustini opera. Edited by Radbodus
 Willems. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 36. Turnhour. Belgium: Typographi
- Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1954.

 "Sermones, Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Latina, vol. 38. Edited by J.-P. Migne.
 Paris: Migne. 1861.

- Barnabas. "Barnabae epistula." In Épitre de Barnabe. Edited by R. A. Kraft. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 172. Paris: Cerf, 1971.
- Basil the Great (of Caesarea). "De humilitate." In Patrologia Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 31. Edited by 1-P. Miene. Paris; Miene. 1857.
- —. "De spiritu sancto." In Basile de Cesaree: Sur le Saint-Esprit, 2nd ed. Edited by B. Pruche. Sources Chrétiennes, vol.17. Paris: Cerf, 1968.
- "Epistulae." In Saint Basile. Lettres, 3 vols. Edited by Y. Courtonne. Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 1957, 1961, 1966.
 - "Homiliae in hexaemeron." In Basile de Cesaree: Homelies sur l'hexaemeron, 2nd ed. Edited by S. Giet. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 26. Paris: Cerf, 1968.
- ——, "Homiliae super Psalmos." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologia Cursus Completus: Series Gracca, vol. 29. Paris: Migne, 1865.
- Bede the Venerable. "De tabernaculo et euasis eius ac vestibus sacerdotem libri iii." In Bedae Venerabilis opera. Edited by D. Hurst. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina, vol. 119a. Turnhout. Belgium: Tvoorcarbi Breools Editores Pontificii. 1969.
- "Homiliarum evangelii libri ii." In Bedae Venerabilii opera. Edited by D. Hurst. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 122. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1953.
- Caesarius of Arles. "Sermones." In Caesarii Arelatensii opera. Edited by D. Germani Morin. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vols. 103, 104. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Ed-
- itores Pontificii, 1953. Cassian, John. Iobannis Cassiani Conlationes XXIIII. Iobannis Cassiani opera Pars II. Edited by Michael Perschenie, Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum. vol. 13. Vienna, Aus-
- tria: C. Geroldi Filim., 1886.
 Clament of Alexandria: "Paedagogous." In Le pédagogue [par] Clement d'Alexandria: 3 vols. Edited by M. Harl. H. Marrou, C. Matray and C. Mondéssert. Sources Chrétiennes, vols. 70, 108.
- 158. Paris: Cerf, 1960, 1965, 1970.

 —, "Protrepticus." In Le protreptique, 2nd ed. Edited by C. Mondésert. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 2. Paris: Cerf, 1949.
- vol. 2. Paris: Cerr. 1949.

 —, "Stromata." In Clemens Alexandrinus, vols. 2, 3rd ed., and 3, 2nd ed. Edited by O. Stählin,
 L. Früchtel and U. Treu. Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller 52 (15), 17. Berlin: Akad-
- emie-Verlag, 1960, 1970. Clement of Rome. "Epistula i ad Corinthios." In Clément de Rome: Épitre aux Corinthiens. Sources
- Chrétiennes, vol. 167. Paris: Cerf., 1971.
 Commodian. "Carmen de duobus populis (Carmen apologeticum)." In Commodiani carmina. Edited by losen Martin. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina. vol. 128. Turnhout, Belgium:
- Typograph Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1960.

 Cyprian. 'De mortalitate.' În Sancti (ypriani episcopi opera. Edited by C. Moreschini and M. Simonetti. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina. vol. 3a. Turnhoux. Belgium: Typographi Brepols
- Editores Pontificii, 1972.

 Sancti Cypriani episcopi epistularium. Edited by G. F. Diercks. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. Sc. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1994.

- Cyril of Jerusalem."Catecheses ad illuminandos 1-18." In Cyrilli Hierosolymorum archiepiscopi opera quae supersunt omnis, 2 vols. Edited by W. C. Reischl and J. Rupp. Munich: Lentner, 1848, 1860.
- Diadochus of Photike. "Capita Centrum de perfectione Spirituali." In Oeuvres. Edited by E. Des Places. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 5. Paris: Cerf, 1966.
- Dorotheus of Gaza. "Instructiones." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus: Series Graeca, vol. 88. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Paris: Migne, 1860. Ephrem the Syrian. "Hymni de Paradiso." In Des Heiligen Ephraem des Syrers Hymnen de Paradiso
- und Contra Julianum. Edited by E. Beck. Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium, vol. 174. Louvain, Belgium: Secretariat du Corpus SCO, 1957.
- . "In Genesim Commentarii." In Sancti Epòraem Syri in Genesim et in Exodum. Edited by R. M.
 Tonneau. Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium, vol. 152. Louvain, Belgium: Secre-
- tariat du Corpus SCO, 1955.

 Sancti patris nostri Epiraem Syri et Jacobi episcopi Edesseni interpretationum in Genesim collectanea, 1. Edited by J. A. Assemani. Sancti Patris Nostri Ephraem Syri Opera Omnia. Tomus I.
- Rome, 1737.
 Fulgentius of Ruspa. "De fide ad Petrum seu de regula fidei." In Opera. Edited by J. Fraipont. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 91a. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores
 Pontificii, 1968.
- Gregory of Nazianzus. "De filio [oration 29]." In Gregor von Nazianz. Die fünf theologischen Reden. Edited by J. Barbel. Düsseldorf, Germany: Patmos-Verlag, 1963.
- ——, "De substantiis mente praeditis [carm. 7]." In Carmina degmatica. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 37. Paris: Migne.
 - "De theologia [oration 28]." In Gregor von Nazianz. Die fünf theologischen Reden. Edited by J. Barbel. Düsseldorf, Germany: Patmos-Verlag, 1963.
- "In Sanctum Pascha [oration 45]." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 36. Edited by J.-Migne. Pariss Migne, 1862.
 Gregory of Nyssa. "De creatione hominis sermo alter [Sp.]." In Gregorii Nysseni opera, suppl. Ed
 - regory of Nyssa. "De creatione hominis sermo alter [Sp.]." In Gregorii Nysseni opera, suppl. Edited by H. Hoerner. Leiden: Brill, 1972.
- "De creatione hominis sermo primus [Sp.]." In Gregorii Nysseni opera, suppl. Edited by H. Hoerner. Leiden: Brill, 1972.
 - "De opificio hominis." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 44. Edited by L.-P. Migne, Paris: Migne, 1865.
 - "De virginitate." In Grégoire de Nyssé. Traite de la virginité. Edited by M. Aubineau. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 119. Paris: Cerf. 1966.
- "Oratio catechetica magna." In The Catechetical Oration of Gregory of Nyssa. Edited by J. Strawley. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1903.
- Irenaeus. "Adversus haereses (liber 5)." In Contre les hérésies [par] Irénée de Lyon, livre 5, vol. 2. Ed-

- ited by A. Rousseau, L. Doutreleau and C. Mercier. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 153. Paris: Cerf, 1969.
- Isaac of Nineveh. "Asketica." In Isaak—Asketika. Edited by Nikephoros Hieromonachos. Athens, 1895.
- Jerome."In die dominica Paschae." In Tractatus sancti Hieronymi presbyteri in librum Psalmorum. Edited by D Germanus Morin. In S. Hieronymi presbyteri opera. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina, vol. 78. Turnhout. Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1958.
- "Liber quaestionum hebraicarum in Genesim." In S. Hieronymi presbyteri opera. Edited by Paul de LaGarde. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 72. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Bereols Editores Pontifici. 1959.
- "Tractatus lix in Paalmos." In Tractatus sancti Hieronymi presbyteri in librum Paalmorum. Edited by D Germanus Morin. In S. Hieronymi presbyteri opera. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 78. Turnbout, Belgium: Typographi Berools Editores Pontificii, 1958.
- "Tractatus in Marci Euangelium." In Tractatus sancti Hieronymi presbyteri in librum Psalmorum. Edited by D Germanus Morin. In S. Hieronymi presbyteri opera. Corpus Christianorum,
 - Series Latina, vol. 78. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1958.
- Tractatuum in Psalmos Series Altera. In Tractatuu santii Hieronymi presbyteri in librum Pialmorum. Edited by D Germanus Morin. In S. Hierosymi presbyteri opera. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 78. Turnhout. Belgium: Tropographi Breools Editores Pontificii.
- 1958. John Chrysostom. "Adversus Judaeos." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus
 - Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 48. Paris: Migne, 1863.

 "Catechesis ultima ad baptizandos." In Varia graeca sacra. Edited by A. Papadopoulos-
 - Kerameus, St. Petersburg, Kirschbaum, 1909.

 "In Genesim (homiliae 1-67)." In Opera omnia, Edited by L.-P. Migne, Patrologiae Cursus
 - Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 53. Paris: Migne, 1865.

 "In Genesim (sermones 1-9)." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus

 "In Genesim (sermones 1-9)." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae Cursus
 - Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 54. Paris: Migne, 1865.

 "In loannem (homiliae 1-88)," In Opera omnia, Edited by 1.-P. Migne, Patrologiae Cursus
- Completus: Series Graeca, vol. 59. Paris: Migne, 1865
 John of Damascus. "Expositio fidei." In Die Schriften des Johannes von Damaskos. Edited by B. Kot-
- ter. Patristische Texte und Studien, vol. 2. Berlin: De Gruyter, 1973.

 Justin Martyr, "Dialogus cum Tryphone," In Die altesten Apoloeeten, Edited by E. J. Goodspeed.
 - ustin Martyr. "Dialogus cum Tryphone." In Die altesten Apologeten. Edited by E. J. Goodspeel Gottingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1915.
- Leander of Seville. "De institutione virginum et contemptu mundi." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Latina, vol. 72. Edited by L.-P. Migne, Paris: Migne, 1865.
- Letter to Diognetus. "Epistula ad Diognetum." In A Diognete, 2nd ed. Edited by H.-I. Marrou. Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 33. Paris: Cerf. 1965.
- Sources Chrétiennes, vol. 33. Paris: Cerf. 1965.
 Marius Victorinus. "Adversus Arium." In Marii Victorini opera. Edited by Paul Henry and Peter Hadot. Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum, vol. 83, pt. 1. Vienna, Austria: Hoel-
- der-Pichler-Tempsky, 1971.

 Maximus the Confessor. "Ambiguorum liber." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Graeca.

- vol. 91. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Paris: Migne, 1860.
- Maximus of Turin. "Collectio sermonum antiqua." In Maximi episcopi Taurinensis sermones. Edited by Almut Mutzenbecher. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 23. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Berools Editores Pontificii, 1962.
- Nemesius of Emesa. "De natura hominis." In Nemesius of Emesa. Edited by B. Einarson. Corpus Medicorum Graecorum (in press).
- Novatian. "De cibis iudaicis." In Opera. Edited by G. F. Diercks. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 4. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1972.
 —, "De trinitate." In Opera. Edited by G. F. Diercks. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina,
- vol. 4. Turnhouse Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1972.

 Orioen. "Commentarii in evaneelium loannis (lib. 1. 2. 4. 5. 6. 10. 13)." In Orioins: Commentaire
 - rigen. "Commentaris in evangelium joannis (lib. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13)." In Origine: Commentaire sur saint Jean, 3 vols. Edited by C. Blanc. Sources Chrétiennes, vols. 120, 157, 222. Paris: Cerf, 1966. 1970. 1975.

 - "De principiis." In Origene Werke, Edited by Paul Koetschau. Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten drei Jahrhunderte, vol. 22. Leipzig, Germany: J. C. Hinrichs, 1913.
 - ..., "De oratione." In Origines Werke. Edited by Paul Koetschau. Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller, vol. 2. Leipzig, Germany: J. C. Hinrichs, 1899.
 - "Exhortatio ad martyrium." In Origines Werke. Edited by Paul Koetschau. Die griechis-
 - chen christlichen Schrifsteller, vol. 1. Leipzig, Germany: J. C. Hinrichs, 1899.

 ————, "Homiliae in Genesim." In Origenes Werke, vol. 6. Edited by W. A. Baehrens. Die
 - griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller, vol. 29. Leipzig, Germany: Teubner, 1920.

 "Homiliae in Leviticum." In Origenes Werke, vol. 6. Edited by W. A. Baehrens. Die erichischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten lahrbunderte, vol. 29. Berlin: Akademie-
- Verlag, 1920.

 Potamius of Lisbon. "Epistula de substantia." In Potami episcopi Olispomensis opera omnia. Edited by
 J. N. Hillgarth and M. Conti. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 69a. Turnhout, Beleijum Brepols, 1999.
- Prudentius. "Amartigenia." In Aurelius Prudentius Clemens. Edited by Maurice P. Cunningham. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina, vol. 126. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1966.
 - ... "Liber apotheosis." In Aurelius Prudentius Clemens. Edited by Maurice P. Cunningham. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 126. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1966.
 - "Tituli historiarum." In Aurelius Prudentius Clemens. Edited by Maurice P. Cunningham. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 126. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii. 1966.
- Pseudo-Dionysius. "De caelesti hierarchia." In Corpus Dionysiacumi: Pseudo-Dionysius Arropagita. De coelesti hierarchia. de ecclesiatica hierarchia, de mystica rheologia. Edited by A. M. Ritter. Patristische Texte und Studien. Berlin: De Gruyter. 1991.

- ——. "De divinis nominibus." In Corpus Dionysiacumi: Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita. De divinis nominibus. Edited by B. R. Suchla. Patristische Texte und Studien. Berlin: De Gruyter, 1990.
 - "Epistulae." In Corpus Dionysiacumi: Pseudo-Dionysius Areopogita. De coelesti bierorchia, de ceclesiantia bierarchia, de mystica theologia. Edited by A. M. Ritter. Patristische Texte und Studien. Berlin: De Gruyter, 1991.
- Pseudo-Macarius. "Homiliae spirtuales 50." In Dir 50 geistlichen homilien des Makarios. Edited by H. Dorries, E. Klostermann and M. Kroeger. Patristische Texte und Studien 4. Berlin: De Gruyrer, 1964.
- Quodvultdeus. "Liber promissionum et praedictorum Dei." In Opera Quodvultee Carthaginiensi episcope tributa. Edited by R. Braun. Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina, vol. 60. Turnhout, Belgium: Tyoographi Breopols Editores Pontificii, 1976.
- Betgumi typographi Drepois Cattorer Poutinita, 1970.
 Saldona, "Liber de perfectione," In Oeuvres Spirituelles Edited by André de Halleux. Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium, vol. 200. Louvain, Belgium: Secretariat du Corpus SCO 1960.
- Salvian. "De gubernatione Dei." In Oeuvres. Edited by George LaGarrigue. Sources Chrétiennes.
- vol. 220. Paris: Cerf, 1975. Severian of Gabala. "De mundi creatione." In Opera omnia. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Patrologiae
- Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 56. Paris: Migne, 1862. Symeon the New Theologian. "Catecheses." In Sources Chrétiennes, vols. 96, 104. Edited by
- H. de Lubac, J. Danielou et al. Paris: Cerf, 1964. Tertullian. "Adversus Marcionem." In Opera. pp. 437-726. Edited by Aem. Kroymann. Corpus
 - Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 1. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1954.

 —, "De anima." In Opera, pp. 779-869. Edited by J. H. Waszink. Corpus Christianorum, Se-
 - "De anima." In Opera, pp. 779-869. Edited by J. H. Waszink. Corpus Christianorum, Series Latina, vol. 2. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1954.
- "De corona." In Opera, pp. 1037-65. Edited by Aem. Kroymann. Corpus Christianorum,
- Series Latina, vol. 2. Turnhout, Belgium: Typographi Brepols Editores Pontificii, 1954. Theodoret. "Haereticarum fabularum compendium." In Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series
- Theodoret. Flaereticarum fabularum compendium. In Patrologiae Cursus Completus; Series Graeca, vol. 83. Edited by J.-P. Migne. Paris: Migne, 1859.

 ——, "Quaestiones in Octateuchum." In Theodoreti Cyrensis quaestiones in Octateuchum. Edited
 - Quaestiones in Octateuchum. In Leocooperi Cyrenso quaestiones in Octateuchum. Baited by N. Fernandez-Marcos and A. Saenz-Badillos. Textos y Estudios "Cardenal Cisneros," vol. 17. Madrid: Poliglota Matritense, 1979.

Authors/Writings Index Ambrose, xxxix, li. 5, 7, 8-9, 15, 17, 21-23, 31, 44, 46, 51, 54, 56, 66, 68, 71, 76,

78, 84, 89, 90, 96, 108, 118-20, 126, 128, 132, 134, 143, 145, 146, 150, 151, Ambrogiaster, li

Aphrahat, 71 Athanasius, siviii, li. 61, 110 Augustine, versix, vliii alie, vlvi, li. 1, 4-5. 7-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 21, 24-25, 30, 38-39, 41-44, 47, 49-50, 52, 54, 60-62, 64-

65 66 70.77 76.78 80.81 83.86 89. 90, 93-94, 98, 101, 104, 110-11, 115-117, 121, 124-25, 127-31, 133, 135-136, 138, 141, 145-46, 149, 159-60. 162-65, 167-68, 171-72, 174-75 Basil the Great worky, 2-4, 7-11, 14-17.

20.22 24.26 61 64 112 126 Rode the Venerable viiv 46, 82, 102, 106. 118 141 144 145 Caesarius of Arles, 90 Cassian John 31, 100, 120 Chrysostom. Ser John Chyrsostom

Clement of Alexandria, 29, 70, 98, 110, Clement of Rome, 148

Commodian, 169

Cyril of Ierusalem, 10, 17, 26, 62, 67, 108. Diadochus of Photics, 30, 78 Dogorhess of Gara, 73, 87 Ephrem the Syrian, 6-9, 15, 36, 45, 47-48, 53, 56, 61, 65, 68-69, 71-72, 74-78, 82, 84-86, 88-89, 92, 97-98, 100-102, 104-5, 107, 110-13, 115, 120, 122-25,

Cyprian, 54, 59, 120, 158

115, 136

127, 130, 133, 135, 138, 141, 143, 148, 150-52, 154-58, 160, 164, 169 Eusebius of Caesarea, xliii Fulgentius of Ruspe, 30

Gregory of Nazianzus, 33, 44, 50, 55, 62, 120, 155 Gregory of Nyssa, 13, 15, 23, 28, 33-36.

Hexaemeron, xxxix Irenaeus, 31, 79, 82, 90 Jesse of Ninemah 65 Jerome, vli. vlir. vloi, 6, 55, 70, 82, 121.

125 131 130 158 164-65 169 172 John Chrysostom, 3-4, 12, 15-17, 25, 28, 15, 17, 40, 45, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 58, 59, 64.66-67.72-73.77.80.82.85.92.94 95, 100, 103, 105, 108-9, 113, 120, 129,

138, 140-42, 145, 147, 149, 152, 154,

157, 159, 165, 167-69, 174 58, 61-63, 75, 93, 132-33, 148, 153 Justin Marror, xlii, 139, 141, 151, 159 Learnier, 157

Letter of Barnabas, 46 Letter to Diognetus, 55 Marius Victorinus, 29, 49 Maximus of Turin, 107, 140, 146, 148 Maximus the Confessor, 30 Nemesius of Emess. 2, 124

Novation, 42, 84, 168 Origen, xiii, 1, 11, 13, 19, 21, 26, 29, 31, 36, 42, 60, 81, 96-98, 102, 104, 108,

John of Damascus, J. 12, 17, 35, 41, 53,

Potamius of Lisbon, 33 Prudentius, 29, 144, 165 Pseudo-Dionysius, 19, 102, 168 Pseudo-Macarius, 95 Quodvultdeus, 71 Sabdons 33

Salman the Preshame: 107, 127, 137 Severian of Gabala, 60-61, 64, 68, 75 Symeon the New Theologian, 60, 85, 87, 103, 106

Terrullian, 50, 52, 64, 69, 95, 121 Theodorer of Cvr. \$2, 95, 114, 156 Abel, 104, 106-7 Abraham, xl, 160, 163, 171-72, 174-75 Abram, Ser Abraham and Christ, xlvii, li as compared with the serpent, 74 created as both male and female, 69 curse of 94-96, 101 and Enoch, 120 meaning of name, 120 in need of a helper, 64 and peophecy. 70 in relation to animals, 64-65 sleep of, 67, 69 and the Trinity, 100 Ambense, xxxix, li Ambrosiaster, l Ammonires, 157 angels, 65, 101-2, 124, 168 anger, 105, 107, 127-28 animals aquatic, 20-23 on the ark, 133-35 breeding of 22-23 classes of, 25-26 creation of, 22 before the fall, 65 and the flood, 125 as food, 151 and humanity, 26, 65, 128 meaning of, 64 naming of, 66 in relation to God, 64 souls of, 24, 152 Anricheier, 121 ark. 131, 133, 135-36, 138-39, 148 arrogance, 126 Assur, 162 Assyria, 162, 172 Arbanasius, vivis, li Augustine, xxxix, xliii-xliv, li syarice, 77. See also pride: sin, of pride Bahel, 166, See also tower of Bahel Babylon, 162, 164, 166-68

Subject Index

baptism and enlightenment, 140 and the Holy Spirit, 6, 118 nakedness at, 72 relation to the flood, li-lii, 140, 146 sumbolized 137 Basil the Great, xxxix Bede the Venerable, viv birds, 21 blessing, 24, 64, 158 p. 16, 160 blood, 107-8 breath of God, 50, 52. See also Holy breath of life, 141 Cain curse of, 109 descendants of, 110, 124, 127 offering of, 104 as representative of the earthly city. Canago, 158-59, 162 charity, 169-70 chastiry. Ser sexuality, chastiry cherubim. Ser angels Christ, Ser Jesus Christ Christianity, xli and Abel's blood, 106-7 and the ark, 131, 135-36, 130, 148

and Christ, 71

and Eve, 70-71

and Noah, 136

city of God

nothing

and the luminaries, 19

combolized in marriage, 71

through Adam's descendants, 104,

115-17, 171
after the flood, 171
and Iread, 165
symbolized by the ark, 131
and the nuver of Babel, 172
confession, 109, 114
conscience, 107
Constantine, xkiii
covenane, xxxxiii, 132, 150, 154-55
covenane, xxxxiii, 152, 150, 154-55

creation

age of creatures at, 47 of animals, 20-22, 26 beauty of, 25 days of, 44-47, 53-54 and divine command, 7, 11-13, 24-25

25' doctrine of, sivili-skit of earth and sea, 12-13 of Eden, 53-54 of the firmament, 10 and formlessness, 12-4, 6 goodness, 68, 93-4, 43-45 and the Holy Spirit, 5 of humanity, 28, 50, 52-53 and the sirvishle world, 9 light and distancess, 57-9, 21 girls and 57-9, 21 girls and

and Niese, 48-49
narrative structure of, 54
frems neching, 2, 5-6, 8-9
order and, 2-5, 17-18, 28-29
of Janas, 14-12, 22-48-49
purpose of, 25
relation of creation accounts, 48-40
and revelation, 3
of the soul, 51, 55
of the sun, 15-16
symbolium in, 2, 31

and Word of God, 14 curse of Adam and Eve, 85, 92-96 of Cain, 109 of Cansan, 158-59 of creation, 96 the law and gospel, 96 metanings of, 95 of the serpent, 89-90 symbolism of, 93 darkness, C.78

deluge. Ser flood

and Wisdom of God, 7

day, 8, 18 death, 62, 84, 96, 121, 124-25 deification, 1. See also sanctification

Satani serpent. drvine command, 38, 42-43, 76. Ser also creation, and divine command divine Word. Ser creation, and Word of God: Word of God dominion, 40-41

dove, 145-46 dreams, 69-70 drunkenness, 156-58 Eber, 165

ecstasy, 69-70 Eden creation of, 53-54 exile from 101 meaning of, 110 prohibitions in, 61-62

representative of the church, 54 rains of, 56, 58, 59 work in, 60

See also garments; paradise; Tigris; tree of knowledge; tree of life Elisah, 121 Enoch 115-20, 146 Enosh, 115-18

Eusebins, xliii evengelicals, xx

Fee, 67, 70, 71, 79, 98, 126 and the coumos, white doctrine of, xxxix, xlvii-xlviii, li explanations of, 81, 85-87, 100

of humanity, 77, 166 in Irwish theology, xivii and nakedness, 81, 85 female. See gender; woman 6e leaves, 81-82

Garres 87 firmament, 10-11 fish. Ser animals, aquatic Besh, 77, 120 flood

birds, 144-45 and covenant, 150 dimensions of, 140-41 duration of, 138, 143

and the Holy Spirit, 143

post, blessing, 147 post, re-creation, 147 and sexuality, 130 145.47

symbolic interpretation of, 140-42. Garden of Eden. Ser Eden garments, 99 gender, 35-36, 39-40, 68, 93, 136 Genesis, xl. xlvi-alvii giants. Ser Nephilim.

arrefluxes of 1.44.51-52.136.142. or counting 5 7 44 57 providence of, 137-38. repentance of, 127-28

See also creation, and divine command: Windom of God Ham, 159, 162 Haran, 172 beaven and earth. 5. 48. See also creation. of earth and sea: firmament

Hebrews, 163, 165 Hexapia, shi-shii Holy Spirit, S.6, 115, 118-19, 141, 145. See also Beyork of God

human nature. See humanity, nature of doctring of vinas firsh and spirit, \$3-34 God's grace in, 51 nature of 4, 28, 96-17, 40, 51,52,60.

in relation to the rest of creation, 29. 57 65 176 work of 60-61

Ser also image of God, humanity in the likeness of God humankind, xxxix. Ser also humanity humilire, 65, 78, 87 image of God and Christ, 29, 117

distinct from the likeness of God. 29and the divine Word, xlix-1, 29, 31 doctrine of, xxxix, xlix, and pender, 35

200

humanity in the xlix, 29, 33, 51 interpretations of, 29, 31-35 and murder, 152 and the soul 53 and the Trinity, 30

incarnation, xxxix, xl, xlix, 31 invisible world, 9 Japheth, 160, 163 Jerome, xli, xliv lease Chris characteristics of 30.76

and characters in Genesis, 67, 106-7, 118, 123, 136, 156 and the Holy Spirit, 118 and salvarion vl 40 76 84.85 00. 91, 95, 158 as the second Adam, slvii, li the Son of Man. 91

and things and events in Genesis, 1. 19, 29, 102, 131, 146, 168 Ser also church, and Christ; image of God. and Christ; incarnation; Word of God John, 121 John of Damascus, I Justin Marray, alii knowledge, 97-98

light. Ser creation, light and darkness. likeness of God, voniv. 20, 12-31, 71, Sec. also image of God, distinct from the likeness of God lineral innerpresarion, 9, 16 Leen: Ser Word of God

law, 57, 62, 80

luminaries, 17-19. Seculso creation, of the lust. Ser passion; sexuality, lust LXX. Ser Septuagier

male. See pender Manichaean teachings of, 41, 50 teachings on creation, 2, 5, 15, 18, 21 teachings on the earth's apul. 24-25 marriage, 38, 41, 93, 148. See also sexuality, and marriage

Mary 49, 64, 79 matter, 11-12 mercy, 82, 85 Methuselah, 121 mind, 134. Ser also reason Mosea, uhrii, 3, 110, 174 murder, 152-53 natura, 42 Nephilim, 125-26, 168 night, 8 Nimrod, 162, 164-65, 167, 169 Nimrod, 160, 164-65, 167, 169 Nimrod, 162, 164-65, 167, 169 Nimrod, 162, 164-65, 167, 169 Noah and Abraham, 175 characteristics of, 123, 129, 154

and Christ, 123, 136, 158 descendants of, 162-64 family of, and the church, 136 meaning of his name, 122 numbers, 131, 135 Origen, xliii, 53 original sin, li, 124 Orthodox Church, xli-xlii paradise, 73, 76-77. See also Eden. passion, 61, 73. Ser also sexuality, passion parience, 82, 85-86 Paul, ploii, 5 peace, 145 Pelazins, xxviii Peleg, 165 perfection, 60, 129. See also sanctification.

Poling, 160, 120. See also sanctification persecution, 166
Politations, 166
Politations, 167
Politation, 167
Politati

repressance, 125, 127-28, 135, 141

reproduction. Ser sexuality, procreation rest, 46-47 resurrection, 23, 46, 73, 96-97, 153

resurrection, 23, 46, 73, 96-97, 153 Roman Catholic Church, xli sabbuth, 46-47 sacrifice, 149-50 salvation, 60, 78, 84-85, 134. See also Jesus

Christ, and salvation sanctification, 27, 47. See also deffication: perfection; piery; theasu Sarah. Ser Sarai Sarai, 174 Saran, 1. See also devils serpent

Scripoure, 128 sqs. Ser creation, of earth and sea sea monsters, 21-22 self-control, 108, 115, 118, 134, 136 senses, 32-33, 69, 78, 89 Septuagine, xl-sili, xito-ulor seraphim, Ser angels serpens, 75, 89, 90

Seth. 111. 114-15

Shem, 160, 162-63

rie.

sexuality
chastiny, 133, 146, 157
of fallon angels, 124
of Jossa Christ, 39
predfal vs. postfals, 37-40, 72, 105
predfal vs. postfals, 37-40, 72, 105
predfal vs. postfals, 31, 100
and marriage, 40, 146
passion, 72, 76, 115
procreation, 36, 41, 93-94
viringini, 91, 121

consequences of, 15, 93, 106 denial of, 114 of pride, 77-78, 86, 98, 121, 167 source of, 89, 159 symbolized in the fig tree, 82 recess of, 78, 85, 112, 126, 152, 51

167 slavery, 160 snake. Ser serpen: Son of Man. Ser Jessas Christ, the Son of

Man sons of God, 124-25. See also Nephilim soul, 23, 28, 50-53, 69. See also breath of life: image of God, and the soul metric. See Holy Swirth broath of God

spiritual bodies, 39 stars. Ser creation, light and darkness; luminaries Scephen, 174

sun, 15-17, 19. See also creation, light and darkness symbolism, 13, 21, 23 temptation, 80

thenii, l. See also delfication; sanctification Tigris, 58 time, 18 tower of Babel, xxxix, li., 164, 167-68.

tree of knowledge, 54-55, 61-63 tree of life, 53-55, 61-63, 101-2 Trinity, 6, 30-31, 49, 100, 166 typology, xleii-solviii verginity. See sexuality, virginity virtus, 129 Vulnate, xli

wagen, 10. See also creation, of earth and sea wisdom, 56-57. See also creation, and Wisdom of God. Wisdom of God Wisdom of God. 7, 57 woman, 64, 66-70. See also live; pender work, 66-61.

work, 60-61 world, 7 worship, 10-

Scripture Index	9.11-15.150	45:11, 47	1/32, 145	3:13, 38
	9(21, 157	67/14, 57	5:17, 46	3:19, 89
Genesis	9:30-38, 157	104:30, 5	5:19, 117	
1-2, 132	10:10, 166-67	110:10, 82	7:58-39, 49	Colossians
10.18	10:20, 164	118:105, 80	8:44, 201	1:15.1
1:4-5, 18	10:25, 171	135-8-9, 17	9.99, 81	146.3
1:5, 18	10:31, 364		16:22, 148	3:10.33
14, 18	10/32, 164	Proverbs	20:22, 52	
1:11, 25	11:31, 173	5:18, 72		1 Thessalonians
1:20, 24, 26	24:10, 175	6-6, 26	Acres	1:10, 19
1:24, 136	27:30-40, 158	6:23, 80	2:2-3, 119	5-4, 29
1:26-28, 42		9:15, 56	742, 174	
1:27.69	Excelor	18:17, 114	7:4, 174	1 Timothy
1:28. 36. 303. 347	12:2.47			2:5, 131
2(7, 3)	12:11.57	Ecclesiastes	Remans	4.10, 1
3-5, 47, 100	19.20, 110	7:30, 200	1:16, 16	
3:14, 124	20.5, 159		2:19, 19	2 Timothy
3:14-15, 74	20.26, 99	Issiah	7:18, 26	9:12, 146
3:16.35	21/26, 152	1:13, 46	8/7, 26	
3:18, 169		5:11, 157		Hebrews
3:19, 124	Levinious	8(20, 80	1 Corinthians	16.51
4:12, 100	6,99	11:2-3, 118	3:11:12, 102	4:15, 38
423-24, 116	9, 97	40.6, 126	11:6, 35	7:9-10, 158
425.111.113	164, 97	61/2, 19	11/7, 35	110.13
625-26, 116		66:1, 11	11:13,66	11/5, 121, 149
4:26, 115, 116, 120	Deuteronomy		15:41, 29	11/7, 149
50-2.115	216.159	Jeremiah	15:44, 97	13-4, 39
5-24, 121, 149	32-6, 168	1-5, 52	15-47, 96	
6, 149		32:18, 159		1 Peter
60, 112	loshua	38:30, 159	2 Corinchians	3(20-21, 140, 142, 14)
6/3, 127	17:13, 160		3:16, 32	3:21, 139
6-6, 126-27		Enckiel	5-4, 99	5-5, 80
68, 149	1 Samuel	18(20, 159		
612, 112, 123	17-4-10, 124		Galatians	2 Peter
6:13-19, 141		Heses	3:19.91	2/5, 14%
6:14, 142	1 Kings	2.5"	3-26.31	
6:15, 145	12, 159		44.9	1 lobe
7-2-142	21:29, 159	Marrisew		2/20/14/
7-6, 140		5:14, 29	Ephraiana	
7/7-20, 140	Joh	5:16, 23	22.74	2 John
7:16, 139	2648, 155	194,70	24.57	1-13-56-156

Luke

3 John

Philippians.

Reveiation

Psalms

7(24, 139, 143 86-7, 144

8-8-11, 143

8:20-11, 148